



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Alabama

### Pasture Grazing Best Management Practices Result in Pathogen (Fecal Coliform) Delisting

#### Waterbody Improved

Runoff from grazing activities contributed to pathogen (fecal coliform [FC]) impairments of Caney Branch in Baldwin County, Alabama. Implementing best management practices (BMPs) including livestock exclusion fencing, stream crossings, and riparian buffers helped Caney Branch meet its designated water use classification of *Fish & Wildlife*. As a result, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) removed the 5-mile impaired segment of Caney Branch from the state's section 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2002.

#### Problem

Caney Branch is a tributary of the Fish River, which originates near the city of Stapleton and flows south through Baldwin County before emptying into Weeks Bay in southwest Alabama (Figure 1). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) designated Weeks Bay as a National Estuarine Research Reserve in 1986, and ADEM designated it as an Outstanding National Resource Water in 1992.

**Table 1. Geological Survey of Alabama sample results (Site 8-A)**

Year	# of Samples	Exceedances
1994	10	5
1995	12	2
1996	12	1
1997	12	0
1998	12	1
Total	58	9

Land use/land cover in the Caney Branch subwatershed is primarily cropland, pasture/hayland, and forest lands. FC contamination problems associated with cattle grazing practices, unrestricted stream access, and trampling of riparian vegetation was well documented in the watershed. The Geological




Figure 1. Location of Caney Branch in Baldwin County, Alabama.

Survey of Alabama used section 319 funds to collect 58 monthly FC samples between 1994 and 1998. The median FC count was 230 col/100 milliliters (mL) (range of 30 to 83,000 col/100 mL) with nine samples (15 percent) exceeding the Fish & Wildlife single sample criterion of 2,000 col/100 mL (Table 1). As a

result, ADEM placed this 5-mile segment of Caney Branch on the state's 1998 and 2000 303(d) lists of impaired waters. The impairment cause was listed as pathogens, and the sources of impairment were identified as pasture grazing/riparian.

## Project Highlights

The Natural Resources Conservation Service, ADEM, EPA–Gulf of Mexico Program, and other stakeholders used a section 319 grant to initiate the Fish River Watershed Project, which was eventually expanded to become the Weeks Bay Watershed Project. The project focus was to holistically assess water quality, lessen cumulative effects of runoff, and address threats to the Weeks Bay watershed.

The partners installed BMPs including live-stock exclusion fencing, riparian buffers, and stream crossings. They also conducted education and outreach efforts throughout the Caney Branch Watershed, including cleanups, field days, workshops, and stakeholder meetings. These efforts helped to achieve the goals of the Weeks Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve Management Plan and the Weeks Bay Watershed Management Plan.

## Results

In 2001 ADEM collected 22 samples at Caney Branch Site CNYB-1 (Table 2). No single sample value exceeded the Fish & Wildlife criterion of 2,000 col/100 mL, and no geometric mean value exceeded the October to May geometric mean criterion of 1,000 col/100mL.

Also, the Weeks Bay Project coordinator collected two series of five FC samples near the mouth of Caney Branch between August and October 2001 for analyses by a private certified laboratory. No single sample value exceeded the single sample criterion, and no geometric

mean value exceeded the applicable geometric mean criterion. Thus, ADEM removed this segment of Caney Branch from the 303(d) list in 2002.

## Partners and Funding

ADEM provided \$450,000 in section 319 funds to support a watershed coordinator, BMP installation, and water quality monitoring in the Weeks Bay Watershed. The Gulf of Mexico Program, through the Baldwin County Soil & Water Conservation District, provided \$157,600, and landowners provided \$113,600 in matching funds for a total project cost of \$720,000. Partners involved in implementing the Weeks Bay and Weeks Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve Management Plans include Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, the Weeks Bay Reserve Foundation, the Baldwin County Department of Public Health, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Dauphin Island Sea Lab, the University of South Alabama, the Alabama Clean Water Partnership, businesses, and local citizens.

**Table 2. ADEM final monitoring results (Site CNYB-1)**

Date (2001)	Fecal Coliform (# col/100 mL)	Geometric Mean (# col/100 mL)
April 25	48	101
May 01	100	
May 02	140	
May 09	120	
May 16	130	
Oct 09	70	56
Oct 17	110	
Oct 24	56	
Oct 31	38	
Nov 05	34	



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001EE  
December 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Patti Hurley**, Section 319 Project Coordinator,  
Alabama Dept. of Environmental Management  
334-394-4350 • [pah@adem.state.al.us](mailto:pah@adem.state.al.us)

**Mike Shelton**, Weeks Bay Watershed Coordinator,  
Weeks Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve  
251-928-9792 • [michael.shelton@dcnr.alabama.gov](mailto:michael.shelton@dcnr.alabama.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Alabama

### Best Management Practices, Public Outreach Help River Recover from Impairments

#### Waterbody Improved

Runoff from agricultural activities and urbanization contributed to organic enrichment and dissolved oxygen (DO) impairments in the lower mainstem of the Flint River in Alabama. The implementation of best management practices (BMPs) and stakeholder education and outreach enhanced water quality and helped the Flint River meet the water quality standards associated with its designated water use classifications. As a result, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) expects to remove a 28-mile segment of the Flint River from the state's 2006 303(d) list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

Originating in Tennessee, the Flint River flows south through Madison County, Alabama, before joining the Tennessee River. Data collected during the mid-1990s revealed that a 28-mile segment of the Flint River was not meeting its designated water use classifications as a public water supply and fish and wildlife resource. Consequently, the segment was placed on Alabama's 1998 303(d) list of impaired waters for organic enrichment and low dissolved oxygen.

ADEM variously listed the sources of water quality impairments as unknown in 1998, agriculture in 2002, and agriculture/urban runoff in 2004.

#### Project Highlights

ADEM used a section 319 grant to reduce the cumulative effects of nonpoint source pollution. Between 2001 and 2003, federal, state, and local agencies teamed with local landowners to implement numerous agricultural BMPs, including

- winter cover and conservation tillage on 2,000 acres
- livestock BMPs (e.g., stream crossings, alternative watering facilities, exclusion fencing, rotational grazing plans) on 10 farms encompassing 400 acres



Exclusion fencing was installed to limit cattle's access to creeks, and alternative watering sources were constructed at eight different locations.

- cropland conversion of 10 acres
- heavy-use protection areas on 13 sites
- annual soil tests and nutrient management plans covering 300 acres

Partners also led numerous education and outreach activities, including stream cleanups, presentations at local schools, landowner/public meetings, and field days. The local news media's coverage helped outreach efforts.





Volunteers who live, work, and recreate in the area supported stream cleanup efforts throughout the watershed.

## Results

Between March and October of both 2003 and 2005, ADEM collected dissolved oxygen data at three sites on the impaired segment of the Flint River. The agency also collected continuous dissolved oxygen data at two of the sites during July 2005.

As shown in the following table, only two monthly measurements (4.6 mg/L and 4.97 mg/L) fell below the state minimum criterion of 5.0 mg/L for the public water supply and fish and wildlife designated water use classifications. Furthermore, none of the continuous

Station	Type of data	# of samples	DO < 5 mg/L
FLIM-5	Water column	17	0
FLIM-6	Water column	17	1
	Continuous	217	0
FLIM-7	Water column	17	1
	Continuous	216	0

Project leaders measured water column dissolved oxygen concentrations at three stations during separate 8-month periods in 2003 and 2005. In addition, continuous dissolved oxygen monitoring occurred at two stations in July 2005. Only two water column samples showed concentrations below the water quality standard of 5 mg/L.

dissolved oxygen measurements were below the minimum criterion.

ADEM's assessment methodology stipulates that conventional water quality parameters, including dissolved oxygen, may not exceed water quality standards more than 10 percent of the time in waterbodies designated as public water supply and fish and wildlife resources. The data demonstrate that this 28-mile segment of the river now meets this requirement. As a result, ADEM has proposed that the segment be removed from the state's 2006 303(d) list of impaired waters. The next scheduled monitoring year for the segment is 2008.

## Partners and Funding

ADEM provided \$250,000 in section 319 funding to support a watershed coordinator and to implement BMPs. Other stakeholders—including the Madison County Soil and Water Conservation District, the U.S. Department of Agriculture–Natural Resources Conservation Service, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Flint River Conservation Association, and the City of Huntsville—contributed \$331,000 in nonfederal matching funds. The total project cost was \$581,000.



Sediment loading in the watershed was reduced by implementing conservation tillage and planting cover crops on approximately 2,000 acres.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003C  
June 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Carmen Yelle**  
Alabama Department of Environmental Management  
334-394-4397 • cyelle@adem.state.al.us

**Sam Sandlin**  
Madison County Soil and Water Conservation District  
256-532-1692 • Sam.Sandlin@al.nacdn.net





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## American Samoa

### Outreach and Enforcement Reduce Instream *E. coli* Levels and Disease Risk

#### Waterbody Improved

Small-scale pig farms with improperly constructed waste management systems caused Afuelo Stream to be contaminated with high levels of bacteria and exposed the public to the disease leptospirosis. In 2004, the stream was placed on the American Samoa 303(d) list for impairment due to bacterial indicators. Regular stream water monitoring, public education and outreach, facility inspections, and enforcement of environmental and public health regulations helped reduce the leptospirosis risk and led to impressive declines in average *Escherichia coli* concentrations, with preliminary data indicating the stream is now meeting water quality standards.

#### Problem

The Territory of American Samoa lies 14° south of the equator, about 2,300 miles southwest of Hawaii. The territory is composed of seven islands, with Tutuila being its largest (53 square miles) and most populated (approximately 60,000). The Matu'u watershed (population 694) is on the southern shore of Tutuila between Pago Pago Harbor and the Tafuna-Leone Plain, the two most densely populated and industrialized areas in the territory.

Pigs are an important cultural resource in American Samoa. There are approximately 35,000 pigs on 2,700 pig farms on Tutuila alone, mostly in private backyards. Local small-scale pig farms (1-20 pigs) commonly consist of makeshift open-sided buildings placed on concrete slabs or packed earth floors. Farmers typically clean out these facilities by flushing the floor with pressurized water, which is then discharged as waste/water slurry into an unlined cesspool or directly into streams or wetlands. There has been a lack of community and political will to support proper pig waste management, and the impacts of pig waste on human health and water quality are now critical. Large volumes of untreated and uncontrolled pig urine and feces contaminate drinking water, streams, and nearshore ocean water in 31 of the 41 watersheds in American Samoa.



Matu'u waterfront, the site of Afuelo Stream in American Samoa.

In 2003, a construction worker exposed to water in Afuelo Stream was diagnosed with the disease leptospirosis. This case prompted an effort to assess the stream's water quality and pollution sources. Inspections in Matu'u found that every pig farm was noncompliant with environmental and public health laws. Bacteria monitoring revealed *E. coli* concentrations exceeding 40 times the allowable single sample most probable number (MPN) criterion of 576 per 100 ml. As a result of these findings, Afuelo Stream was listed on the American Samoa 303(d) list for impairment due to bacterial indicators in 2004.

## Project Highlights


American Samoa received full approval of its 319/6217 Coastal Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program on July 24, 2003. The program implemented several best management practices (BMPs) throughout Matu'u watershed, including facility inspections and improved enforcement of environmental and human health regulations. In addition, the program implemented regular water quality monitoring and developed educational materials and placed newspaper, television, and radio advertisements to increase public awareness of the issue.

Program staff worked with landowners to implement practices to meet American Samoa's water quality standards and public health regulations applicable to confined animal feeding operations. In compliance with a 50-foot setback requirement from waterbodies and structures, they installed walls and other structures to contain and direct runoff to waste management systems including portable pens, dry litter systems, septic tanks and leach fields, and a new composting system. This effectively moved over 100 pigs away from the stream and reduced contaminated runoff.

Finally, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), with support and assistance of the American Samoa EPA, LBJ Medical Center, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, conducted an island-wide leptospirosis study. The 2004 study found a 17 percent leptospirosis infection rate among the American Samoan population—approximately 1.5 times the world average. The study also determined that pigs are the major reservoir of leptospirosis in American Samoa and the disease is passed on to humans through pig urine. Officials supplied the medical center with leptospirosis test kits and the center documented illnesses and deaths due to pig-related disease.

## Results

The beneficial effects of the BMPs on water quality have been dramatic. Average *E. coli* concentrations in the stream declined 91 percent (see figure), with preliminary data indicating the stream is now meeting water quality standards. Public awareness of water quality problems and the health threat from leptospirosis has driven community and political will to improve pig waste management throughout the territory.



Weekly stream samples reflect declining instream *E. coli* levels following a collaborative educational and enforcement effort by the American Samoa EPA and the American Samoa Department of Health. American Samoa water quality standards call for a single-sample most probable number (MPN) criterion of 576 per 100 mL.

This success shows how the American Samoa NPS program can effect real improvements in water quality and public health protection. The success of the Afuelo Stream project has led to 28 other watersheds implementing similar BMPs.

## Partners and Funding

The cooperation of the people of Matu'u Village, American Samoa Environmental Protection Agency, American Samoa Department of Health, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (including \$50,000 in-kind services), and LBJ Medical Center (with \$5,000 in-kind services) have contributed to the success of this project. Partners contributed approximately \$150,000 in section 319 and other funds to the effort.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003B  
May 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Edna Buchan**  
American Samoa EPA, Water Program  
684-633-2304  
ebuchan3@yahoo.com



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Arizona

### Nutriosos Creek: A Nonpoint Source Success Story

#### Waterbody Improved

Arizona placed a segment of Nutriosos Creek on the state's Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1998 because of high turbidity. The impairment negatively impacted several native fish, including one federally listed species. Arizona identified streambank erosion as the cause for the turbidity. Shortly before the creek was listed as impaired, private landowner Jim Crosswhite purchased property—the EC Bar Ranch—on Nutriosos Creek. He quickly began to address water quality and habitat concerns. Crosswhite implemented best management practices (BMPs) that controlled activities of grazing animals such as livestock and elk, restored the stream channel, and reduced turbidity. In 2007, because the impaired segment of Nutriosos Creek once again met water quality standards, Arizona recommended that it be removed from the list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

Eastern Arizona's Nutriosos Creek, a 27-mile perennial stream in the White Mountains of Apache County, is a tributary to the Little Colorado River. Several native fish live in these waters, including the federally endangered Little Colorado spinedace (*Lepidomeda vittata*). In 1998 Arizona placed a 7-mile segment of Nutriosos Creek on the list of impaired waters because it exceeded the 10 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) standard for coldwater stream aquatic and wildlife habitat. The state identified impairments on 4 miles of creek in the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest and along 3 miles of creek on the privately owned EC Bar Ranch. The state identified historic grazing practices as the primary cause of high turbidity levels. As grazing animals had trampled and consumed Nutriosos Creek's riparian vegetation, streambank stability decreased and streambank erosion increased over time.

#### Project Highlights

In 1996 Jim Crosswhite purchased the EC Bar Ranch and began to address water quality and aquatic/wildlife habitat concerns in the creek. Crosswhite followed a three-step approach to improving the riparian area. First,



Newly restored Nutriosos Creek now has a higher water table, less erosion, and more wildlife species.

he implemented BMPs: (1) he fenced out elk entirely and limited livestock grazing to the dormant winter months; (2) he planted willow poles and installed practices such as weirs to reduce streambank erosion; and (3) he established native narrow-leaf cottonwoods and Western Wheatgrass. Crosswhite's second step was to adopt livestock (cattle), nutrient, irrigation water, and pest management plans recommended by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). As his final step,





Crosswhite used fences to eliminate or control wildlife and livestock activities in the riparian areas.

he is considering long-term planning options that can protect the restored area, such as a conservation easement, deed restrictions, and/or sale of riparian areas to the U.S. Forest Service (USFS). To date no final protective action has been completed, although some agreements have been drafted, initial surveys and appraisals completed and aerial photos taken.

Crosswhite has provided public outreach through written publicity, personal presentations, and field trips. Crosswhite maintains a project Web site ([www.ecbar-ranch.com](http://www.ecbar-ranch.com)) where information about BMPs, agency reports, and monitoring is available. Crosswhite's extensive outreach initiatives help to educate other landowners on many of the BMPs that they can implement in the Nutrioso Creek area and beyond.

## Results

Crosswhite worked closely with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to implement BMPs that controlled activities of large grazing animals, restored the proper functioning condition in the stream channel, and reduced turbidity levels. The condition of soils, vegetation, and hydrology was improved from non-functional in 1996 to proper functioning condition in 2005 using the Bureau of Land Management rating system. In addition, monitoring results showed that turbidity levels plummeted from more than 50 NTU in 2000 to less than 10 NTU by 2004. Nutrioso Creek once again meets

water quality standards. Therefore, in 2007, the ADEQ recommended removal of Nutrioso Creek from the 303(d) list, making it the first impaired waterbody in Arizona to be delisted as a result of mitigation.

Additionally, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) was so impressed with the aquatic habitat recovery on the EC Bar Ranch's portion of Nutrioso Creek that it captured and moved 767 Little Colorado spinedace from degraded pools downstream on National Forest land to the restored habitat on the EC Bar Ranch. In a letter to Crosswhite, the USFWS emphasized that, "the practice of salvaging a listed species from public land and repatriating the species to private land is rarely warranted and demonstrates [Crosswhite's] commitment to threatened and endangered species."

## Partners and Funding

Crosswhite partnered with state and federal agencies, such as the ADEQ, Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD), Arizona State Land Department, Arizona Department of Agriculture, Arizona Water Protection Fund, NRCS, and USFWS. He worked with many organizations to address a broad spectrum of environmental concerns, including those outlined in Nutrioso Creek TMDL for Turbidity Report (ADEQ 2000), Little Colorado River Spinedace Recovery Plan (USFWS 1998), Nutrioso Creek Fish Management Report (AGFD 2001), and the Upper Little Colorado River Watershed Based Plan (2000-2006). He was the first private landowner in Arizona to complete a Safe Harbor Agreement with the USFWS (2003)—this agreement promotes voluntary management for listed species on nonfederal property while assuring participating landowners that no additional regulatory restrictions will be imposed.

Crosswhite's Nutrioso Creek restoration project cost exceeded \$2 million, a portion of which was funded by \$575,000 from CWA Section 319 grants, \$100,000 from NRCS, and \$163,000 from wildlife agencies and others. Crosswhite matched more than 60 percent of public funding with his own resources.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-0011  
June 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Jim Crosswhite**, Project Manager  
[jcrosswhite@frontiernet.net](mailto:jcrosswhite@frontiernet.net)

**Krista Osterberg**, Arizona Department of  
Environmental Quality  
602-771-4551 • [osterberg.krista@azdeq.gov](mailto:osterberg.krista@azdeq.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Colorado

### London Extension Mine Drainage Treatment Project Removes Heavy Metals

#### Waterbody Improved


South Mosquito Creek, in central Colorado, has been plagued for years by toxic metals from an abandoned gold mine. A drainage treatment system was constructed to increase the pH of the water and reduce heavy metal concentrations. The treatment system has reduced zinc levels in the creek to below the chronic toxicity level, on average meeting water quality standards and achieving part of the total maximum daily load (TMDL).

#### Problem

The London Extension Tunnel, a historic gold mining site, is the largest single source of metals contamination to the Mosquito Creek watershed (located 3 miles east of the Continental Divide). Although the streams in the watershed are classified as cold water aquatic class 1, widespread metals contamination has severely depleted aquatic life in Mosquito Creek and essentially eliminated it in South Mosquito Creek. Further compounding the metals problem are the elevation (approximately 11,600 feet) and severe winter weather, which limit accessibility to the site. In 1998 Mosquito Creek was placed on the state's 303(d) list as impaired by zinc, lead, and cadmium, and South Mosquito Creek was listed as impaired by zinc, cadmium, iron, and manganese. A TMDL was established for both segments with zinc as the controlling parameter.

#### Project Highlights

In 1997 the London Extension Tunnel mine drainage treatment system was constructed to address heavy metal contamination in surrounding watersheds. Acidic drainage is collected in the mine and conveyed to a tank, where cement kiln dust is added. After the acidic drainage mixes with the kiln dust, the water flows to a settling pond outside the mine. The low-cost, low-maintenance system successfully uses cement kiln dust as a cost-effective neutralizing agent in place of other commercially available neutralizing agents.



The mine drainage treatment system mixes kiln dust with acidic runoff to increase the pH of the drainage water. Once a pH of between 9.5 and 10 is achieved, metals are precipitated out in a settling pond and the clean water is returned to the creek.

When the effluent pH is maintained at between 9.5 and 10.0, the system removes more than 99.8 percent of the heavy metals.

Few best management practices (BMPs) were available that could be applied to this site. Aside from the use of neutralizing agents, the only other BMP that could have been effective is hydrologic controls within the mine workings. However, rotting timbers at several locations near the mine entrance made the

entrances unstable and prevented the safe installation of hydrologic controls within the mine workings.

## Results

Since the construction of the mine drainage treatment system, monitoring samples show significant decreases in zinc concentrations. Zinc levels in South Mosquito Creek are below the chronic toxicity level and on average meet water quality standards. Additional verification will be required to confirm that the creek is in full compliance with water quality standards.

The treatment system removes approximately 50 percent of the zinc in the drainage, about 26 pounds of zinc per day, and averages a total metal removal of almost 47 pounds per day. On a yearly basis, approximately 8.5 tons of metals that formerly entered South Mosquito Creek are now captured by the treatment system.

Additional investigation and remediation will be required for the main stem Mosquito Creek to attain water quality standards. Since the TMDL includes both segments, goals for Mosquito Creek will need to be attained to meet the

overall TMDL. However, the project demonstrates achievement of part of the overall TMDL goal, and South Mosquito Creek should now be able to support a brook trout fishery.

## Partners and Funding

The following partners were involved in this project and provided funding, in-kind services, and technical assistance:

- Colorado Department of Natural Resources, Division of Minerals and Geology (\$21,376)
- Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division (\$53,118 in section 319 funds)
- London Mine Limited Liability Company (landowner) (\$16,661)
- Colorado School of Mines (\$9,240)

Construction costs for the treatment system were around \$100,000, and the cost of system operation and maintenance is estimated to be \$10,000 annually, plus labor costs. To date EPA section 319 funding has provided \$53,118 for the London Extension Tunnel mine drainage treatment project. With matching funds, the total amount spent was \$100,395.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004X  
October 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Laurie B. Fisher**  
Colorado Department of Public Health  
and Environment, Water Quality Control Division  
303-692-3570 • [laurie.fisher@state.co.us](mailto:laurie.fisher@state.co.us)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Connecticut

## Menu of Measures is a Recipe for Success

### Waterbody Improved

Edgewood Park Pond was in danger of becoming a marsh due to its highly eutrophic condition and shallow depths. Project leaders devised a plan that included stormwater diversion, dredging, revegetation, and fish habitat restoration. These actions contributed to reduce sedimentation, improve fish habitat, and reduce fecal contamination by waterfowl. Consequently, pond water quality has improved dramatically, the pond's eutrophic state has been eliminated, and large fish have returned. Because of these results, the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (CT DEP) expects to partially remove the pond from the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2006.

### Problem

The 2.7-acre Edgewood Park Pond is northwest of downtown New Haven, Connecticut, along the shore of Long Island Sound. Over many years, a steady accumulation of organic matter reduced the pond's average depth to 2.5 feet. During summer months, the shallow waters became too warm for fish survival and algal blooms transformed the pond into a pool of green muck and unpleasant odors.

To understand the source, nature, and context of the problem, the City of New Haven undertook a diagnostic feasibility study of the pond in 2000. With a goal of restoring the pond to a warm-water fishery resource, the study included an inventory and assessment of existing pond and watershed conditions, determined factors responsible for the pond's degradation, and proposed specific actions to restore the pond. The study revealed that the pond was a nutrient-enriched, sediment-filled, shallow, highly eutrophic waterbody unsuitable for contact recreation and fishing. It also concluded that the pond was often an aesthetic and odor-emitting nuisance, low-quality fish habitat, seasonal nutrient source, and undesirable educational resource.

Several sources contributed to pond degradation. Sparsely vegetated—and hence highly erodible—land sloped toward the pond and delivered high sediment loads during storm events. Discharge from a nearby storm pipe further exacerbated bank erosion. The storm pipe, pet wastes left along the bank, and waterfowl were also suspected bacteria and nutrient sources.



Decades of organic matter accumulation reduced average pond depth to 2.5 feet, with some areas as shallow as 1.5 feet. Dredging restored the pond to a maximum depth of 10 feet.

By 2004, the pond was on the state's 303(d) list for aquatic life use impairments due to low dissolved oxygen and siltation caused by nutrients and sediments. The pond was also listed for primary contact recreation impairments due to nutrients and bacteria. The state listed the pond on the basis of observations of eutrophication and the absence of its former fishery.

### Project Highlights

The City of New Haven applied for and received several section 319 grants needed to help restore the pond as a fishery and recreation resource. In 2004 and 2005, the city, CT DEP, and other conservation partners took a number of measures:

- Dredging the pond to a maximum depth of 10 feet. This removed approximately 12,500



One view of Edgewood Park Pond after restoration. Littoral plantings and a stabilized bank are shown to the center and left.

cubic yards of nutrient-rich sediments from the pond bottom.

- Redirecting the storm pipe away from the pond and into a nearby wetland, facilitating the removal of nutrients, sediments, and other nonpoint source pollutants.
- Planting littoral vegetation to reduce slope erosion and discourage geese and other waterfowl from accessing the pond.
- Improving fish habitat by installing fish structures, felled trees, and littoral zone plants.
- Using construction and vegetative planting approaches to stabilize the slope on one side of the pond.

## Results

The project was an overwhelming success, with water quality improvements visibly apparent to even the casual observer. Large fish have returned, and the pond edge has been stabilized.

With the nutrient and sediment impairments resolved, CT DEP expects to remove Edgewood Pond's aquatic life use impairment from the state's 303(d) list in 2006. While nutrient loads have been reduced, the other cause of the pond's primary contact

recreation impairment—bacteria—remains a problem. For this reason, the pond will remain listed for primary contact recreation. Water quality monitoring will continue beyond 2006.

Beyond the physical improvements to the pond, this project produced many other benefits. For example

- It restored the pond's status as a valuable recreational and educational resource for city residents.
- It demonstrated the benefits of urban environmental restoration projects to human and natural resources.
- It coalesced a diverse group of park and pond constituents and raised general public awareness about the need for continued stewardship of this important resource.
- It helped to enhance the quality of life for low-income residents of New Haven affected by pollution.

Since the restoration project was completed, local schools have used the pond to conduct seining activities and aquatic environment education programs, and the Edgewood Park Ranger has used it for canoeing and fishing programs. The pond has once again claimed its place as one of the most beautiful assets in Edgewood Park and the surrounding neighborhood.

## Partners and Funding

With support from the federal section 319 program, CT DEP provided \$267,600 for the pond study and restoration program. The City of New Haven provided more than \$90,000 toward the project design and construction and contributed in-kind services for project management, landscape design, and site grading.

The city built on the success of the initial pond restoration and mobilized the Elm City Parks Conservancy, the Friends of Edgewood Park, and the Yale University Forestry School's Urban Environmental Initiative to undertake the final slope stabilization project. The city provided an additional \$35,000 for a consultant to provide construction materials and oversee volunteers.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003H  
July 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Stan Zaremba**  
Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection  
860-424-3730 • stanley.zaremba@po.state.ct.us

**Donna Hall**  
City of New Haven  
203-946-7842 • DHall@Newhavenct.net



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Delaware

### Restoring Stream and Creating Wetlands Improves Aquatic Habitat

#### Waterbody Improved

The Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) completed a 5,000-foot stream restoration project in the fall of 2005 along Pike Creek. In honor of an adjacent landowner's overwhelming support of the effort, the restoration activity is referred to as the Three Little Bakers stream restoration project. The DNREC restored the stream channel and adjacent banks using a host of restoration techniques, planted approximately 5 acres of vegetation within the riparian zone, and created 3 acres of wetlands. Preliminary results indicate that habitat has improved and populations of macroinvertebrates and fish have increased significantly. DNREC anticipates that the state will remove the restored section of Pike Creek from its 303(d) list of impaired waters after a few years of monitoring.

#### Problem

Pike Creek is in northern New Castle County, Delaware. It is a tributary of White Clay Creek, which is part of the National Wild and Scenic River system. White Clay Creek is a subbasin of the Christina River Basin and is part of the Piedmont ecoregion. The 4.7-mile segment of Pike Creek that includes the Three Little Bakers restoration project is listed on the 303(d) list of impaired waters as having an unknown pollutant or stressor affecting both habitat and biology.

A Riparian Corridor Stream Inventory Study conducted by the DNREC's Whole Basin Piedmont Team in 1998–1999, and a Christina River Watershed Restoration Study conducted in 1999 identified the upper Pike Creek as a segment in need of restoration. The studies showed that the stream had significant bank erosion, which contributed to heavy sediment loads and degraded aquatic habitat. Deep entrenchment with nearly vertical eroding banks was evident in several segments, and numerous mid-channel bars had formed from the heavy sedimentation (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Pre-restoration: Severe bank erosion along the Pike Creek mainstem at the Three Little Bakers Golf Course. (Source: DNREC 2002)

#### Project Highlights

Construction at the Three Little Bakers site began in early March 2005 and was completed in mid-October 2006. The restoration project implemented many best management practices (BMPs), including stabilizing stream banks to reduce erosion, creating in-stream habitat by building sequences of riffles and pools in the stream channel, creating 3 acres of forested wetlands in the floodplain area, and planting approximately 5 acres of riparian area with 3,500 native trees and shrubs (Figures 2, 3 and 4). Once implemented, these practices reduced the number of out-of-bank flooding events and maintained the natural look of the stream.



Figure 2. Post-restoration: Rock toe and vane, cross vane, and rootwad installed for bank stabilization, hydrologic direction, and grade control. (Source: DNREC 2005)

Word of the project's success has spread. The site serves as an excellent outdoor classroom—students, garden clubs, members of the general public, and a wide array of environmental professionals from the tri-state region have explored it. The project was highlighted during the Red Clay Valley Association's 2006 annual meeting and was featured in a series of





Figure 3. Post-restoration: Live riparian willow sprigs (*Salix* sp.) and coir matting installed for bank stabilization and to establish a riparian buffer. (Source: DNREC 2005)



Figure 4. Post-restoration: Willow plantings one year after restoration (same area pictured in Fig. 3). (Source: J. Meyer 2006)

environmental short-courses offered by the Delaware Nature Society.

In October 2006, 4-H leader John VanStan and his 4-H group adopted the created wetland site at Three Little Bakers. Sponsored by the University of Delaware's Cooperative Extension, the group will monitor the stream through the Stream Watch Program overseen by the Delaware Nature Society.

## Results

DNREC collected benthic macroinvertebrate samples in 2006 using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Rapid Bioassessment Protocols along the 5,000-linear-foot restored reach at the Three Little Bakers. Scientists from the DNREC, Division of Water Resources, Environmental Laboratory Section compared the 2006 data to baseline data collected from the same reach before the restoration in 2002. The scientists also collected samples from a regional reference stream (Middle Run) in the same watershed.

Results from field data indicate that overall habitat quality in the restored reach has improved. In fact, the habitat quality improved from pre-restoration, low-habitat conditions with only 70 percent resembling conditions comparable to a reference

stream, to moderately degraded conditions with habitat conditions as high as 99 percent comparable to the reference stream, ranging from moderately degraded to good conditions. In conjunction with reestablishing more natural hydrology and morphology, the ecological functions provided by a mature riparian zone will further contribute to the success of the restoration and increase potential for colonization by a more diverse assemblage of benthic organisms. As these habitat quality improvements continue, DNREC anticipates that this stream segment will warrant removal from Delaware's 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies.

## Partners and Funding

Several state and federal agencies contributed funding to this project. DNREC administered \$368,230 of section 319 funding for implementing the BMPs listed above. The Delaware Department of Transportation contributed \$50,000. The New Castle Conservation District provided \$10,000. EPA's Christiania Basin Watershed Initiative Grant and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service contributed \$55,700 and \$100,000, respectively. The private landowner—Three Little Bakers Golf Course—donated \$50,000 for the restoration of Pike Creek.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001S  
November 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Steve Williams**, Delaware DNREC  
Ecological Restoration Program  
302-739-9921 • [steve.williams@state.de.us](mailto:steve.williams@state.de.us)

**Bob Palmer**, Delaware DNREC, NPS Program  
302-739-9922 • [robert.palmer@state.de.us](mailto:robert.palmer@state.de.us)

**Fred Suffian**, EPA Region 3, NPS Program  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## District of Columbia

### Oil and Grease Water Quality Goals Achieved in DC Area Stream

#### Waterbody Improved

Illegal oil and grease dumping has historically plagued Hickey Run, a tributary of the Anacostia River approximately 1 mile downstream of the Washington, DC–Maryland border. As a result of extensive outreach efforts targeting the major sources of oil and grease—including local automotive repair shops—Hickey Run was removed from the 303(d) impaired waters list for oil and grease.


#### Problem

Land use in the Hickey Run watershed is largely composed of industrial and manufacturing uses, including a number of transportation-related facilities and automotive repair shops. The stream has been historically plagued by oil and grease from illegal dumping, and also during rain storms as oil and grease from surrounding parking lots, roads, and bridges flush into the storm sewer system, often overflowing directly into the stream. In 1996 Hickey Run was included on the DC 303(d) list for oil and grease, PCBs, and chlordane. In 1998 organics and bacteria were added to the list of pollutants impairing Hickey Run.


#### Project Highlights

In 1998 the DC Department of Health (DOH), Environmental Health Administration, developed a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for oil and grease calling for a reduction in point source loads by 89 percent and nonpoint source loads by 30 percent. The TMDL set the overall reduction goal at 77 percent of existing oil and grease loads. Because the automotive repair shops were an easily recognizable source of oil and grease in Hickey Run, the DOH reached out to them through the Environmental Education Compliance of Auto Repair Shops (EE-CARS) Program. Businesses were provided educational resources, comprehensive surveys, and follow-up visits. The industry responded by reducing the amount

Hickey Run Oil and Grease 1990-1992



Hickey Run Oil and Grease WQS Attainment Data



These graphs illustrate an 88 percent reduction in oil and grease that has led to the removal of Hickey Run from the 303(d) list of impaired waters.

of oil and grease entering Hickey Run by an even larger percentage than what the TMDL required.

In addition, in January 2004 the DC DOH, Environmental Health Administration, DC Water and Sewer Authority (WASA), and USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that outlined the responsibilities of each organization in the cleanup. The MOU calls for the installation of a debris/floatables and oil/grease removal system that would be designed and constructed by the ARS in collaboration with the DOH and WASA. As effective as outreach has been, the proposed system will ensure that oil and grease will not degrade Hickey Run in the future for storm events of half an inch or less. Industry around Hickey Run faces high employee turnover, making technological control beneficial in protecting the waterbody from the impacts of poor shop management practices, intentional dumping incidents, and infrequent, but significant spills. Construction is expected to begin in 2006.

The DC government, in partnership with ARS, is also developing a restoration plan to address other problems in the Hickey Run watershed. The stream experiences unnaturally high flows during storm events—due to large areas of paved or otherwise impervious surfaces—resulting in severely eroded stream banks and channels. The lowest mile of the stream currently loses 1,100 tons of sediment per year. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) finished a comprehensive assessment of Hickey Run and its tributaries in December 2004 and is

now preparing a plan intended to mitigate the damage and restore the stream by using natural channel design. Implementing the plan will produce 850 feet of natural channel design, resulting in reduced sediment loss, improved stream functioning, and increased wildlife habitat.

## Results

Water quality data obtained in 2002 suggest that implementation efforts reduced overall oil and grease loading to Hickey Run by 88 percent compared to loading amounts reported in 1998. This result exceeds the 77 percent total reduction goal established by the TMDL. The District of Columbia 2002 and 2003 Discharge Monitoring Reports indicate that Hickey Run is achieving water quality goals for oil and grease levels less than 10 mg/L. As a result, Hickey Run has been removed from the 303(d) list of impaired waters for oil and grease.

## Partners and Funding

The USDA's U.S. National Arboretum, National Park Service, District of Columbia WASA, USDA ARS, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 3, and Government of the District of Columbia all contributed to the success of oil and grease load reductions in Hickey Run. With the assistance of section 319 funding, almost \$2.2 million is allocated for the design and construction of the debris/floatables and oil/grease removal system. Of the USFWS and section 319 funding that DOH has received, \$234,040 was spent on creating the design plans for the restoration project and \$115,370 was spent on assessing the water quality.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004J  
July 2005

## For additional information contact:

### Dr. Karen Zhang

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service  
301-504-5557 • [zhangk@ba.ars.usda.gov](mailto:zhangk@ba.ars.usda.gov)

### Alexi Boado

Government of The District of Columbia  
202-535-1798 • [Alexi.boado@dc.gov](mailto:Alexi.boado@dc.gov)

### Peter Hill

Government of The District of Columbia  
202-535-2691 • [peter.hill@dc.gov](mailto:peter.hill@dc.gov)

### Fred Suffian

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Idaho

## Forestry, Agricultural, and Stormwater Best Management Practices Improve Quality of Reservoir

### Waterbody Improved

Water quality in the Cascade Reservoir, 70 miles north of Boise in central Idaho, has been adversely affected by phosphorus loading from various sources, including forestry operations and agricultural activities. By upgrading forest roads and implementing grazing best management practices, project partners saw a 57 percent reduction in phosphorus, exceeding the 37 percent reduction goal.

### Problem

The Cascade Reservoir has been plagued with excessive algae blooms that have degraded fish habitat and caused poor water quality for swimming and boating. Water quality studies identified phosphorus as the pollutant of concern in the watershed, and as a result the reservoir was added to the state's 303(d) list for phosphorus. Point source pollution from wastewater treatment plants and a fish hatchery contributed about 10 percent of the phosphorus loading to the watershed. Nonpoint sources like forestry, agriculture, and urban areas contributed an estimated 84 percent, and poorly functioning or failing septic tanks were the source of the remaining 6 percent. The *Cascade Reservoir Phase II Watershed Management Plan* outlined a need to reduce phosphorus by 37 percent throughout the watershed to bring the reservoir into compliance with water quality standards.

### Project Highlights

In 1995 the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality initiated a phased total maximum daily load (TMDL) process to address concerns about excess phosphorus. Forestry implementation projects addressed road-related sediment runoff along more than 109 miles of road



*Before:* Drain ditch leading to Cascade Reservoir prior to installation of BMPs.

*After:* Drain ditch after cattle have been removed from the ditch area and vegetation reestablished.



by graveling 81 miles, closing 3.5 miles, paving 0.1 mile, and installing drainage upgrades on 24.7 miles of road. Agricultural implementation projects addressed grazing and irrigation management through livestock exclusion, fencing, tree and shrub planting, and wildlife habitat management. Urban and suburban implementation projects included the creation of wetlands as indirect treatment measures for stormwater discharges, as well as implementing parking lot upgrades and drainage improvements as direct stormwater treatment measures.

## Summary of Estimated Phosphorus Loads and Reductions for Point and Nonpoint Sources in the Cascade Reservoir Watershed, 1994 through 2002

	Total Load (kg/yr)	Projected Reduction (kg/yr) <sup>a</sup>	Reduction Achieved to Date (kg)	Percent of Reduction Achieved to Date
<b>Point Sources</b>				
McCall Wastewater Treatment Plant <sup>b</sup>	3,947	3,947	3,947	100%
Idaho Fish and Game fish hatchery	726	508	508	100%
Point source totals	4,673	4,455	4,455	100%
<b>Nonpoint Sources</b>				
Forestry	8,840	2,652	2,675	101%
Agriculture	11,740	3,485	745	21%
Urban and suburban	4,423	1,359	255	19%
Septic systems	2,205	1,544	838 <sup>c</sup>	38%
Unidentified and natural sources	8,508	2,134	80	4%
Nonpoint source totals	35,716	11,174	4,593	41%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>39,881</b>	<b>15,121</b>	<b>8,540</b>	<b>57%</b>

<sup>a</sup> Contains management, natural, and background loading.

<sup>b</sup> Construction of winter storage pond is not yet complete. Storage and delivery systems will be completed and tested. Additional options for effluent use are being investigated to ensure that the system will operate with no discharge to North Fork Payette River in extreme water years.

<sup>c</sup> The 838 kg figure used assumes that all septic-to-sewer hookups completed included proper decommissioning of the septic tanks. This assumption has yet to be validated. Septic decommissioning is being evaluated.

## Results

Initial monitoring data indicate a 57 percent reduction in phosphorus, exceeding the 37 percent reduction goal. The reductions achieved have resulted in improved water quality conditions in the reservoir. Improved dissolved oxygen conditions were observed in the reservoir in 1999, 2000, and 2001. Continued reductions in phosphorus from nonpoint sources are expected through the participation of partners throughout the watershed.

## Partners and Funding

This project's success has been ensured through the broad participation of agencies and the local project sponsors. Partners include the Idaho Department of Lands, USDA's Natural Resources Conservation Service and Forest Service, Boise Cascade Corporation, Valley Soil and Water Conservation District, City of McCall, and local residents. Project costs from 1997 to 2004 totaled more than \$20 million. Section 319 grants accounted for approximately \$1.05 million in project funds and were used to implement best management practices, including forest road upgrades, grazing management activities, and stormwater treatment wetlands.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004C  
July 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Todd Maguire**  
Nonpoint Source Management Program  
Idaho Department of Environmental Quality  
208-373-0115 • [todd.maguire@deq.idaho.gov](mailto:todd.maguire@deq.idaho.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Illinois

## Stabilizing Streambanks and Restoring Wetlands Improves Habitat

### Waterbody Improved

Streambank modification/destabilization contributed to total suspended solids (TSS) impairment of a 6.6-mile segment of Addison Creek in Illinois. Implementing streambank stabilization techniques and wetland restoration measures through section 319 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) enhanced water quality and helped Addison Creek meet TSS water quality goals for its designated water use classifications.

### Problem

Data collected in 1998 revealed that Addison Creek was not supporting designated uses for aquatic life, in part because of TSS. This data also suggested that stormwater runoff contributed to the impairment through streambank modification/destabilization. As a result, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) placed a 6.6-mile segment of Addison Creek in Cook County, Illinois, on the 2002 CWA section 303(d) list of impaired waters (Figure 1).

### Project Highlights

Illinois EPA used CWA section 319 funds to implement three nonpoint source pollution control projects in the Addison Creek watershed since 1998. These projects reduced nonpoint source pollution by applying bioengineering techniques to stabilize approximately 8,720 feet of eroding streambanks. Specific techniques included A-jacks with vegetation, Stabilator toe with vegetation, riprap, lunkers, and vegetated gabion baskets (Figures 2 and 3). The project partners also removed selected trees to allow increased light penetration, built riffles, and planted native forbs, grasses, and sedges. In addition, they restored a 30-foot-wide, 1.29-acre wetland on each side of a 1,300-foot-long section of stream (Figure 4).

Addison Creek is a tributary of Salt Creek, which is also included on Illinois' CWA section 303(d) list. A report containing the total maximum daily loads and the implementation plan for




Figure 1. Map of Addison Creek watershed.



Figure 2. A gabion toe (cage filled with earth and rocks) protects the left and right banks in this section of Addison Creek.





Figure 3. Streambank stabilization techniques used on this portion of the creek include vegetated banks with an A-Jacks toe on the left bank and a Stabulator toe on the right bank.



Figure 4. Along this section of the creek, the partners restored a streamside wetland and stabilized the streambank with vegetation, riprap, and riffles.

Salt Creek was completed and approved in September 2004.

## Results

Although Addison Creek was still identified as not supporting designated uses for aquatic life in 2006, TSS and streambank modification/destabilization have been removed as a cause and source of impairment. TSS did not exceed 116 milligrams per liter in any samples from the Ambient Water Quality Monitoring Network station on Addison Creek between 2000 and 2003. Habitat data collected in 2001 at this station rated bank vegetative protection/stability as good. The segment will remain listed for excess nutrients, various metals, pathogens, total dissolved solids, and flow regime alterations.

## Partners and Funding

A combination of \$444,561 in section 319 grants and \$300,891 in matched costs enabled Addison Creek Conservancy District to implement streambank stabilization practices. The restoration of the riparian buffer zone was completed by the City of Northlake using \$296,443 in section 319 funding and \$2,000,000 local cost-share. The total cost of this project was \$3,041,895.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC  
EPA 841-F-07-001GG  
December 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Scott Ristau**  
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency  
217-782-3362  
[Scott.Ristau@illinois.gov](mailto:Scott.Ristau@illinois.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Indiana

### Agricultural BMPs Reduce Chlordane and Sediment in Pigeon Creek

#### Waterbody Improved

Pigeon Creek, in southwestern Indiana, was impaired for chlordane and other priority pollutants from use of these chemicals on agricultural lands with poor stream buffers and high historic soil loss. Indiana placed 32 miles of this waterbody on its 303(d) list in 1996 and again in 1998 based on fish tissue data collected. Installing best management practices (BMPs) such as vegetated buffers and conservation tillage, combined with landowner education, produced a measurable improvement in water quality. As a result, Indiana removed Pigeon Creek from the 303(d) list in 2002.

#### Problem

The Pigeon Creek watershed lies within Posey, Warrick, Gibson, and Vanderburgh counties in southwestern Indiana. The creek flows south to the Ohio River, where its waters enter upstream of the city of Evansville's drinking water intake. Agriculture is the watershed's main land use. Crops in this watershed were historically treated with chlordane to control insects. Even though use of chlordane was prohibited in the early 1980s, high levels of this chemical persist in the sediments in Pigeon Creek and its tributaries. Because these chemicals form a strong bond with soil, Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) and local watershed groups have identified erosion from agricultural lands as the chief source of these pollutants.

The allowable threshold level of chlordane at the time that the original samples were collected would have been the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) action level for chlordane, which is the total of all isomers with results  $> 0.02$  milligrams per liter. The value cited for fish tissue in the FDA's handbook, *Action Levels for Poisonous or Deleterious Substances in Human Food and Animal Feed*, is 0.3 parts per million (ppm), which was current as of August 2000.

#### Project Highlights

In 1997 the Citizens for the Improvement of Pigeon Creek cooperated with the Natural

Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the Vanderburgh County Soil and Water District (SWCD) to develop a watershed plan for the portion of Pigeon Creek in Vanderburgh County. The partners received Clean Water Act (CWA) section 319 funding to support projects on Pigeon Creek and its tributaries, including enhanced watershed planning, education, and installing BMPs such as filter strips, grassed waterways, field buffers, and conservation tillage.

From 1997 through 2001 the partners installed more than 50 BMPs designed to reduce soil erosion in the Pigeon Creek watershed. These land treatment measures have significantly reduced the amount of contaminant reaching the stream, allowing the legacy sources to be covered by cleaner sediments from other points in the watershed, moved naturally, or degraded over time. Locally led efforts continue to address sediment loading to streams in the Pigeon Creek watershed.

#### Results

In 2002 IDEM assessed water quality in Pigeon Creek to determine whether a total maximum daily load (TMDL) was still needed. IDEM further analyzed Pigeon Creek in 2005. IDEM compared the 1992 and 2005 data to the current FDA action level for chlordane (see table).

**Table 1. Comparison of fish tissue chlordane isomer levels taken from channel catfish sampled in Pigeon Creek at Kleymyer Park, Evansville, Indiana. The sample point is near the lowest point of the Pigeon Creek watershed.**

Parameter	September 1992 sample results (wet weight)	August 2005 sample results (wet weight)	Reduction
Chlordene, Alpha-	.082 ppm	.014 ppm	83%
Chlordene, Gamma-	.056 ppm	.004 ppm	93%
Nonachlor, cis-	.055 ppm	.009 ppm	84%
Nonachlor, trans-	.11 ppm	.032 ppm	71%
Oxychlordane	.012 ppm	.001 ppm	92%
<b>Total chlordane residue</b>	<b>.315 ppm</b>	<b>.060 ppm</b>	<b>81%</b>
<b>FDA action level for chlordane*</b>	<b>.3 ppm</b>	<b>.3 ppm</b>	—

\* Maximum concentration of allowable levels of chlordane residue in edible portions of fish tissue.

Using the FDA action levels for determining impairment, the results indicated that Pigeon Creek was no longer impaired for chlordane. Therefore, the data indicated that chlordane and priority organic pollutant levels had dropped to levels sufficient to remove Pigeon Creek from the 303(d) list for both parameters.

The reductions in chlordane and other priority organic pollutants can be attributed to the efforts in this watershed to address sedimentation from erosion of croplands, which is the primary source of these pollutants. The BMPs in 1999 resulted in an estimated soil savings of 584 tons per year. Chlordane levels in fish tissue dropped significantly, including levels of chlordane breakdown isomers, further indicating that the sources of chlordane were successfully addressed by installing agricultural BMPs.

The Pigeon Creek Watershed Management Plan is addressing other water quality impairments in addition to those associated with chlordane and priority organic pollutants. IDEM and the local watershed group will continually assess progress on the status of these other impairments and determine what further work is needed.

## Partners and Funding

This project was supported by \$171,990 from two CWA section 319 grants (awarded in 1997 and 1999). Landowners and partner agencies within the watershed contributed an additional \$42,997 in matching funds, in-kind services, and materials. Partners for the CWA section 319 grants included the Vanderburgh, Warrick, Gibson, and Posey County SWCDs, as well as the Four Rivers Resource Conservation and Development office. These partners helped to select sites for BMP installation, conduct education and outreach activities, and offer technical support. Monitoring and assessment of water quality in 2002 was funded by \$78,001 from a CWA section 205(j) grant to IDEM's Assessment Branch. The Indiana Department of Natural Resources, through the Lake and River Enhancement program, funded planning and BMP installation projects amounting to \$270,000 in state funds. The NRCS greatly assisted this project by allocating \$135,000 each year for the years of 1997, 1998, and 1999 through the Environmental Quality Incentives Program.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC  
EPA 841-F-07-001FF  
December 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Andrew Pelloso**  
Indiana Department of Environmental Management  
317-233-2481  
apeloso@idem.in.gov





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Iowa

## Nine Eagles Lake Overcomes Siltation and Turbidity Problems

### Waterbody Improved

Even though the Nine Eagles Lake watershed lies within Nine Eagles State Park and is almost entirely forested, erosion has created siltation and turbidity problems in the lake. Sediment basins were constructed to slow sediment delivery to the lake, and trails were reworked to reduce erosion. Post-project monitoring data reveal an 85 percent reduction in sediment delivery, exceeding the 50 percent reduction goal set by the total maximum daily load (TMDL).

### Problem

In 1998 Iowa included Nine Eagles Lake on the state's 303(d) list due to high turbidity. The main cause of turbidity in the lake is colloidal clays, which remain suspended for long periods. The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) completed a forestry management plan for the state park area in February 2001. A detailed assessment of the area identified improperly maintained trails and failing sediment ponds as two of the leading causes of erosion.

### Project Highlights

In 2001 EPA approved a TMDL for turbidity in the Nine Eagles Lake watershed. The TMDL established water clarity targets (as measured by a Secchi disk depth) of 1.25 meters and a 50 percent reduction in sediment delivery.

To accomplish these goals, IDNR developed an implementation plan for Nine Eagles Lake focusing on reducing sediment delivery in the watershed. Section 319 grant funds were used to construct 17 sediment basins. In 2003 the IDNR Parks Bureau rerouted and reworked some of the trails to reduce impacts. Throughout the project, care was taken to protect the forested areas, home to the endangered Indiana bat.



Nine Eagles Lake is a popular recreation area where visitors enjoy swimming, boating, and fishing.

### Results

To evaluate the impact of the project, bathymetric mapping was used to map the original lake bottom and the depth of sediment deposits. Further monitoring of Nine Eagles Lake took place in 2000–2004 as part of the Iowa Lakes Survey.



Seventeen new sediment basins were constructed using section 319 funds.

After installation of the new sediment control structures, monitoring data indicated an 85 percent reduction in sediment delivery to Nine Eagles Lake, surpassing the TMDL target of a 50 percent reduction. The average Secchi disk depth increased to 1.7 meters (n=14), exceeding the TMDL target of 1.25 meters. Because the TMDL targets for sediment delivery reduction and Secchi disk depth have been met, IDNR has removed Nine Eagles Lake from the next 303(d) list of impaired waters for turbidity.

## Partners and Funding

Many bureaus within the IDNR worked together throughout this project, including the Nonpoint Source Program, Forestry Bureau, Fisheries Bureau, Parks Bureau, and TMDL program. IDNR also called on the expertise of the U.S. Geological Survey to use benthic mapping to show the original lake bottom and the depth of sediment deposited in the lake. Section 319 grant funds totaling \$139,689 provided the necessary funds to construct sediment basins throughout the watershed.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004D  
July 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Mike McGhee**  
Iowa Department of Natural Resources  
515-281-6281



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Iowa

## Sediment Basins at Slip Bluff Lake Reduce Sediment by 85 Percent

### Waterbody Improved

Even though 70 percent of Slip Bluff Lake's 240-acre watershed lies within Slip Bluff Lake Park, erosion occurring throughout the watershed created a sediment problem in the lake. Sediment control basins were constructed throughout the watershed to slow sediment delivery, and the lake's shoreline was stabilized with riprap. Sediment delivery was reduced by 64 percent, exceeding the 50 percent goal set by the total maximum daily load (TMDL).

### Problem

Iowa included Slip Bluff Lake on the state's 1998 303(d) list because of impairments due to siltation. The main source of sediment delivery to the lake was gully and streambank erosion in the forested areas of the watershed. This erosion contributed colloidal clays, which stay in suspension for long periods. Shoreline erosion was also contributing large amounts of sediment to the lake.

### Project Highlights


In August 2001 EPA approved a TMDL for siltation that called for a 50 percent reduction in sediment delivery to the lake. To accomplish this goal, the Decatur County Conservation Board and the Decatur Soil and Water Conservation District proposed the construction of two large basins to slow sediment delivery originating from gully erosion. The Iowa Department of Natural Resources' (IDNR) Nonpoint Source Pollution Program provided further suggestions to address the problem using a watershed approach. As a result, the plan was expanded to include seven smaller sediment basins throughout the watershed. To further stabilize the shoreline of Slip Bluff Lake, the Iowa Department of Transportation and the Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship, Division of Soil Conservation (IDALS-DSC), provided funds to riprap portions of the shoreline.



Sediment basins prevent excess sediment from reaching the lake by collecting runoff water and allowing sediment to settle out of the water and be deposited in the sediment basin.







Sediment control structures were constructed throughout the watershed to reduce sediment delivery to the lake.

To ensure the continued success of this project, the Decatur County Conservation Board maintained the project by planting additional seedlings in exposed soil on the constructed sediment basins.

## Results

Following the installation of the sediment basins, sediment delivery to Slip Bluff Lake was recalculated. Monitoring data indicate a 64 percent reduction in sediment delivery, exceeding the 50 percent goal set by the TMDL. The sediment reduction also resulted in a 50 percent improvement in water transparency. Slip Bluff Lake is no longer listed on the Iowa 303(d) list for sediment.

## Partners and Funding

IDALS-DSC Watershed Protection Program Funds totaling \$35,000 covered the cost of constructing the two large sediment basins, and IDNR, through section 319, provided \$31,219 for the construction of the seven smaller sediment control structures. The Decatur County Conservation Board provided additional project funding, and IDALS-DSC and the Iowa Department of Transportation provided funds for riprap of portions of the shoreline. The IDNR Fisheries Bureau helped determine the impact of the project by conducting an aquatic life assessment at Slip Bluff Lake.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004E  
July 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Richard Erke**  
Decatur County Conservation Board  
641-446-7307

**Kevin Reynold**  
Natural Resources Conservation Service  
641-446-4135

**Ubbo Agena**  
Iowa Department of Natural Resources  
515-281-6402



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Kentucky

## Acid Mine Drainage Abated in Rock Creek

### Waterbody Improved

Acid mine drainage from coal mines had decimated aquatic life in a 4-mile stretch of Rock Creek. Best management practices (BMPs) installed in the Rock Creek watershed, including removal of coal refuse from streambank areas and treatment of creek water with limestone to increase pH, have decreased acid loading to the creek, resulting in a reclassification from full nonsupport to partial support for aquatic life and swimming on Kentucky's 303(d) list of impaired waters.

### Problem

The upper portion of Rock Creek between the Kentucky-Tennessee border and the stream's juncture with White Oak Creek is designated as a wild and outstanding natural resource water. However, below the stream's juncture with White Oak Creek, acid mine drainage, from more than 40 coal mine portals and 8 coal refuse dumps, has severely affected aquatic life. In 1990 Kentucky listed Rock Creek on the 303(d) list as nonsupporting for aquatic life and swimming. A total maximum daily load for Rock Creek is under development.

### Project Highlights

The Kentucky Division of Abandoned Mine Lands led the implementation of Phase 1 of the Rock Creek restoration project in spring 2000. Coal refuse that contributes to acidic conditions in runoff was removed from the banks of the creek, and open limestone channels and a modified vertical flow wetland system were installed to further neutralize acidic drainage. Water in the creek was further treated with monthly applications of limestone sand to reduce acidity.

### Results

Activities to date have dramatically improved the water quality in the lower Rock Creek watershed. Acid loading into the Big South



Bank restoration along Rock Creek has reduced sediment loading by 500 tons per year.

Fork of the Cumberland River from Rock Creek has decreased from a monthly average of 110 metric tons to near zero. Removing 25,000 cubic yards of coal refuse from streamside areas and revegetating the banks of Rock Creek have reduced the sediment entering the stream by 500 tons annually. Fish populations are improving in the lower Rock Creek watershed, and the number and diversity of fish species are increasing. Stations that once supported no fish are now supporting fish. Because of these improvements, Rock Creek has been reclassified from full nonsupport to partial support for aquatic life and swimming on the 2002 Kentucky 303(d) list.

Phase 2 of this project, already in the works, includes installing more alkaline-producing features in the watershed to ensure long-term results in Rock Creek. These features will reduce the need for monthly limestone dosing of the tributaries. The 4 miles of Rock Creek affected by acid mine drainage might become a viable fishery thanks to the hard work and cooperation of the many agencies involved.

## Partners and Funding

The Rock Creek Task Force was formed in the mid-1990s with the goal of restoring the lower Rock Creek watershed. This group includes 12 state and federal agencies and conservation organizations. Under Phase 1, section 319 provided \$200,000 in grant funding for the construction of open limestone beds and removal of coal refuse from the banks of the creek. Other Phase 1 funding included \$280,000 from the Appalachian Clean Streams Initiative, \$250,000 from a Personal Responsibility in a Desirable Environment grant from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, \$160,000 from a Kentucky Abandoned Mine Land Grant, and \$80,000 from the U.S. Geological Survey cost-share program.



Rock Creek bank before restoration.



Rock Creek bank after restoration.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004H  
July 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Mark Carew**  
Kentucky Division of Abandoned Mine Lands  
502-564-2141  
[mark.carew@ky.gov](mailto:mark.carew@ky.gov)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Maine

## Cobbossee Lake Restored: 35 Years of Sustained Work Succeeds

### Waterbody Improved

Cobbossee Lake had a long history of nuisance algae blooms that turned its once sparkling clear, trout-filled water murky green.

Nonpoint source pollution in Cobbossee Lake's watershed, as well as pollution from upstream lakes, delivered excess phosphorus into the lake. Elevated phosphorus levels promoted algae blooms, which discouraged recreation, spoiled aquatic habitat, and caused the lake to not meet water quality standards. After 35 years of restoration work, including upstream alum treatments and widespread installation of best management practices (BMPs), Cobbossee Lake exhibits remarkably improved water clarity. The lake has been free of nuisance algae blooms for the past 10 years and now attains water quality standards. This impressive recovery prompted the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to remove Cobbossee Lake from Maine's section 303(d) impaired waters list in 2006.

### Problem

Cobbossee Lake (short for Cobbosseecontee), a large 5238-acre lake in central Maine, is valued by people for fishing, swimming, boating, and wildlife. One of Maine's premier bass fishing lakes, Cobbossee Lake is also a secondary source of drinking water for Maine's capital—Augusta.


In the 1960s water quality in Cobbossee Lake began to deteriorate. Elevated nutrient (i.e., phosphorus) levels spurred the growth of noxious blue-green algae, which reduced water clarity, formed green surface scums, and depleted oxygen in the bottom waters of the lake. The excess phosphorus in Cobbossee Lake's watershed was caused by soil erosion and runoff from agricultural, residential, and commercial lands, and the gradual conversion of forested land into developed land. The other significant source of phosphorus came from Annabessacook Lake, immediately upstream of Cobbossee. At one time, Annabessacook received sewage discharges from the town of Winthrop, and this nutrient-rich sewage caused algae blooms. Although sewage discharges to Annabessacook Lake were eliminated by 1977, the phosphorus in the lake's sediments continued to recycle and flow into Cobbossee Lake.

The Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) assessment developed for Cobbossee Lake in 1995



Governor Baldacci (left) and DEP Commissioner Littell (right) recognize cleanup of Cobbossee Lake

estimated that two-thirds of the external phosphorus load came from the lake's direct 32-square-mile watershed, and one-third came from the indirect upstream watershed. Agriculture accounted for about 60 percent of the phosphorus and developed lands accounted for about 40 percent of the phosphorus load. The TMDL showed that in-lake phosphorus needed to be reduced to 15 parts per billion (ppb), or 5,904 kg P/yr, for Cobbossee to attain Maine's water quality criterion for water clarity (more than 2 meters of Secchi Disc Transparency).



Minimum Secchi Depth readings (1976–2006) indicate no nuisance algal blooms have occurred since 1997. Maine's definition of a nuisance algae bloom is a minimum Secchi Disk Transparency of less than 2.0 meters in lakes.

## Project Highlights

Cobbossee Watershed District (CWD), formed in 1973, collaborated with nine municipalities, Maine DEP, and federal agencies to restore Cobbossee Lake. In the 1970s and 80s, funding from EPA's Clean Lakes Program and United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Farm Bill Program helped farmers reduce polluted runoff on 31 dairy farms. Other farmers in this area received technical support from Maine DEP and USDA.

EPA funded two alum treatments that contributed to Cobbossee Lake's recovery. Alum forms an aluminum hydroxide precipitate that removes phosphorus from the water column and forms a long-lasting barrier on the lake bottom that substantially reduces phosphorus released from sediment. In 1978, CWD conducted an alum treatment in Annabessacook Lake. In 1986, an alum treatment and watershed BMP-implementation at another upstream lake, Cochnewagon, further reduced phosphorus inputs to Cobbossee Lake.

In addition, CWD helped towns and landowners adopt erosion control BMPs at homes, on town roads, and on private camp roads. In the early 1990s, five towns adopted ordinances requiring that new developments be designed to meet strict phosphorus allocation standards for stormwater runoff. Under two EPA section 319-funded projects in the 1990s, a significant number of erosion control and nutrient management practices were installed on dairy farms, along roads, and on residential properties. One of these section 319 projects was in Jock Stream, a major tributary responsible for one-third of the phosphorus loading from Cobbossee Lake's direct watershed.

## Results

Cobbossee Lake now meets water quality standards, which in Maine means that the lake has a stable or improving trophic state and has been free of culturally induced algae blooms. Maine DEP removed Cobbossee Lake from the state's 303(d) list during the 2006 cycle.

## Partners and Funding

CWD provided sustained leadership, water quality assessment, and technical services. Many local, state, and federal partners contributed funding and services over the years. Key partners include watershed towns, the Kennebec County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD), USDA, Maine DEP, EPA, Maine Department of Transportation, Cobbossee Lake Association, Annabessacook Lake Improvement Association, and Friends of Cobbossee Watershed.

From 1975 to 1985, EPA provided more than \$1 million in Clean Lakes grants for diagnostic studies and restoration activities, including alum treatments and BMP installations, throughout the CWD. Two EPA section 319-funded projects helped control NPS in the watershed. From 1995 to 1998, CWD demonstrated effective erosion and sediment control BMPs using \$35,820 in section 319 funds and \$23,880 in matching funds. From 1999 to 2004, Kennebec County SWCD reduced phosphorus and sediment export from roads and farms in the Jock Stream watershed using \$220,040 in section 319 funds and \$152,117 in matching funds.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001F  
May 2007

## For additional information, contact:

**Norm Marcotte**, Maine Department  
of Environmental Protection  
207-287-3901 • [norm.g.marcotte@maine.gov](mailto:norm.g.marcotte@maine.gov)

**William Monagle**, CLM, Cobbossee Watershed District  
207-377-2234 • [cwg@fairpoint.net](mailto:cwd@fairpoint.net)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Maryland

## Collaborative Planning Leads to Community-Wide Solutions

### Waterbody Improved

The Georges Creek watershed in western Maryland faced challenging water quality problems from persistent flooding, acid mine drainage, and combined sewer overflows. Through a combination of projects targeting the stabilization of streambanks, removal of fish blockage, and treatment of mine seeps, the stream now supports increased fish populations and over 2,200 tons of sediment are kept out of the stream each year.

### Problem

Georges Creek is listed as impaired under Maryland's 303(d) list due to acid mine drainage (AMD), nutrients and suspended sediment, and biochemical oxygen demand. In response to ongoing problems with poor water quality, the Maryland Department of Natural Resources' Watershed Services Center conducted a Stream Corridor Assessment survey of Neff Run, a tributary to Georges Creek, in 1999. The survey identified problems such as bank erosion, acid mine drainage, pipe outfalls, fish barriers, trash dumping, combined sewer overflows, failing septic systems, and other unusual conditions along Neff Run.

### Project Highlights

In February 1999 a work group consisting of federal, state, local, and nonprofit entities received funding to develop a restoration plan through Project Impact: Mitigation Other Than Acquisition (MOTA). The two-phase Neff Run Watershed Restoration Plan outlined strategies for protecting and enhancing water quality, stream stability, habitat, and recreation opportunities in the watershed of Neff Run.

Phase I called for the removal of a major fish blockage and installation of rock cross vanes and j-hooks (both consist of rock structures placed across the stream channel) to reduce stream erosion. Also, approximately 4,000 feet of fence and stream bank protection was installed to stabilize the stream. The Phase I



Photos of the culvert/fish blockage taken before and after the Neff Run Phase I project show significant improvement. (Photographs courtesy of Maryland DNR's Watershed Services Center)



activities were mainly performed by volunteers from local community groups.

Phase II of the project included the removal of an additional fish blockage that prevented the migration of fish upstream. To eliminate the blockage, 16 rock cross vanes were installed downstream to stabilize the channel and elevate the streambed. The Allegany Soil Conservation District assisted with the restoration of an additional 1,000 feet of streambank and stream channel.

To supplement restoration efforts, the Maryland Bureau of Mines is addressing acid mine seeps at three sites of the Phase I project and two sites of the Phase II project by installing limestone leach beds.

## Results

The combination of all Phase I efforts resulted in a sedimentation/siltation reduction of 1,795 tons per year and prevented more than 280 tons of manure per year from being deposited in the stream. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Phase II efforts resulted in significant reductions in sediment loads to the stream—450 tons of sediment per year.

Since a 1999 fish population survey conducted by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, several fish species, including central stonerollers and rosieside dace, have repopulated Neff Run below the restoration site, and white sucker have been identified in the stretch of Neff Run near the Judy Miller farm. Efforts in both phases of the project have contributed to the recolonization and repopulation of fish species in the watershed.

Improvements to Georges Creek have been successful, but to ensure continuous

protection of the water resources, the Georges Creek Watershed Association holds monthly public meetings to discuss watershed issues and potential solutions. The association remains optimistic, saying that in the future they envision healthy streams that support wildlife while also allowing for public development of the area around the creek.

## Partners and Funding

Project Impact: MOTA provided funding to develop the restoration plan. The two-phase restoration effort in the Neff Run watershed cost a total of \$391,300. Section 319 funds provided through the Maryland Nonpoint Source Program provided \$173,000 for erosion control and streambank stabilization projects. In addition, approximately \$142,000 was spent implementing AMD treatment measures. Section 319 provided \$85,500 for this project and the Maryland Bureau of Mines provided the remaining \$56,500 in matching funds. The implementation of the Neff Run Watershed Restoration Plan would not have been a success without support from the Georges Creek Watershed Association, Nemacolin Chapter of Trout Unlimited, Boy Scout Troop 9, New Dominion School, Maple Run Youth Center, Maryland Department of Natural Resources' Watershed Services Center, Allegany Soil Conservation District, Maryland Department of the Environment, Maryland Department of Agriculture, USDA NRCS, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 3.

<b>Relative Abundance of Fish Species Downstream from Restoration Site (CPUE fish/hour)</b>		
<b>Species</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2002</b>
Central stoneroller	0	133
Rosieside dace	0	61
Blacknose dace	438	623
Creek chub	55	42
<b>Total Species</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>

## For additional information contact:

### **Craig Hartsock**

Allegany Soil Conservation District  
301-777-1747 • [craig.hartsock@md.nacdnet.net](mailto:craig.hartsock@md.nacdnet.net)

### **Fred Suffian**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)

### **Joe Woodfield**

Maryland Department of the Environment  
410-537-4222 • [jwoodfield@mde.state.md.us](mailto:jwoodfield@mde.state.md.us)

### **Joe Mills**

Maryland Department of the Environment  
Bureau of Mines  
301-689-6764 • [jmills@allconet.org](mailto:jmills@allconet.org)

### **Ken Sloate**

Maryland Department of Natural Resources  
410-260-8736 • [ksloate@dnr.state.md.us](mailto:ksloate@dnr.state.md.us)

### **Sam Thrasher**

Georges Creek Watershed Association  
301-463-3074 • [gcwatershed@mindspring.com](mailto:gcwatershed@mindspring.com)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004N  
August 2005





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Massachusetts

### As Salt Water Returns to Winsegansett Marsh, So Does Natural Vegetation

#### Waterbody Improved

When human activities reduced the amount of tidal flushing in Winsegansett Marsh, causing overall salinity to decline, marsh vegetation responded to the change. Salt-intolerant species, including the invasive common reed, began to dominate the plant community. In 2002 public and private partners installed large culverts at strategic locations to improve tidal flushing. Within three years, the natural salt marsh vegetation had begun to return.

#### Problem

Winsegansett Marsh is on the western shore of Sconticut Neck, in the town of Fairhaven. Human activities over the years have exacted a toll on the health of this 30-acre transition zone between the land and Buzzards Bay. Long ago, the construction of a road divided the marsh into two sections. In addition, residents installed footpaths leading through the marsh to the beach. Although culverts below these structures allowed some tidal flow into the marsh, it wasn't enough to maintain the salinity.

With a reduced amount of tidal water reaching the upper marsh, the overall salinity of the marsh decreased. This change directly affected the natural salt marsh vegetation. The salt-tolerant species declined in number and were replaced by salt-intolerant species, including common reed (*Phragmites australis*), an invasive plant that forms tall, reedy thickets, chokes out many native plant species, and has very limited value as wildlife habitat.

#### Project Highlights

To tackle this problem, various granting agencies, private landowners, the Fairhaven Conservation Commission, the Fairhaven Board of Public Works, and the Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program initiated the Winsegansett Salt Marsh Project. With the goal of restoring the upper marsh habitat, the

project partners first set out to increase tidal flow. To do this, they needed to replace the four small existing culverts with more and larger ones. In 2002 they replaced one 18-inch culvert with two 30-inch culverts. In addition, they used twin 24-inch culverts to replace several 12-inch culverts elsewhere.

Project leaders expected these actions to improve tidal exchange between the upper marsh and Buzzards Bay, causing the common reed to die off and be replaced by native salt meadow grasses.

#### Results

Before and after culvert replacement, the Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program, with the assistance of local volunteers, surveyed the marsh's dominant and subdominant vegetation and mapped the results.

As the tidal exchange between the upper marsh and Buzzards Bay improved, the increase in salinity caused the common reed and other freshwater plants to die off and be replaced by native salt meadow grasses. By 2005 the freshwater species had declined significantly. For example, while common reed constituted 44 percent of the marsh in 2000, it made up 38 percent in 2005. Areas dominated by *Spartina patens* (a native salt marsh grass) increased from 20 percent to 32 percent in the

three years following construction. High-tide bush (*Iva frutescens*) has been displaced from the middle of the marsh to the edges, another sign that natural salinity conditions are returning and that Winsegansett Marsh is being restored to the healthy and productive coastal wetland it once was.

## Partners and Funding

The Town of Fairhaven, the Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program, several town departments, state and federal agencies, and private citizens led the effort to complete the Winsegansett Salt Marsh restoration

project. Grant funding, totaling more than \$67,000, came from several sources. The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection contributed \$22,500 in section 319 funds. The remaining funds came from the Fish America Foundation (\$20,000), a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 5 Star Restoration Challenge Grant (\$9,700), the Massachusetts Environmental Trust (\$8,400), and the Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program (\$6,600). The Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program continues to donate the staff and equipment necessary to monitor habitat changes annually.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001M  
July 2007

## For additional information contact:

**John Rockwell**  
Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program  
508-291-3625, ext.14  
[jrockwell@buzzardsbay.org](mailto:jrockwell@buzzardsbay.org)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Michigan

### Stream Stabilization Projects Put Carrier Creek on the Path to Recovery

#### Waterbody Improved

Carrier Creek, a tributary to the Grand River, flows through a rapidly developing area near Lansing, Michigan. Historic channelization and more recent urban runoff resulted in eroding stream banks, high sedimentation rates, and degraded aquatic habitat for fish and macroinvertebrate communities. Extensive stream restoration and storm water retention activities have resulted in increased fish taxa at two monitoring locations.

#### Problem

Carrier Creek, in Eaton County, Michigan, is a tributary to the Grand River. Michigan has included a 4-mile segment of the creek—from its confluence with the Grand River upstream to where it flows under Interstate 496—on its 303(d) list of impaired waters for more than 10 years. Michigan water quality standards (WQS) require that all surface waters of the state are “designated for and shall be protected for, among other things, aquatic life and wildlife.” Biological assessments on Carrier Creek had shown that the macroinvertebrate community was rated *poor*, which first led Michigan’s Department of Environmental Quality (MIDEQ) to place the segment on the list of impaired waters in 1996.

MIDEQ determined that the quality of the biota in this segment of the creek was reduced by urban runoff, poor in-stream habitat, and excessive sediment deposition (Figures 1 and 2). MIDEQ completed a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Carrier Creek biota in 2002. As noted in the TMDL, achievement of the WQS for designated uses for Carrier Creek will be demonstrated by assessing the macroinvertebrate community and the in-stream habitat as it relates to sediment.

#### Project Highlights

In 2000 a team of contractors, local agencies, and volunteers stabilized and restored 5 miles (3,771 linear feet) of channel using funding from MIDEQ. These projects increased channel stability, improved in-stream habitat, and reconnected the channel to its floodplain. The upstream end of the channel was narrowed, and the stream pattern was reestablished with structures that promote meandering. Throughout the rest of the restored reach, various structures were installed to stabilize the channel, including cross-vanes, J-hooks, lunkers, log revetments and riprap (Figures 3, 4, and 5). In some locations, the project team removed dredge spoils that were separating the stream from its natural floodplain.

In 2002 project partners created a 32-acre wetland in the headwaters of the watershed to intercept storm water runoff and decrease stream flashiness, or the tendency for the stream to rise and fall quickly after storms. In 2004 the Perrin Chapter of Trout Unlimited installed 50 large, wooden lunker boxes along the creek to provide shelter and resting points for fish.

In addition, the Eaton County Drain Commissioner is enhancing storm water detention and flow control



Figure 1. Before: Note the shallow, linear stream channel and the lack of in-stream habitat features.



Figure 2. Before: Note the erosion of historic dredge spoils and poor in-stream habitat.



Figure 3. After: Restored stream meanders.



throughout the upper portion of the watershed to stabilize the channel, reduce velocity of the flow, reduce erosion downstream, and reduce the amount of flooding. This work is ongoing.



Figure 4. After: A crossvane.



Figure 5. After: A J-Hook.

## Results

The data presented in Table 1 represent an interim assessment of the project's progress. MIDEQ collected data on fish, macroinvertebrates, and aquatic habitat quality at two locations within the project area, both before (2000) and after (2006) the restoration activities occurred. MIDEQ collected additional fish data in 2007. The data show that the number of fish taxa has increased at both locations, more than doubling at one site and quadrupling at the other. Macroinvertebrate populations have not responded as quickly; neither the total number of taxa nor the number of pollution-sensitive taxa (mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies) had changed substantially as of 2006. As of 2006, aquatic habitat was unchanged at one site, and had improved at the other. However, a single slippershell mussel (*Alasmidonta viridis*) was found during an informal inspection of the restored reach in 2007. The slippershell is listed as a Species of Special Concern by the Michigan Natural Features Inventory.

The restoration activities conducted to date have stabilized the stream channel and its hydrology, reduced stream bank erosion, and improved aquatic habitat. Fish and macroinvertebrate communities are beginning to respond, and future monitoring should show further improvements in the biota and eventually result in the delisting of Carrier Creek.

## Partners and Funding

In 2000 and 2002, MIDEQ provided a total of \$1,263,555 in Clean Michigan Initiative funds (section 319 grant matching funds) to the Eaton County Drain Commissioner for the stream restoration and wetland creation projects. The Drain Commissioner provided a total of \$653,943 in local matching funds. Additional partners include the Friends of Carrier Creek, city of Lansing, Windsor and Delta Charter townships, Fitzgerald Henne & Assoc., Inc., Spicer Group Inc., and Trout Unlimited.

**Table 1. Fish, macroinvertebrate, and aquatic habitat data for two Carrier Creek project area locations: before and after stream restoration**

Metric	2000 (Pre)		2006 (Post)		2007 (Post) <sup>1</sup>	
	Site 3	Site 5	Site 3	Site 5	Site 3	Site 5
<i>Fish</i>						
Number of Taxa	5	3	12	9	12	12
<i>Macroinvertebrates</i>						
Number of Taxa	12	9	9	15	—	—
Number of EPT <sup>2</sup> Taxa	2	1	1	1	—	—
Rating	Acceptable	Poor	Acceptable	Acceptable	—	—
<i>Habitat</i>						
Ranking	Good	Poor	Good	Excellent	—	—

<sup>1</sup>Macroinvertebrate and habitat surveys for 2007 are in the process of being completed.

<sup>2</sup>EPT= mayflies, caddisflies, and stoneflies—three orders of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects that are common in the benthic macroinvertebrate community.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC  
EPA 841-F-07-001BB  
November 2007

## For additional information contact:

Joe Rathbun, MIDEQ Water Bureau  
517-373-8868  
rathbunj@michigan.gov



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Michigan

## Cattle Leave, Aquatic Community Returns to Furlong Creek

### Waterbody Improved

Unrestricted cattle access to a 4-mile reach of Furlong Creek caused impairments to its aquatic macroinvertebrate community. With the creek unable to meet its aquatic life support designated use, Michigan placed the waterbody on its 303(d) list in 1996. Project partners installed fencing to keep cattle away from the creek. Soon thereafter, the macroinvertebrate community improved, allowing the state to delist the stream reach in 2005.

### Problem

Furlong Creek flows through Mackinac County in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. Surveys conducted in 1989 found diverse fish and macroinvertebrate communities in the creek. By 1999, however, cattle grazing on private property had unrestricted access to the creek. The animals walked in the creek and trampled riparian vegetation, causing excessive instream habitat disturbance and sedimentation.

Subsequent creek monitoring revealed low fish and macroinvertebrate diversity. Pollution-sensitive insect families (e.g., caddisflies, stoneflies, and mayflies) and fish species (e.g., rainbow trout) were absent or very rare. These aquatic life support impairments led Michigan to place a 4-mile segment of Furlong Creek on its 303(d) list in 1996.

### Project Highlights

In the early 2000s, the landowner, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), and Michigan Department of Agriculture (MDA) collaborated to address the water quality problems in Furlong Creek. MDA Right-to-Farm staff worked with the landowner to develop a farm management plan. In implementing the plan, project partners installed more than 20,000 feet of streambank fencing to exclude cattle from Furlong Creek.

### Results

The accompanying table shows that, by 2004, the creek had recovered. Fish and macroinvertebrate populations were essentially identical to those found before cattle gained unrestricted access to the creek. The

Biological measurement	1989 (limited cattle access)	1999 (unrestricted cattle access)	2004 (limited cattle access)
Number of fish species	12	7	13
Rainbow trout present?	Yes	No	Yes
Kinds of macroinvertebrates	24	18	26
Kinds of mayflies	2	1	3
Kinds of caddisflies	6	0	5
Mussels present?	Yes	No	Yes
<b>Macroinvertebrate community rating</b>	<b>Attainment</b>	<b>Nonattainment</b>	<b>Attainment</b>

Biological monitoring data from Furlong Creek. Pollution-sensitive fish and macroinvertebrate species returned after the installation of cattle exclusion fencing.

waterbody had recovered from cattle impacts and as a result, the state removed Furlong Creek from its 303(d) list.

## Partners and Funding

DEQ provided \$214,000 in section 319 funds to the Luce-West Mackinaw Conservation District for streambank fencing in Furlong Creek and a neighboring watershed. The funding also supported the pre- and post-project biological surveys.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

841-F-06-003O  
December 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Bill Taft**  
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality,  
Water Bureau  
517-335-4205  
[taftw@michigan.gov](mailto:taftw@michigan.gov)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Michigan

### Stabilizing Stamp Sand Deposits and Streambanks Improve Water Quality and In-stream Habitat

#### Waterbody Improved

Historic copper mining activities deposited mounds of fine-grained rock waste—also known as stamp sands—into the stream channels and floodplains of Kearsarge Creek and Scales Creek. Runoff from these stamp sands resulted in impaired aquatic macroinvertebrate communities and elevated water column copper concentrations, which led Michigan to list a combined segment of these waterbodies on its 2002 and 2004 303(d) list. Capping and stabilizing two large stamp sand deposits has decreased copper concentrations and improved the macroinvertebrate community enough that these impaired miles will be nominated for removal from the 2008 303(d) list.

#### Problem

Kearsarge Creek and Scales Creek are headwater tributaries to Houghton County's Trap Rock River in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. All three waterbodies are on Michigan's 303(d) list for excessive copper concentrations and poor biota. The 3.5-mile impaired segment of Kearsarge Creek/Scales Creek includes a portion of Kearsarge Creek upstream of where it flows into Scales Creek, as well as the lower portion of Scales Creek to its confluence with the Trap Rock River.

Copper mining operations dating from the 1860s deposited tons of fine-grained mine tailings in the floodplains of these streams, and decades of water and wind erosion have transported large quantities of these stamp sands into the stream channel and floodplain. These mineral-rich, fine-grained particles degrade aquatic life in the streams by (1) burying in-stream habitat features and (2) leaching copper into the water column. Bioassays performed in the early 1990s demonstrated that water from these headwater streams exceeded state water quality standards for copper. Biological surveys conducted at the same time found that excessive sedimentation caused degraded in-stream habitat and impoverished fish and benthic macroinvertebrate communities.

#### Project Highlights

Project partners isolated two areas of stamp sand deposits from the streams by stabilizing the stream banks and capping and revegetating the upland areas. The Houghton/Keweenaw Conservation District

**Figure 1. Kearsarge Creek before and after restoration.**



Before: Stamp sand deposits cover streambanks and riparian area.

After: Removal of upstream stamp sand source allows revegetation of streambanks and riparian area.

**Figure 2. Scales Creek before and after restoration.**



Before: Stamp sand deposits cover streambanks and riparian area.

After: Habitat improves once stamp sand source is removed and streambanks and riparian area are stabilized.

stabilized one 2.5-acre deposit in the Kearsarge Creek watershed in 1998 (Figure 1). U.S. EPA stabilized another 19-acre deposit along Scales Creek in 2005 using Superfund Program funds (Figure 2).

## Results

The Kearsarge Creek project stabilized a 2.5-acre stamp sand deposit and triggered natural revegetation downstream. This improved the in-stream habitat conditions and benthic macroinvertebrate communities. In-stream copper concentrations fell by a factor of 10, total macroinvertebrate taxa tripled, sensitive macroinvertebrate taxa (mayflies, caddisflies, and stoneflies, also known as EPT) returned, and the in-stream habitat assessment noted steadily less sediment deposition between 1991, 2001, and 2006 (Table 1). Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MIDEQ) uses a macroinvertebrate community scoring procedure to identify impaired waterbodies. Possible scores range from -9 to +9; a score of less than -4 is considered unacceptable. Macroinvertebrate scores improved from a score of -7 in 1991 to +2 and +1 in 2001 and 2006, respectively.

The Scales Creek project stabilized 19 acres of stamp sand deposits and restored 1,205 linear

feet of Scales Creek streambank. MIDEQ noted measurable improvements within one year of this project's completion; between 1991 and 2006 in-stream copper concentrations decreased slightly, total macroinvertebrate taxa increased by 40 percent, sensitive macroinvertebrate taxa doubled, and in-stream habitat features such as substrate embeddedness and sediment deposition improved substantially (Table 2). Macroinvertebrate scores, as determined by MIDEQ's scoring procedure, improved from 0 in 1991 to +4 in 2006. MIDEQ expects scores to continue to improve as biota colonizes the improved habitat. Given the positive results from both projects, MIDEQ expects to remove Kearsarge Creek/Scales Creek from the state's 303(d) list by 2008. MIDEQ will survey the creeks again in 2011.

## Partners and Funding

In 1998 MIDEQ provided \$44,359 in section 319 funds to the Houghton/Keweenaw Conservation District for the Kearsarge Creek restoration. EPA's Superfund Program restored the Scales Creek site in 2005 at a cost of \$373,000 (including a 10 percent match from Michigan). Section 319 also funded

**Table 1. Monitoring data from Kearsarge Creek, before and after stamp sand stabilization**

Year	Copper (µg/L)	Macroinvertebrate taxa	EPT taxa*	Score (-9 to +9)	Habitat category	Embeddedness	Depth regime	Sediment deposition
1991	125	3	0	-7	Fair	6	6	8
1998	<i>Stamp sands stabilized</i>							
2001	34	12	6	+2	Good	10	13	8
2005	12	12	3	+1	Good	11	14	17

\*EPT= mayflies, caddisflies, and stoneflies—three orders of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects that are common in the benthic macroinvertebrate community.

**Table 2. Monitoring data from Scales Creek, before and after stamp sand stabilization**

Year	Copper (µg/L)	Macroinvertebrate taxa	EPT taxa	Score	Habitat category	Embeddedness	Depth regime	Sediment deposition
1991	31	15	5	0	Poor	5	6	2
1998	<i>Stamp sands stabilized</i>							
2001	27	16	7	0	Good	8	12	8
2005	23	21	10	+4	Good	15	12	13



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC  
EPA 841-F-07-001AA  
November 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Bill Taft**, MIDEQ Water Bureau  
517-335-4205  
taftw@michigan.gov



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Michigan

## Best Management Practices Control Urban Nonpoint Source Pollution

### Waterbody Improved

Whetstone Brook in Michigan's Upper Peninsula was included on the 303(d) list in 1998 and 2000 for periodic fish kills.

Nonpoint source pollution control projects in the watershed have led to increased habitat, restoration of the macroinvertebrate communities within the brook, and elimination of fish kills. In 2002 Michigan removed Whetstone Brook from its list of impaired waters.

### Problem

Whetstone Brook flows through Marquette County into Marquette Harbor on Lake Superior, in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. Poor water quality caused fish kills in the early 1990s, which led Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MIDEQ) to add a 1.7-mile segment of Whetstone Brook to its 303(d) list in 1998. MIDEQ attributed the problems to sediment, litter, oil, and flash flood-prone hydrologic conditions caused by uncontrolled storm water runoff from parking lots, roads, and inadequately protected upland construction sites.

### Project Highlights

In the mid-1990s, the Marquette Conservation District (District) undertook a project that examined the Whetstone Brook watershed, established a watershed plan, and demonstrated best management practices (BMPs) for nonpoint source pollution management at two sites. The District installed 600 linear feet of streambank stabilization, 500 feet of a diversion outlet, 5,000 square feet of critical area stabilization, 6 acres of filter strip restoration, and a storm water detention basin. The District also conducted education efforts to highlight the brook and to reduce polluted runoff.

### Results

The BMPs eliminated the cause(s) of the fish kills; the last fish kill occurred in 1994. Biological monitoring conducted in 1991 (pre-implementation) and again in 2001 (post-implementation) confirmed that the project was effective. MIDEQ

**Table 1. Biological data collected downstream of the Whetstone Brook project area before and after installation of BMPs**

Year	Macroinvertebrate taxa	EPT taxa*	Score	Score Range -9 to +9
1991	10	2	-4	Acceptable
2001	16	4	-2	Acceptable

\* EPT= mayflies, caddisflies, and stoneflies—three orders of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects that are common in the benthic macroinvertebrate community.

uses a macroinvertebrate community scoring procedure to assess water quality. Possible scores range from -9 to +9; a score of less than -4 is considered *unacceptable*. The total number of macroinvertebrate taxa and the number of pollution-sensitive macroinvertebrate taxa (mayflies, caddisflies and stoneflies) increased after BMP implementation (Table 1). The MIDEQ macroinvertebrate score in Whetstone Brook improved slightly, from -4 in 1991 to -2 in 2001. MIDEQ removed Whetstone Brook from the 303(d) list in 2002.

### Partners and Funding

MIDEQ provided the Marquette Conservation District with \$101,861 in section 319 funds in 1993 and \$197,910 in section 319 funds in 1994. The District used these funds for both the pre-implementation planning and implementation of BMPs in this watershed.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC  
EPA 841-F-07-001CC  
November 2007

### For additional information contact:

Joe Rathbun, MIDEQ Water Bureau  
517-373-8868  
rathbunj@michigan.gov





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Minnesota

## Nutrient Concentrations in the Minneapolis Chain of Lakes Nearly Returned to Presettlement Conditions

### Waterbody Improved


The Minneapolis Chain of Lakes, located two and a half miles southwest of downtown Minneapolis, Minnesota, receives urban runoff delivering high levels of phosphorus and sediment from its fully developed 7,000-acre watershed. Through implementing a widespread public education campaign, sediment control measures, and other practices throughout the watershed, the Minneapolis Chain of Lakes Clean Water Partnership achieved significant in-stream reductions in sediment and phosphorus which has helped most of the lakes stay off the state's 303(d) list and has also brought a listed stream close to meeting water quality standards.

### Problem

The Minneapolis Chain of Lakes is the most visited natural resource in Minnesota, drawing more than 5.5 million visitors annually. Decades of intense recreational use and urban development was degrading water quality, contributing to algae blooms, and impacting recreational activities on the lakes. An extensive watershed and lake monitoring program identified total phosphorus and sediment as the pollutants of concern. Using innovative techniques to estimate the historical water quality of the lakes (based on readings of sediment cores), lake managers were able to identify presettlement phosphorus concentrations at less than 20  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , which increased by the end of watershed development in the 1970s to values in excess of 50  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

### Project Highlights

In 1990 citizens and interested groups formed the Minneapolis Chain of Lakes Clean Water Partnership (CWP) and started one of the largest urban lake restoration projects in the United States. CWP established nutrient reduction goals for each of the lakes in the chain, then set out to accomplish these goals through \$12.4 million of rehabilitation actions. On-the-ground practices were initiated in 1995 in the upper watershed reaches above Cedar Lake and then progressed down through the rest of the Chain of Lakes. Project activities focused on constructing sedimentation basins, wet detention ponds, and created wetlands to drain urban stormwater and intercept sediment and nutrient loads flowing



through the watershed. Projects included a two-cell wet pond at Cedar Lake and a three-cell sediment basin adjacent to Lake Calhoun. Other efforts focused on extensive shoreline erosion prevention measures from Cedar through Harriet Lakes, followed by the installation of numerous grit chambers, pocket wetlands, and improved street-sweeping practices. Alum treatments (using a nontoxic material to control internal

recycling of phosphorus from sediments on the lake bottom) were also implemented at Cedar and Lake of the Isles in 1996 and 1997, and completed in Lake Calhoun and Lake Harriet in 2001 with the support of section 319 funding. Other important measures included new regulatory controls and stepped up enforcement efforts to limit phosphorus in fertilizers.

A major education and awareness effort, beginning in 1993 and continuing to present, was also critical to the overall rehabilitation of the Chain of Lakes. The CWP increased public awareness through a marketing campaign that distributed bookmarks, table tents and paper placemats for restaurants, utility bill inserts, pet waste posters, billboards, newspaper articles, and lawn care mailings throughout the watershed.

## Results

The education and awareness efforts targeting homeowner pesticide use appear to have quickly paid off with measurements of over 50 percent reduction in pesticides observed in stormwater runoff. Present efforts continue to focus on lawn and pesticide users.

Measurable improvements in water quality have also been documented throughout the Chain of Lakes, successfully meeting or exceeding CWP goals targeting presettlement phosphorus concentrations of less than 20  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . The largest watershed changes occurred in the Lake Calhoun watershed with average total phosphorus values in the 20-25  $\mu\text{g/L}$  range (exceeding the CWP goal for Lake Calhoun of 25  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Measurable reductions also occurred in less than 3-4 years for Cedar Lake, with phosphorus concentration values decreasing from a range of 40 to 75  $\mu\text{g/L}$  to values of less than 30  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . These reductions have been accompanied by reductions in chlorophyll *a* concentrations (from averages in the 15 to 25  $\mu\text{g/L}$  to about 5  $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), and increased transparency from pretreatment averages of 1 to 2 meters to values in excess of 2 to 3 meters. Lake Harriet, the furthest downstream lake with the best water quality when the CWP started, also showed improvement,

meeting the project goal of 20  $\mu\text{g/L}$ —similar to the presettlement condition.

Lake of the Isles—a shallow lake extensively dredged over time—has not experienced as great an improvement as the other lakes, and in 2002 was placed on the state's 303(d) list as impaired by nutrients. Phosphorus values now appear to have stabilized in the 25-50  $\mu\text{g/L}$  range, slightly exceeding the targeted value of 40  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for the Lake. A TMDL is currently under development for Lake of the Isles that addresses the unique challenges of this shallow lake. Through this effort, project partners—including the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD), Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), and the City of Minneapolis—intend to establish goals that not only will result in a delisting of Lake of the Isles, but will also establish a policy for other shallow and urban lakes facing similar challenges.

As a result of the comprehensive, pro-active approach throughout the Minneapolis Chain of Lakes, all of the lakes have achieved significant reductions in sediment and phosphorus, helping most of the lakes stay off of the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters.

## Partners and Funding

The Chain of Lakes Water Quality Management Citizens Advisory Committee is composed of 34 members from neighborhoods, neighborhood organizations, appointees, business owners, recreational use groups, and environmental organizations. The majority of the initiative was locally funded by the MPRB (\$1.5 million), MCWD (\$6.1 million), City of Minneapolis (\$2.6 million), City of St. Louis Park (\$663,000), and Hennepin County. Critical diagnostic and seed money was provided by the MPCA (\$1.2 million). The U.S. EPA section 319 funds totaled \$255,000 and were used to fund kick-off efforts for the education campaign, a demonstration project on Lake Calhoun showing the effects of alum treatments, and research on the interaction between alum and milfoil (an invasive species).



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004W  
September 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Sara Aplikowski**

Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board  
612-313-7782 • [saplikowski@minneapolisparke.org](mailto:saplikowski@minneapolisparke.org)

**Jim Hafner**

Minnehaha Creek Watershed District  
952-471-0590, x202 • [jhafner@minnehahacreek.org](mailto:jhafner@minnehahacreek.org)

**Bruce Wilson**

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency  
651-282-2619 • [bruce.wilson@pca.state.mn.us](mailto:bruce.wilson@pca.state.mn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Minnesota

## Phosphorus Reductions Achieved in Sauk River Chain of Lakes

### Waterbody Improved

The Sauk River Chain of Lakes is an interconnected system of 14 bay-like lakes fed by the Sauk River in Central Minnesota.

The Sauk River Chain of Lakes is impaired by phosphorus and total suspended solids due to row cropping and livestock operations, as well as discharges from on-site septic systems. Agricultural best management practices (BMPs) and upgrades to septic systems and municipal wastewater treatment facilities throughout the Sauk River Chain of Lakes watershed have reduced total phosphorus concentrations to 176  $\mu\text{g/L}$ —nearly achieving the regional goal of 100–150  $\mu\text{g/L}$ —representing a 48 percent decrease in total phosphorus loading.

### Problem

Much of the watershed is intensely farmed with row crops and livestock operations as the main components of the economy. Over the past 25 years, the area has experienced continued growth as a popular recreation area. The transformation of homes around the lakes from smaller, seasonable cabins to larger, year-round residences has prompted concern over the impact on-site septic systems have on area water quality. In 2002 the state added the Sauk River Chain of Lakes to the 303(d) list for impairments from phosphorus.

### Project Highlights

The Sauk River Chain of Lakes Watershed Management Project was initiated in 1997 to maintain and improve water quality by reducing the impacts of nonpoint source pollution. To reduce erosion and phosphorus runoff from agricultural areas, the project partners installed and improved 50 agricultural waste storage facilities, generated more than 40 Manure Management Plans, installed feedlot filter strips and retention basins, and enrolled more than 5,000 acres into the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Farm Service Agency (FSA) Conservation Reserve Program. Vegetative buffer strips were planted and shoreline areas were restored to prevent erosion along riparian



Vegetative filter strips, installed along shorelines (lower picture), help reduce nutrient transport to the lakes.





areas. To address failing septic systems, project partners conducted an extensive outreach and education program to raise awareness about proper septic system maintenance. Low-interest loans from the State Revolving Fund were used to upgrade septic systems for 32 lakeshore residents and 2 resorts.

In addition to efforts to reduce phosphorus from nonpoint sources, in the early 1990s the Sauk River watershed was the first major watershed in the state to implement a watershed-wide phosphorus discharge limit of 1.0 mg/L for wastewater treatment facilities. Implementation of this standard contributed substantially to improvements in the Sauk River and allowed water quality improvements from projects made possible by the 319 program to become more evident.

## Results

Total phosphorus concentrations have been reduced in the Sauk River Chain of Lakes from 300 to 1,200  $\mu\text{g/L}$  in 1985 to 176  $\mu\text{g/L}$  in 2002 and 2003, representing a 48 percent decrease in total phosphorus loading. A total maximum daily load has not yet been developed, but progress is being made toward the ecoregion average goal of 100-150  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for total phosphorus. This progress has been made in spite of two decades of wet weather that has increased average annual flows by 30 percent, a factor that generally impedes measurement of improvements in water quality.

## Partners and Funding

The Sauk River Watershed District (SRWD) was the official project sponsor and coordinating agency for the project. The SRWD worked with the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Stearns



Waste storage facilities at livestock operations help reduce nutrient runoff to the lakes. Project partners installed and improved 50 storage facilities.

County Soil and Water Conservation District, Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), Minnesota Board of Soil and Water Resources, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Stearns County Environmental Services, Sauk River Chain of Lakes Association, Joint Powers Association, Pheasants Forever Inc., Farm Service Agency, Sauk River Chain of Lakes Citizen Steering Committee (with representatives from the agricultural community, lakeshore property owners, and township board members), and local landowners.

Project costs since 1999 are estimated at \$3.1 million. Section 319 provided \$750,000 in funding to assist farmers with the installation of agricultural BMPs and the septic system maintenance education program. Other funding sources included \$201,748 from the USDA NRCS Environmental Quality Incentives Program, \$258,206 from the Minnesota state cost-share program, \$465,221 from MPCA Clean Water Partnership funds, and \$1.4 million in loans from the Clean Water Act State Revolving Fund.

## For additional information contact:

### Julie Klocker

Sauk River Watershed District  
320-352-6455 • [julie@srwdmn.org](mailto:julie@srwdmn.org)

### Dennis Fuchs

Stearns County Soil and Water Conservation District  
320-251-7800 (ext. 3) • [dennis.fuchs@mn.nacdnet.net](mailto:dennis.fuchs@mn.nacdnet.net)

### Jeff Hrubes

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency  
218-828-2227 • [jeff.hrubes@state.mn.us](mailto:jeff.hrubes@state.mn.us)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004L  
August 2005



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Mississippi

## Lake Hazle Recovers from Development Impairments

### Waterbody Improved

Stormwater runoff from commercial and residential development caused significant water quality impacts in Lake Hazle.

The problem persisted through the 1990s, degrading the lake to the point that it only partially supported its aquatic life use support designation. Mississippi placed Lake Hazle on its 303(d) list in 1996. To address the growing problem, project partners installed various best management practices (BMPs), which allowed Lake Hazle to be delisted in 2004.

### Problem

Lake Hazle is in Copiah County, south of Jackson, Mississippi. The 22-acre lake, owned and maintained by the city of Hazlehurst, is primarily designed and used for public recreation. In the 1980s, commercial and residential development around Lake Hazle led to significant impacts on its water quality.

While restoration efforts began in the early 1990s and monitoring data indicated overall water quality improvements, Lake Hazle nonetheless showed water quality impairments from nutrients, pH, siltation, organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen (DO), thermal modification, oil and grease, and suspended solids. This information led the state to conclude that Lake Hazle only partially supported its aquatic life use support designation. As a result, Mississippi placed Lake Hazle on its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1996. In 1998 the lake remained on the 303(d) list's *monitored section* for organic enrichment/low DO, pH, and nutrients. It was also included on the 1998 list's *evaluated section* (listed without actual monitoring data) for siltation and oil and grease.

### Project Highlights

In June 1990, project partners received section 319 support to upgrade the water quality and the recreational resources of Lake Hazle. Over a 5-year period, the partners implemented several BMPs affecting a 23-acre area. They planted vegetation at six heavily eroded sites, created a grade-stabilization structure



Lake Hazle before the restoration project, almost completely filled in with silt.



Lake Hazle as it appears today, supporting aquatic life.

to impede polluted runoff, and installed two water/sediment control basins to slow runoff and allow sediment to settle out before reaching the lake.

Outreach and education also played an important role. Project partners arranged to publish informative articles in the local newspaper. They also led field tours for landowners to observe firsthand the BMPs' pollutant-removal effectiveness.

**Table 1. Lake Hazle water quality data from August 2001 and 2003**

Date	Nitrate-nitrite (mg/L)	Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L)	Total phosphorus (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Oil & grease (mg/L)
Aug. 2001	< 0.02	0.5	0.04	11	--
Aug. 2001	< 0.02	0.5	0.05	12	--
Aug. 2001	< 0.02	0.5	0.11	10	--
Aug. 2001	< 0.02	0.5	0.04	7	--
Aug. 2003	--	--	--	4	< 5
Aug. 2003	--	--	--	--	< 5
Aug. 2003	--	--	--	6	< 5
Aug. 2003	--	--	--	4	< 5
Aug. 2003	--	--	--	11	< 5
Aug. 2003	--	--	--	7	< 5
<b>State screening level</b>	<b>&lt; 1.0</b>	<b>&lt; 1.5</b>	<b>&lt; 0.2</b>	<b>&lt; 100</b>	<b>--</b>

Mississippi does not have numeric water quality standards for nutrients, sediment, siltation, or turbidity. Therefore, state water quality experts compare available data for these parameters with screening levels that are based on literature or scientific *rules of thumb*. All data for these parameters were below the state screening levels and justified Lake Hazle's delisting. Mississippi has neither a numeric water quality standard nor a screening level for oil and grease. Best professional judgment determined that oil and grease concentrations less than 5 mg/L meet the applicable state narrative water quality standard.

**Table 2. Average Lake Hazle dissolved oxygen concentrations in 2003 and 2004**

24-Hour sampling period	Type of data	Number of samples	Average DO (mg/L)
08/07/03–08/08/03	automatic data sonde logging at 30-minute intervals	58	6.6
08/14/03–08/15/03		56	5.0
06/10/04–06/11/04		96	7.3

The aquatic life criterion for dissolved oxygen is > 4 mg/L (under specific sampling conditions and frequency).

## Results

Lake Hazle began to show the beneficial effects of the BMPs after several years of vegetative growth and sediment retention. Their implementation resulted in an estimated soil savings of about 2,240 tons per year. Water quality studies gave additional quantitative evidence of the restoration's success. Studies in 2001 and 2003, for example, showed that nutrient, turbidity, and oil and grease concentrations in Lake Hazle were within acceptable water quality screening levels. In addition, DO data collected during three separate 24-hour monitoring events in 2003 and 2004 met water quality standards. Tables 1 and 2 summarize these findings.

On the basis of the monitoring results, nutrients, turbidity, organic enrichment, low DO, and oil and grease were eliminated as causes of impairment. Lake Hazle once again fully attained its aquatic life use support designation and was delisted in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

This project was supported by \$45,641 in section 319 funds. The Mississippi Soil and Water Conservation Commission (MSWCC) and participating landowners contributed an additional \$47,168 in matching funds, in-kind services, and materials. MSWCC led in the selection and installation of BMPs. The local Soil and Water Conservation District, the city of Hazlehurst, and the Southwest Mississippi Resource Conservation and Development District oversaw public outreach efforts. Other partners included the Copiah County Soil and Water Conservation District, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality, and USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001A  
February 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Zoffee Dahmash**  
Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality,  
Nonpoint Source Section  
601-961-5137  
Zoffee\_Dahmash@deq.state.ms.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Missouri

## Agricultural BMPs Reduce Herbicide Concentrations in Five Drinking Water Lakes Cameron Lakes, Mark Twain Lake, and Smithville Lake, Missouri

### Waterbody Improved

Herbicide application to row crop agriculture and subsequent storm runoff impaired the water quality of five lakes in northern Missouri that were used as sources of drinking water. In 1998 the three Cameron Lakes, Mark Twain Lake, and Smithville Lake were placed on the 303(d) list of impaired waters for periodic high-atrazine concentrations. The project partners used a science-based approach to identify priority watersheds with the highest pollutant loading contributions. Through an outreach program, farmers were encouraged to measure and time atrazine applications more carefully, which allowed all five lakes to successfully meet water quality standards and to be removed from the 303(d) list in 2003.

### Problem

In 1998 the Missouri Department of Natural Resources placed five lakes in northern Missouri (three Cameron Lakes, Mark Twain Lake and Smithville Lake) on the 303(d) list because they exceeded state water quality standards for atrazine. All had periodic atrazine concentrations above the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 3 parts per billion (ppb) established for public drinking water supplies.

Atrazine is a low-cost herbicide used for combating grass and broadleaf weeds in corn and is widely used. Farmers were concerned that they would have to greatly restrict use of the herbicide in their corn and soybean operations. The Environmental Resources Coalition (ERC), a nonprofit organization, used 319 funding and, with partners, formed the Watershed Research, Assessment and Stewardship Project (WRASP) to put together a strategy to improve water quality without negatively affecting farmers' business profits.

### Project Highlights

Monitoring was conducted from 1999 through 2004 to evaluate the origin and quality of the water running into the affected lakes.



Lake monitoring was conducted before and throughout the growing season.

Approximately 50 automatic monitoring samplers were placed at field edges and in large and small streams that flowed into the lakes. Strategically sited monitoring stations measured the relative contribution of smaller subwatersheds into the lakes. Stream flow was taken into account at each sampling location. Each station had instrumentation that allowed simultaneous sampling and flow measurements during peak rainstorm flow events. The lakes themselves were sampled in late March (before seasonal atrazine applications) and continued every 3 weeks until September. The





Edge-of-field runoff monitoring equipment

resulting data identified subbasins that contributed disproportionately to pollutant loads into the lakes.


Farmers were encouraged to voluntarily install best management practices (BMPs) to cost-effectively reduce pollutant runoff. Two tillage practices—no-till and minimum-till—were combined with selected atrazine application methods. Atrazine was applied at one of two rates—0.75 lb/acre or 1.5–2 lb/acre—using one of three methods: incorporation into the soil before planting, surface application before planting, or surface application after crop emergence. Grass buffer strips were also planted to retard and capture field runoff before it entered waterways. To promote these voluntary farmer practices, WRASP conducted field demonstrations, informational meetings, and one-on-one consultations with farmers from 2002 to 2004.



The Missouri House Interim Committee on Water Quality visit a WRASP site.

## Results

Levels of atrazine (and other contaminants in the lakes) began to decrease after the first year of the project. The lakes' average levels of atrazine dropped below the MCL of 3 ppb for drinking water, and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources removed the lakes from the 303(d) list in late 2003.



Collaboration under the WRASP project led to reduced concentrations of herbicide in Smithville Lake.

## Partners and Funding

ERC managed the WRASP project and administered the 319 funding. They formed a partnership with the Missouri Corn Growers Association who put together an alliance of business and governmental organizations including the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Department of Agriculture—Agricultural Research Service, Environmental Protection Agency, Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc., and Bayer Crop Sciences. Funding for the 319 portion of the project was \$1,000,000, while the total project cost was \$5,000,000 over 5 years. To ensure future longevity of protective water quality practices, an ongoing Stewardship Implementation Project has been put in place. It continues the water-monitoring component of WRASP and extensively expands the one-on-one work with farmers to implement BMPs on larger, field-scale sites in the watersheds.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001J  
June 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Linda Paule**  
Environmental Resources Coalition  
3118 Emerald Lane, Suite 110  
Jefferson City, MO 65109  
573-634-7078  
[www.erc-env.org](http://www.erc-env.org)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Missouri

### Stream Restoration Efforts on Upper Cedar Creek Reduce Impacts of Acid Mine Drainage

#### Waterbody Improved

Acid mine drainage (AMD) from historical mining sites has degraded water quality in Cedar Creek in central Missouri for years. Even though the mines were closed and reclamation projects were completed on 704 acres of watershed land, approximately 4 miles of Upper Cedar Creek continued to suffer from AMD and remained on the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters due to high sulfates and low pH. After streambank restoration projects and the construction of passive treatment wetlands, the creek was removed from the state's 303(d) list and now meets water quality standards for both pH and sulfates.

#### Problem

Prior to 1977 and the passage of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, coal strip mining operations disturbed nearly 2,000 acres of the Cedar Creek watershed. AMD, generated as runoff drained over pyrite-rich soil exposed during the mining process, severely degraded water quality in the creek. Between 1948 and 1980 periodic discharges of AMD and acidic sediments into the creek resulted in numerous fish kills.

By 1990 the Missouri Land Reclamation Program (LRP) had completed reclamation projects on 704 acres of land in the Cedar Creek watershed. The reclamation projects revegetated and stabilized large areas of the Upper Cedar Creek watershed. However, a few remaining areas of barren acidic spoil and eroding streambanks continued to contribute acidic sediments and AMD to the Upper Cedar Creek watershed. Flooding in the 1990s further contributed to AMD problems by damaging significant portions of streambanks, causing additional acid-forming materials to be exposed and more sediment to enter the creek. Although water quality greatly improved in the 1990s, approximately 4 miles of the creek remained on the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters due to high sulfates and low pH.



Acidity, sulfates, and metals are removed from AMD as it flows through layers of limestone rock and compost in the passive treatment wetland cells.

#### Project Highlights

The Missouri LRP used section 319 funding in coordination with funding from the U.S. Office of Surface Mining (OSM) Abandoned Mine Land Clean Streams Initiative to complete the cooperative reclamation project to address the remaining water quality problems at Cedar Creek. In 2001 to 2002, six passive treatment wetlands and alkaline-producing cells were constructed to treat AMD by adding alkalinity and removing dissolved metals and sulfates, and four acid ponds were amended and



Native trees and grasses are thriving along sections of restored streambank.

neutralized. Streambank restoration projects further added to the health and renewal of the creek. Project partners planted approximately 200,000 native trees and shrubs and helped repair 2,700 linear feet of eroding streambank at 16 restoration sites. Sixty-six acres were amended and seeded with native grasses for erosion control and wildlife habitat enhancement. Additional native grass plantings are planned for the coming years.

## Results

Data collected over the course of the 4-year restoration project indicate Cedar Creek is now meeting water quality standards for both pH and sulfates. Dissolved oxygen concentrations have also improved over time, and fewer occurrences of dissolved oxygen below 5 mg/L

occurred in 2001 and 2002. Alkalinity showed the greatest increase at sites downstream of the restoration site, suggesting that the constructed wetlands are neutralizing the acid seeps. Native trees and grasses are thriving, and wildlife are returning to the restoration site and downstream areas. As a result of the successful cooperative reclamation project, the creek has been removed from the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters.

## Partners and Funding

Project partners included Missouri Department of Natural Resources Water Protection Program (WPP), Boone County Soil and Water Conservation District, OSM, U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Missouri Department of Conservation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Columbia Audubon Society, and private landowners. Construction costs of the restoration project totaled \$354,094. The LRP received \$150,000 in section 319 grant funds from the WPP and \$204,094 from the OSM Abandoned Mine Land Clean Streams Initiative to fund the construction of six wetland cells and restoration of streambank areas.

### Maupin Road Bridge Sample Site

	pH (s.u.)	Alkalinity (meq CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	Acidity (meq CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)
Before Project	5.7	24.3	17.8
After Project	6.8	75.8	-57.4



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004T  
September 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Gregory Anderson**  
Missouri Department of Natural Resources  
573-751-7144 • [greg.anderson@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:greg.anderson@dnr.mo.gov)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Montana

## Successful Collaboration and Agricultural BMPs Improved 80 Miles of Sun River

### Waterbody Improved

The mainstem of the Sun River is split into upper and lower segments for management purposes. The Upper Sun River was listed as impaired on Montana's 2000 and 2002 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies because of excess nutrients. Landowners; local watershed organizations; and many federal, state, and local government agencies collaborated to implement agricultural best management practices (BMPs) in the Upper Sun River and its tributaries. Water quality improved as a result, allowing the Montana Department of Environmental Quality to remove the Upper Sun River from the 303(d) list for nutrients in 2006. The Sun River watershed project is a classic example of using the watershed approach to address nonpoint source pollution.

### Problem

The Upper Sun River is in central Montana on the Rocky Mountain Front. The previously impaired segment is approximately 80 miles long and runs from Gibson Dam to Muddy Creek. The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) added the Upper Sun River to the 2000 and 2002 303(d) impaired waters list because high levels of nutrients caused the river to not meet state water quality standards for aquatic life and cold water fishery uses. Montana's nutrient standard prohibits "conditions [that] produce undesirable aquatic life," which, in this case, refers to excess growth of benthic algae that interferes with aquatic life uses. Agricultural practices were largely to blame for the Upper Sun River's elevated nutrient levels. Irrigation and stormwater runoff carried excess nutrients from over-fertilized fields and poorly managed livestock production areas into the river.


### Project Highlights

Early community-planning efforts produced initial watershed plans that identified key action items for restoration. This led to the development of the *TMDL/Watershed Restoration Plan*, coordinated by MDEQ in partnership with the Sun River Watershed Group. As part of this plan, Montana set nutrient targets (39 micrograms per liter [ $\mu\text{g/L}$ ] total phosphorus

and 350  $\mu\text{g/L}$  total nitrogen) for the Upper Sun River. If nutrient concentrations could be reduced to below the stated targets, excess growth of benthic algae would not occur under typical conditions. The plan also included restoration strategies for the impaired segments in the watershed.

Responding to the plan, partners have helped implement numerous water quality improvement projects in the Upper Sun River watershed. Farmers implemented nutrient management BMPs in the Ford/Elk Creek and Adobe Creek watersheds to minimize fertilizer applications and thus reduce the amount of nutrients transported to streams via runoff. Farmers improved irrigation water management practices by (1) lining irrigation canals to minimize and stabilize irrigation return flows and (2) using AgriMet—a U.S. Bureau of Reclamation satellite-based network of automated agricultural weather stations that provides weather, crop-water use, and other information to help support irrigation and agriculture management (for more information, see [www.usbr.gov/pn/agrimet](http://www.usbr.gov/pn/agrimet)). In addition, landowners implemented riparian area grazing management BMPs such as fencing, stream bank stabilization techniques, and fishery improvement projects in the Ford/Elk Creek and Adobe Creek watersheds and along Willow Creek, Big Coulee, and the mainstem





Map of Sun River Watershed Restoration and Water Quality Improvements.

of the Sun River. Streambank stabilization included using non-riprap techniques such as sloping banks; planting vegetation; and installing erosion matting, root wads, and rock barbs.

## Results

The cumulative effects of these on-the-ground efforts, combined with outreach and education activities that have led to better land-use practices by landowners, resulted in 20 miles of stabilized streambank, four miles of restored primary fishery and spawning habitat, 800 feet of lined irrigation canal, and the implementation of grazing management practices on 50,000 acres of rangeland. In 2005 and 2006, MDEQ collected water quality samples from the Upper Sun River. They indicated that phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations had dropped and were consistently below target levels of 39  $\mu\text{g/L}$  and 350  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , respectively, as identified in the *TMDL/ Water Quality Restoration Plan*. As a result, MDEQ removed the 80-mile long impaired segment of the Upper Sun River from the 303(d) list for nutrients in 2006.

## Partners and Funding

Many partners were involved with this project, including seven federal agencies, eight state agencies, ten local governments, four community groups, and many landowners. From 1994 to 2006, MDEQ administered \$623,430 of Clean Water Act section 319 grant funding for implementing the variety of BMPs previously mentioned. In addition, \$2,484,926 of nonfederal and another \$1,988,793 in federal funds were used to restore the Sun River watershed through programs such as Montana's Future Fisheries program, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS's) EQIP program. The Fort Shaw Irrigation District, Greenfields Irrigation District, Nilan, and Sun River Ditch Company worked together to improve irrigation efficiencies in the watershed by 10 percent. The NRCS Conservation Reserve Program helped to reduce salinity by converting dry cropping lands to rangeland. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; the Lewis & Clark Conservation District; and the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks are working on the Hogan irrigation diversion to improve fish passage.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001Y  
October 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Alan Rollo**, Sun River Watershed Group  
406-727-4437 • [arollo@mcn.net](mailto:arollo@mcn.net)

**Taylor Greenup**, Montana DEQ  
406-444-3527 • [tgreenup@mt.gov](mailto:tgreenup@mt.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Nebraska

## Innovative System Clears Up Sediment Problem in Nebraska Lake

### Waterbody Improved

Valentine Mill Pond was a popular destination for fishing and swimming from the turn of the century until the 1970s, when sediment problems made it impossible to enjoy the lake as before. Mechanical dredging deepened the lake and a sediment bypass system was designed and constructed to eliminate future sediment buildup. The sediment bypass system has effectively addressed the sedimentation problem, and the pond was removed from the state's 303(d) list in 2003.

### Problem

Valentine Mill Pond was originally created in the 1890s by S.F. Gilman to power a gristmill. Since the 1970s the lake had shrunk from more than 30 acres to less than 15 acres. Exposed mud bars indicated the source of the problem—excessive sediment. A diagnostic feasibility study conducted by the Middle Niobrara Natural Resources District (NRD) indicated that the primary water quality concern was the amount of sand being deposited in the pond. Minnechadua Creek, the pond's water source, was depositing as much as 60 tons of sediment into the lake daily. As a result of the feasibility study, Valentine Mill Pond was added to the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality's (DEQ) section 303(d) list for impairment to aquatic life due to excessive sediment.

### Project Highlights

Mechanical dredging was necessary to remove sediment deposits and deepen the lake, but that alone would not solve the excessive sedimentation problems. To prevent excessive sedimentation from reoccurring, an innovative solution was needed. Rollin Hotchkiss, Ph.D., director of the Albrook Hydraulics Lab at Washington State University, joined the project team as a special consultant to Olsson Environmental Services. Dr. Hotchkiss, formerly with the University of Nebraska, had conducted research involving sediment bypass systems. To address the unique problems of Valentine Mill Pond, he designed a "hydrosuction



As part of the project, a unique labyrinth spillway was constructed to pass large storm event flows without manual operation. The hydrosuction system passes around the dam shown here.

sediment removal system" with a unique labyrinth spillway.

The system is designed to capture sediment as it enters the pond and to transport it via a pipeline around the dam to be discharged back into Minnechadua Creek, without the use of external energy. "The system was also designed with the capability of collecting, through hydrosuction dredging, the sediment that was not captured by the bypass system," said Daryoush Razavian of Olsson Environmental Services. "We believe that the sediment removal system implemented at the mill pond is the first system operating in the world capable of both bypassing and dredging sediment."

## Pre- and Post-Project Summer Conditions for Valentine Mill Pond

Parameter	Number of Samples	Pre-Project Median 1997–1999 <sup>a</sup>	Number of Samples	Post-Project Median 2003 <sup>a</sup>	% Change
Conservation pool storage	NA	76 acre-feet	NA	162 acre-feet	+ 113%
Total phosphorus	13	0.13 mg/L	4	0.07 mg/L	-46%
Dissolved orthophosphorus	14	0.05 mg/L	5	0.02 mg/L	-60%
Total suspended solids	14	31.0 mg/L	5	6.5 mg/L	-79%
Water clarity	9	21 inches	5	57 inches	+ 170%
Algae density	10	5.38 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	4	7.51 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	+ 40%
Nitrate nitrogen	14	0.45 mg/L	5	0.21 mg/L	-54%

<sup>a</sup>Median not applicable to pool storage.

## Results

The sediment removal system has effectively addressed the problem of excess sedimentation in Valentine Mill Pond. Ongoing monitoring, conducted by the Nebraska DEQ, has revealed significant water quality improvements, including reductions in phosphorus, nitrates, and total suspended solids. As a result of water quality improvements, Valentine Mill Pond was removed from the state's section 303(d) list in 2003. It now supports aquatic life, serves as an agricultural water supply, and offers aesthetic enjoyment.

## Partners and Funding

The Nebraska DEQ provided the initial funding for the NRD's diagnostic feasibility study. However, the project would not have been possible without the cooperation of the City of Valentine, Nebraska Public Power District, Cherry County, Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, Nebraska Environmental Trust, and Valentine Mill Pond landowners. The project cost a total of \$1.6 million, including \$155,000 of Clean Water Act section 319 funding.



The hydro suction sediment removal system lies directly beneath the walkbridge shown here.



Valentine Mill Pond has been transformed from a mud hole to a popular recreation area.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004F  
June 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Mike Murphy**

Middle Niobrara Natural Resources District  
402-376-3241

**Paul Brakhage**

Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality  
402-471-4224 • paul.brakhage@ndeq.state.ne.us





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Nevada

### Best Management Practices Drastically Reduce Sediment and Restore Water Quality in Las Vegas Wash

#### Waterbody Improved

The Las Vegas Wash drains the 1,600-square-mile Las Vegas Valley, delivering stormwater, urban runoff, and highly treated effluent to Lake Mead, the nation's largest manmade reservoir and the primary water supply for millions of people in Nevada, Arizona, and southern California. These sources caused water quality impairments to the lower wash due to excess sediment and iron transported with that sediment. In 2002, Nevada placed the lower reach of Las Vegas Wash on its 303(d) list of impaired waters, with impairments to aquatic life propagation (excluding fish) due to total suspended solids (TSS). Following the construction of erosion control structures, restoration of wetland areas, and removal of invasive vegetation, average TSS concentrations declined significantly. This allowed the state to remove the lower reach from its 303(d) list in 2004.

#### Problem

During the past 30 years, the Las Vegas area of southern Nevada experienced dramatic population increases. Indeed, the 1,600-square-mile metropolitan Las Vegas Valley is one of the fastest growing areas in the United States. The valley drains into Las Vegas Wash, which carries stormwater runoff and wastewater 12 miles to Las Vegas Bay, an arm of Lake Mead.

Rising population and development rates have increased the volume of water discharged into the wash. An increase in impervious surfaces allows more stormwater runoff to flow directly into the wash rather than be absorbed by the soil. In addition, the growing population produces a high volume of wastewater that is discharged into the wash. The increased water flow, when added to an area already prone to flash flooding, accelerated erosion in the wash, destabilized the stream channel, significantly degraded wetland areas, and contributed excessive sediment to Las Vegas Bay.

For state water quality management purposes, Nevada divides the wash downstream of the city of Las Vegas into two reaches. The lower reach, which extends 5.12 miles upstream from



Weirs are low dams designed to reduce streambed erosion by flattening the slope of the channel and slowing flows. Many weirs are constructed of confined rock riprap, providing a somewhat natural look (top). Other structures are built with concrete, resulting in a more engineered look (bottom). Weirs, wetland restoration, and invasive vegetation removal helped reduce TSS concentrations in lower Las Vegas Wash and led to its removal from the Nevada 303(d) list in 2004.



Las Vegas Bay, has a state TSS water quality standard of 135 mg/L to protect aquatic life propagation (excluding fish). For a waterbody to be deemed in compliance with the standard, it must not exceed the standard more than 10 percent of the time over 5 consecutive years. Between 1997 and 2001, the lower reach failed to meet the 5-year exceedence criterion, so the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NV DEP) placed the lower reach on the 2002 state 303(d) list for impairments to aquatic life propagation (excluding fish) due to TSS.

## Project Highlights

When NV DEP first listed the lower reach in 2002, efforts were already underway to restore the lower reach and protect the waterbody. In 1998 the Las Vegas Wash Coordination Committee (LVWCC) met to develop a practical, comprehensive plan to rehabilitate and manage the wash downstream of the city of Las Vegas. The Las Vegas Wash Comprehensive Adaptive Management Plan (CAMP) was approved and adopted in January 2000.

The CAMP identified various activities needed to improve water quality, such as installing weirs and other erosion control structures, creating and restoring wetlands, and controlling noxious and invasive plant species. The CAMP also called for an extensive revegetation effort to stabilize soils and replace previously lost riparian and wetland habitat.

LVWCC sponsored numerous planting events that helped increase citizen awareness and foster community support for the restoration effort. Citizen volunteers removed trash from the wash and planted wetland, riparian, and upland plant species. They also removed invasive vegetation such as tall whitetop, which has narrow and easily broken roots that destabilize the soil and allow increased bank erosion.

LVWCC initiated an extensive long-term monitoring program to provide baseline water quality data and measure the success of erosion control and revegetation efforts.

## Results

As of June 2006, the project has involved constructing nine weirs, stabilizing more than 21,000 linear feet of streambank, restoring 33 acres of wetlands, and removing 500,000 pounds of trash and 680 acres of tall whitetop.

While project water quality benefits had begun to be realized before 2002, the lower reach of the wash did not meet the 5-year threshold criteria for TSS and was therefore placed on the 2002 Nevada 303(d) list. Water quality improvements continued, however, with average TSS concentrations declining 50 percent since 2001. Analysis of 1999–2003 water quality data showed that TSS concentrations exceeded the 135 mg/L standard 11 times out of 130 samples collected. This represented an 8.5 percent noncompliance rate, below the maximum 10 percent allowable rate.

With TSS data showing compliance with water quality standards, Nevada removed the lower reach's aquatic life propagation (excluding fish) impairment from its 303(d) list in 2004. The NV DEP will continue to review monitoring data to confirm continued compliance with water quality standards.

## Partners and Funding

The cooperation of 28 members of the LVWCC, representing local, state, and federal agencies, local environmental groups, businesses, and interested citizens, was essential in the creation of a comprehensive management plan for the Las Vegas Wash. Volunteers also played an important role in the project, providing the needed labor for wetland and riparian plantings and invasive vegetation removal. The overall cost to implement the CAMP is projected to be approximately \$127 million through 2013.

As of 2006, \$33 million has been spent on CAMP implementation. Approximately \$600,000 of section 319 funds was used to support construction of erosion control structures, bank revegetation, and public outreach efforts. Participating agencies contributed \$1.8 million during the 2005–2006 fiscal year.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-003G  
July 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Keiba Crear**  
Southern Nevada Water Authority  
Las Vegas Wash Coordination Team  
702-822-3388  
[keiba.crear@snwa.com](mailto:keiba.crear@snwa.com)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## New Hampshire

### Project Improves Water Quality and Saves Eroding Farmland

#### Waterbody Improved

Past removal of woody riparian shrubs made the banks of Bog Brook susceptible to erosion. As erosion continued over time, the stream channel became wider and more unstable. This made the erosion problem worse, sending tons of sediment into the stream. Project partners returned the stream channel to a more natural state and planted vegetation at the site. As a result, the channel stabilized and erosion subsided. In 2006, New Hampshire will upgrade the stream from *Impaired by other flow regime alterations* to *Fully Supporting* in its 305(b) surface water quality report.

#### Problem

Bog Brook is in the Connecticut River Basin, near the town of Stratford in northern New Hampshire. Much of the area is in agricultural use. Decades ago, riparian vegetation was removed along the streambank, presumably to increase the amount of arable land. The absence of deep-rooted shrubs made the bank vulnerable to erosion. The once meandering stream channel became marked by a sharper bend as the bank eroded. This change in stream channel geometry caused erosion to accelerate even further. The eroding stream channel eventually threatened a barn and septic system on private property, prompting a need for action.

Analysis of aerial photographs showed that the stream channel had eroded laterally up to 35 feet between 1999 and 2003, consuming 4,000-square feet of land. This translated to 120 tons of sediment—approximately the amount needed to fill 9 dump trucks—entering the stream each year to worsen water quality and smother fish habitat. Had this been allowed to continue, the stream likely would have cut a new channel into valuable farmland, sending several thousand tons of additional sediment downstream.

In 2004, New Hampshire listed Bog Brook as *Impaired by other flow regime alterations* in its 305(b) report with a probable source of *stream-bank modifications/destabilization*.



Bog Brook before restoration. Removing riparian vegetation facilitated channel erosion, which ultimately threatened the barn.

#### Project Highlights

The landowner adjacent to the eroding channel worked with the town of Stratford and a consultant to secure a section 319 grant from the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NH DES). The project called for a comprehensive stream morphology assessment, design plan, and reconstruction of a 275-foot stretch of the stream to a more natural condition.

The partners developed the project using natural stream channel design methods. In the past, landowners and engineers typically turned to hard bank armoring for streambank erosion problems. Because armoring treats only a symptom rather than the cause of bank



Bog Brook after restoration. The project saved the barn and stopped several thousand tons of sediment from smothering fish habitat.

erosion, it is often ineffective over the long term. Natural stream channel design uses a stable reference stream to determine the proper slope, width, depth, and geometry needed to restore the impaired stream. To restore channel stability in Bog Brook, project leaders determined it necessary to

- Increase the meander radius, or curvature of the bend in the stream, to reduce stress on the eroding bank
- Increase the channel slope to improve the stream's ability to transport sediment
- Plant a vegetated buffer of deep-rooted shrubs along the streambank to help hold sediments in place

Construction occurred in May 2004. Using an excavator, a small bulldozer, and several dump trucks, project leaders realigned the stream channel, filled in the former channel, and planted riparian vegetation along the streambank.

## Results

Post-construction monitoring the following year confirmed that the work had stabilized the stream system. The table below compares the reference stream with Bog Brook, before and after construction, using the three major factors determining Bog Brook channel stability. The table shows that Bog Brook, after construction, more closely matched the stable reference stream conditions.

One year after construction, the relocated stream reach had become more narrow and deeper—a positive trend indicative of channel stability. The vegetation along the bank was found to be well-established and firmly rooted.

On the basis of these post-construction findings, the state concluded that severe bank erosion had been arrested, and the sediment load to the stream had been significantly reduced. These conclusions allowed the state to upgrade Bog Brook to *Fully Supporting* in its 2006 305(b) report.

## Partners and Funding

The Bog Brook restoration effort involved several partners who provided financial and in-kind contributions. The NH DES Watershed Assistance Section awarded the town of Stratford a \$14,912 section 319 grant to partially fund survey, design, permitting, and construction. The property owner contributed \$8,748 in additional funds. In-kind professional services for construction supervision comprised the remainder of the required nonfederal match. The total project cost \$24,460.

Factor in Bog Brook channel stability	Reference stream	Bog Brook	
		Pre-construction	Post-construction
meander radius	80–120 ft.	40 ft.	92 ft.
channel slope	--	.081%	1.00%
vegetation	deep-rooted riparian shrubs	shallow-rooted (6-in.) grasses (e.g., timothy, reed-canary grass, Kentucky bluegrass, orchard grass)	deep-rooted riparian shrubs (e.g., alder, willow)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003E  
June 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Eric Williams**  
New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services  
603-271-2358  
ewilliams@des.state.nh.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# New York

## Restoration and Protection Activities in the Upper Branch of the Delaware River Protects New York City's Drinking Water Supply

### Waterbody Improved

The Upper West Branch of the Delaware River is a significant source of drinking water for New York City (NYC). It directly feeds the Cannonsville Reservoir, the third largest reservoir serving NYC. Historically, the Cannonsville Reservoir experienced summertime eutrophic (low oxygen) conditions because of high phosphorus loads predominantly from nonpoint sources. New York State (NYS) placed the Upper West Branch of the Delaware River (UWBDR) on its 1998 303(d) list due to concerns about the vulnerability of the reservoir to additional sources of phosphorus. Because efforts by the local community and numerous other partners successfully reduced phosphorus loads, the state removed the UWBDR from its 2004 impaired water list.

### Problem

The UWBDR is in Delaware County in south-central New York. The UWBDR and its tributaries encompass a watershed area of 450 square miles with approximately 662 linear miles of rivers and streams that are the source waters for the Cannonsville Reservoir. The 37.1-mile listed segment of the UWBDR begins near the Village of Stamford and runs to Chambers Hollow Brook. NYS listed this segment on its 1998 303(d) list of impaired waters for not meeting criteria to support its designated use—aquatic life support—due to excess phosphorus levels. The state's narrative standard states that phosphorus may not exist "in amounts that will result in growth of algae, weeds, and/or slimes that will impair the waters and their best usages."

NYC's Department of Environmental Protection completed a TMDL for phosphorus, which EPA approved in 2000. Forestry and agriculture represent 95 percent of the UWBDR's land use, and impacts from forestry, agricultural areas, and septic systems contribute to nutrient enrichment. NYC identified dairy farming and failing onsite septic systems as the most significant watershed sources of impairment to the UWBDR. Runoff from these sources carried excess phosphorus to the UWBDR, threatening to alter the natural aquatic community and com-

Prior to the restoration work in the watershed, the UWBDR's tributaries frequently suffered from algae blooms caused by phosphorus inputs from agricultural runoff. This image shows a 1981 algae bloom that occurred on Trout Creek (Photo credit: Patricia Bishop, NY Dept. of Environmental Conservation).



promise the reservoir as a source of high-quality drinking water.

### Project Highlights

Delaware County worked with watershed partners to develop the Delaware County Action Plan (DCAP), a comprehensive watershed management program that provided a framework for protecting water resources through local decision making—within the context of state and federal laws. Through the DCAP, Delaware County has achieved many of the initiatives highlighted below. The accomplishments of the DCAP demonstrate the importance of managing land uses and nonpoint pollution sources at the local level.



The New York-based nonprofit Watershed Agricultural Council championed a voluntary, incentive-based program through which farmers implemented numerous best management practices (BMPs). The Watershed Agricultural Council encourages farmers to adopt and implement Whole Farm Plans (WFP) on dairy farms to successfully integrate traditional and innovative farm management approaches. These holistic farm plans (along with other nonpoint and point source reduction activities) helped reduce dissolved phosphorus loads in the UWBDR by 53 percent and particulate phosphorus loads by approximately 36 percent. The agricultural BMPs implemented through the WFPs included riparian buffers; alternate water sources for dairy cows; barnyard management improvements (waste removal, collection of polluted runoff); precision feeding (controlling nutrient excretions through diet management); and stream relocation.

A septic system repair and replacement program, overseen by the Catskill Watershed Corporation, also served as a key element of the UWBDR watershed protection and restoration program.

To ensure continued success, the Delaware County Soil and Water Conservation District worked with watershed stakeholders and cooperating agencies to develop a *West Branch of the Delaware River Stream Corridor Management Plan*. This plan provides a foundation for local residents, municipalities, interested organizations, and cooperating agencies to enhance stewardship of the UWBDR and its tributaries.

## Results

Project partners conducted several monitoring activities in the UWBDR Basin, including a paired watershed study to evaluate water quality impacts of agricultural BMPs, biological

assessment surveys, and ambient monitoring in the UWBDR and in the Cannonsville Reservoir. These monitoring activities showed a reduction in phosphorus enrichment in the UWBDR and the achievement of the state guidance limit of 20 micrograms per liter ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) for reservoirs. These findings ensured that the drinking water supply was safe from eutrophic conditions and that the waterbody provided a healthy ecosystem for aquatic life. After the Cannonsville Reservoir met the state's guidance limit, NYC removed it from the *phosphorus restricted list* in 2002. This list, established by NYS regulations, limits the amount of phosphorus released in designated reservoir basins. In addition, survey data collected on the UWBDR indicated that the waterbody fully supported its designated uses and had no water quality impairments associated with the state's narrative standard for phosphorus. Therefore, NYS removed the UWBDR from the 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

Many agencies participated in the restoration of UWBDR including the NYC Department of Environmental Protection, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Delaware County Planning Department and Department of Public Works, Catskill Watershed Corporation, Watershed Agricultural Council, Upper Susquehanna Coalition, NYS Department of Environmental Conservation, NYS Department of Health, NYS Department of State, NYS Department of Transportation, NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets, NYS Soil and Water Conservation Committee, Cornell University, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Funding for the phosphorus load reduction efforts came from many sources, including more than \$420,000 from Clean Water Act section 319 funds.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001Z  
October 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Donna Somboonlakana**, EPA Region 2  
212-637-3700  
[Somboonlakana.Donna@epa.gov](mailto:Somboonlakana.Donna@epa.gov)

**Michael Shaw**, EPA Region 2  
212-637-3785  
[Shaw.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Shaw.Michael@epa.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## North Carolina

### Watershed Partnership Pays Off for Brasstown Creek

#### Waterbody Improved

Eroding streambanks, runoff from agricultural lands, and livestock access caused widespread nonpoint source pollution problems in the Brasstown Creek watershed in the mid-1990s. By 1994 the creek had failed to meet aquatic life criteria and North Carolina had placed it on the state's 303(d) list due to sediment impairments. Public and private partners implemented several best management practices (BMPs)—restricting livestock access to the creek, providing livestock with alternative water sources, reconstructing stream channels, enhancing riparian buffers, and others—to reduce water quality impacts. Water quality improved enough to once again support a healthy macroinvertebrate community, and the state delisted Brasstown Creek in 2000.

#### Problem

Brasstown Creek originates in Georgia and flows generally northwest into North Carolina. From the Georgia–North Carolina border, the creek meanders 8.5 miles before reaching the Hiwassee River. The watershed has an 83-square-mile drainage area and contains low-density residential development, pasture and hay lands, and a relatively large amount of forest cover.

The North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NC DWQ) monitored macroinvertebrates in that state's portion of Brasstown Creek using two biological indices. The EPT index is a measure of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects inhabiting a waterbody. A stream showing high EPT richness is less likely to be polluted than one with low richness in the same geographic region. In addition, NC DWQ evaluated Brasstown Creek's biotic integrity (BI), which measures the presence of pollution-tolerant species. High BI values characterize streams that have poor water quality and are dominated by pollution-tolerant species.

The accompanying table shows biomonitoring results from Brasstown Creek. In 1994 the creek had an EPT index of 18. This low value caused the state to place an 8.5-mile segment of Brasstown Creek on its 303(d) list for only partially supporting state aquatic life use criteria. NC DWQ cited sediment from nonpoint sources, including streambank erosion and



**Before:** Channel instability and bank erosion along this Brasstown Creek tributary were caused by historic channelization, lack of riparian vegetation, and cattle access.

agricultural and highway runoff, as the causes of impairment. This assessment was supported by a Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) analysis of land use in the Brasstown Creek watershed.

#### Project Highlights

In response to these problems, in 1995 the Hiwassee River Watershed Coalition (HRWC) formed a locally driven partnership to restore the watershed and implement numerous BMPs. The partners revegetated 160 acres of critically eroding bare areas (lands within 1,000 feet of streams); installed nearly 6.2 miles of



**After:** The rebuilt channel was designed with a more stable pattern, modeled after a similar, relatively undisturbed stream.

livestock exclusion fencing; reconstructed stream channels; and created, enhanced, or protected 48 acres of forested riparian buffer from 1999 through 2004. In addition, project partners installed stock trails, stream crossings, wells, and spring developments in heavy-use areas, thereby improving more than 2,000 acres of pastureland. These practices kept an estimated 650 tons of soil, 162 pounds of nitrogen, and 45 pounds of phosphorus out of Brasstown Creek annually.

## Results

NC DWQ sampled Brasstown Creek again in 1999 and found that although instream habitat and sedimentation problems remained, the benthic macroinvertebrate community showed a marked improvement. Evaluating EPT and BI indices, NC DWQ assigned Brasstown Creek a “Good” bioclassification, indicating that the creek met its aquatic life support designation

Year	EPT	BI	State bioclassification
1994	18	--	Fair
1999	44	4.6	Good
2004	53	4.8	Excellent

Brasstown Creek biomonitoring results. NC DWQ assessed EPT and BI to assign a bioclassification for the creek. A “Good” or “Excellent” bioclassification indicates that the creek meets its aquatic life support designation.

and allowing North Carolina to delist it in 2000. Subsequent monitoring in 2004 reaffirmed that the benthic community had recovered.

Other signs of water quality improvement in Brasstown Creek have been noted. A pollutant loading model developed by TVA, for example, showed a nearly 25 percent reduction in total suspended solids (TSS) for the North Carolina portion of the Brasstown Creek watershed between 1997 and 2004. Even greater TSS reductions—up to 83 percent—occurred in some subwatersheds where several BMPs were in close proximity.

Success is not yet complete for the entire watershed, however. Upstream portions remain listed as impaired or partially supporting their designated uses. The HRWC and its partners plan to implement similar restoration work in the Georgia portion of the watershed.

## Partners and Funding

The HRWC spearheaded the Brasstown Creek Watershed Restoration Project and was joined by government and non-government partners. These included NC DWQ; Clay County (North Carolina), Cherokee County (North Carolina), and Blue Ridge Mountain (Georgia) Soil and Water Conservation Districts; TVA; North Carolina Division of Soil and Water Conservation (Agriculture Cost Share Program); USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service; and 47 private landowners.

Nearly \$4 million has gone toward the Brasstown Creek watershed recovery effort. Agricultural BMPs were implemented with approximately \$450,000 in section 319 funds, \$400,000 from the North Carolina Agriculture Cost Share Program and the federal Environmental Quality Incentives Program, and \$127,500 in landowner cost share payments. The North Carolina Clean Water Management Trust Fund provided an additional \$2.5 million for stream and riparian buffer restoration. Finally, TVA contributed an approximately \$500,000 in-kind donation for technical support and watershed modeling.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003L  
December 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Heather Boyette**

North Carolina Division of Water Quality  
919-733-5083 ext 357 • [heather.boyette@ncmail.net](mailto:heather.boyette@ncmail.net)

**Callie Dobson Moore**

Hiwassee River Watershed Coalition  
828-837-5414 • [hrwcoalition@brmemc.net](mailto:hrwcoalition@brmemc.net)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## North Carolina

### Aquatic Life Use Restored in Agricultural Watershed

#### Waterbody Improved

Agricultural runoff decimated macroinvertebrate life in a 1.9-mile segment of the Mills River in western North Carolina.

Because the segment failed to meet aquatic life criteria, North Carolina placed it on the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1998. Local and state water quality experts worked with the community to implement several best management practices, including moving pesticide mixing stations away from river banks and restoring vegetated buffers. Water quality improved enough to once again support macroinvertebrate life, and the state expects to remove the river segment from its 303(d) list in 2006.

#### Problem

The Mills River supplies drinking water for more than 50,000 people in three western North Carolina counties. Areas upstream from the town of Hendersonville are home to many intensely managed agricultural activities, including the production of cattle and specialty crops such as tomatoes. Officials suspected that these operations contributed sediments and pesticides to a 1.9-mile river segment extending upstream from the town's water intake.

The state conducted benthos sampling in the river segment and used the EPT index to measure the presence of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects. The index assumes that a waterbody showing high EPT richness is less likely to be polluted than another waterbody with relatively low EPT richness in the same geographic region. In addition, the state measured biotic integrity (BI) in the river segment. A low BI value indicates better water quality than a high BI value.

As shown in the accompanying table, monitoring results from both indices revealed that the segment met state water quality standards for aquatic life support in 1997. In subsequent years, however, the North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NC DWQ) found much lower EPT and higher BI values, indicating a decline in water quality. In 1998, NC DWQ assigned a



This chemical-handling facility replaced one that was directly adjacent to Mills River.

Year	EPT	BI	State assessment rating
1997	24	5.17	Good-Fair
1998	2	6.69	Poor
2001	6	--	Poor
2002	28	5.54	Good-Fair

Mills River biomonitoring results using the EPT index and BI. Low EPT/high BI indicate poor water quality, while high EPT/low BI suggest good water quality.

Poor rating to the river segment and placed it on the state's 303(d) list.

#### Project Highlights

State and local water quality experts teamed with landowners and other organizations to address suspected pollutant loading sources





Streambank and buffer restoration shortly after tree planting. Small trees are in the tall grass on the left.

to the river segment. Project partners obtained three conservation easements totaling 192 acres, designated and planted 7.8 acres of riparian buffers, and restored nearly 4,700 linear feet of streambanks. In addition, they moved two chemical mixing stations away from river tributaries.

To address the sources of sediment, project partners stabilized 10 miles of logging roads, installed 2,580 linear feet of cattle fencing, and created 400 feet of stock trails to reduce cattle traffic on steep slopes. Area cattle operations received two water tanks, further helping to keep cattle away from streams.

Public outreach also played a role in the restoration effort. Workshops educated local agriculture producers about the dangers of pesticides in the river. Local residents received general watershed education.

Finally, project partners established a stormwater monitoring program in 2001.

## Results

Restoration efforts resulted in dramatic water quality improvements, as confirmed by benthic monitoring. In 2002, NC DWQ macroinvertebrate sampling showed a much richer EPT index of 28 and a stronger BI of 5.54. Both indices placed the river segment in the *Good-Fair* assessment rating, placing the river segment in compliance with its aquatic life support

designation. With such a positive result, North Carolina expects to remove this river segment from its 303(d) list in 2006. Macroinvertebrate monitoring will continue, with the next sampling event scheduled for the summer of 2007.

Gains will be lost, however, if work does not continue. The Mills River watershed is in western North Carolina's fastest growing area. Keeping pace with development impacts is essential if designated uses are to be sustained. The state's future plans include restoring a mile of vegetated buffer and constructing a chemical mixing building that will eliminate two additional streamside mixing stations.

## Partners and Funding

Numerous groups worked together successfully to restore this segment of the Mills River. The NC DWQ supported the work with a 319 grant of \$448,000. The state's Clean Water Management Trust Fund provided \$730,000, and the partners used a \$50,000 EPA Source Water Protection grant to create land conversion inventories and hold meetings and workshops.

Many agencies and organizations contributed services and funds, including North Carolina's Divisions of Forest Resources and Soil and Water Conservation; N.C. Ecosystem Enhancement Program; N.C. Wildlife Resources Commission; N.C. State University Mountain Horticultural Crops Research Station; Henderson County; Henderson County Soil and Water Conservation District; Environmental Conservation Organization of Henderson County; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Forest Service, and Natural Resources Conservation Service; Carolina Mountain Land Conservancy; City of Asheville's Water Treatment Plant; City of Hendersonville; Cross Creek Foundation; Land of Sky Regional Council; Tennessee Valley Authority; Trout Unlimited (Land of Sky Chapter); North and South Mills River Community Development Center; Regional Water Authority of Asheville, Buncombe and Henderson Counties; University of North Carolina at Asheville's Environmental Quality Institute; and Mills River Partnership.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003D  
June 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Michelle Raquet**  
North Carolina Division of Water Quality  
919-733-5083 ext. 367  
[Michelle.Raquet@ncmail.net](mailto:Michelle.Raquet@ncmail.net)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## North Carolina

### Basin-wide Cleanup Effort Reduces Instream Nitrogen

#### Waterbody Improved

Nitrogen runoff from crops, pasture, and animal feeding operations was a major contributor to frequent algal blooms, hypoxic conditions, and fish kills in the Neuse River, one of the three main feeders to the Albemarle-Pamlico Sound system. The agricultural community implemented best management practices (BMPs) such as buffers, contour planting, no-till planting, and creek fencing that resulted in a 42 percent decrease in nitrogen loading to the estuary, exceeding the 30 percent reduction goal called for in the total maximum daily load (TMDL). This reduction, combined with additional point source reductions, resulted in a 27 percent instream nitrogen reduction in the Neuse River just above the estuary.

#### Problem

Water quality in the estuary of the 6,000-square-mile basin has been a concern for over a century. High nitrogen levels from agricultural runoff have contributed to frequent algal blooms, hypoxic conditions, and fish kills. In 1993 a North Carolina Division of Water Quality management plan for the basin recommended an accelerated schedule to reduce nitrogen from point and nonpoint sources. The Neuse River Basin was listed as impaired by nitrogen on the state's 303(d) list.


#### Project Highlights

In 1997 the North Carolina Environmental Management Commission (EMC) adopted the state's first mandatory plan to control both



Filter strips in the Neuse River Basin decrease nitrogen loads to the river.

#### Average Nitrogen Fertilization Rates



Decreased fertilizer use was one factor that led to a reduction in nitrogen levels.

point and nonpoint source pollution in the basin. The plan, backed by figures in the Neuse River TMDL, called for a mandatory 30 percent reduction in nitrogen from point, urban, and rural sources by 2003. The EMC worked with the appropriate nonpoint source agencies to target the implementation of BMPs to reduce

sediment and nutrient runoff throughout the basin. Between 1996 and 2003, half of the croplands enrolled in the program implemented BMPs such as buffers, contour planting, no-till planting, and creek fencing.


## Results

Data for 2003 show that the Neuse agricultural community achieved a 42 percent nitrogen reduction, exceeding the 30 percent goal set by the EMC and Neuse River TMDL. A continuous monitoring system was established in the lower portion of the basin, near the Neuse estuary. Using flow-adjusted nitrogen concentrations, long-term nutrient data show a 27 percent instream nitrogen reduction in 2003 as compared to the average flow-adjusted concentrations from the 1991 to 1995 baseline. This decrease, along with point source reductions, was accomplished by installing BMPs, implementing fertilizer management plans, and removing cropland from production. The

new agricultural practices also led to lower phosphorus levels and slowed erosion, while farmers benefited from savings on fertilizer. The BMPs prevented more than 480,000 tons of soil from being washed away by erosion.

## Partners and Funding

This basin-wide effort has brought together diverse interests from throughout the watershed. Project partners include the North Carolina Division of Water Quality, North Carolina Division of Soil and Water Conservation, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, North Carolina Farm Bureau, Duke University, Neuse River Foundation, U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), and local agricultural, environmental, and scientific communities. Funds were derived from governmental and non-governmental sources to pay for BMPs and technical assistance. Through 2002 more than \$12 million was committed to meeting project goals. The section 319 program provided more than \$1 million contributing directly to the installation of BMPs on farms and working with farmers to determine appropriate fertilizer rates. Additional project funding was provided by the NRCS Environmental Quality Incentives Program (\$4.7 million), North Carolina Agriculture Cost Share Program (\$3.2 million), Clean Water Management Trust Fund (\$2.7 million), and Pew Charitable Trust. Funding figures do not include the substantial costs incurred by Neuse Basin farmers.



Flow-adjusted total nitrogen concentrations at Fort Barnwell on the Neuse River from 1979 to 2003 showing a 27% decrease in concentration from 1991-1995 base period to 2003. *Dr. Craig A. Stow, University of South Carolina, 2004.*



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004A  
August 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Kelly Johnson**  
NC Division of Water Quality  
919-733-5083 x357 • [kelly.p.johnson@ncmail.net](mailto:kelly.p.johnson@ncmail.net)

**Julie Henshaw**  
NC Division of Soil & Water Conservation  
919-715-9630 • [julie.henshaw@ncmail.net](mailto:julie.henshaw@ncmail.net)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## North Carolina

### Tar-Pamlico Basin Agricultural Management Strategy Reduces Instream Nutrients

#### Waterbody Improved

Row crops and animal feeding operations in the Tar-Pamlico River Basin, one of three main feeders to the nation's second largest estuary—the Albemarle-Pamlico Sound—have led to excessive nutrients in the estuary, forcing it to be added to the state's 303(d) list for chlorophyll *a*. Through implementation of best management practices (BMPs) on agricultural lands, such as riparian buffer protection, reduced fertilizer use, and implementation of conservation tillage practices, North Carolina met its 30 percent nitrogen reduction goal ahead of schedule and impaired acreage in the estuary was reduced by 90 percent, allowing one section of the estuary to be removed from the 303(d) list for chlorophyll *a*.

#### Problem

In the mid-1980s, the Pamlico River estuary saw an increase in problems that pointed to excessive levels of nutrients in the water—harmful algal blooms, low oxygen levels, increased numbers of fish kills, and other symptoms of stress and disease. Row crops, confined animal feeding operations, and highly erodible soils were the culprits. The Pamlico River estuary was eventually placed on the 303(d) list for chlorophyll *a*, driven by excess nutrient concentrations contributed by agricultural runoff and point sources.

#### Project Highlights

In response, the North Carolina Environmental Management Commission designated the Tar-Pamlico River Basin as "Nutrient Sensitive Waters" and called for a strategy to reduce nutrient inputs from around the basin. The strategy's first phase, which ran from 1990 through 1994, produced an innovative point source/nonpoint source trading program that allows point sources, such as wastewater treatment plants and industrial facilities, to achieve reductions in nutrient loading in more cost-effective ways. The group cap structure of the trading program has allowed the point source coalition to exceed its reduction targets



Area farmers installed water table control structures like the one shown here to address excess nutrients.

so cost-effectively that nonpoint source trades have been unnecessary to date. The second phase established a plan to reduce nitrogen by 30 percent (from 1991 levels) and hold phosphorus loadings to 1991 levels based on estuarine conditions by 2006, implementing the targets set in the total maximum daily load (TMDL) for chlorophyll *a*. In addition to point sources, Phase II called on nonpoint sources to contribute to meeting these goals and established a set of nonpoint source rules addressing agriculture, urban stormwater, and fertilizer management across all land uses and called for riparian buffer protection. Between



1991 and 2003, farmers installed water control structures to treat 32,200 acres of cropland, buffers to treat 72,000 acres and planted scavenger crops on 81,500 acres. In addition, many farmers reduced fertilizer use and implemented conservation tilling practices to help meet the goal. The third phase of the nutrient strategy was adopted by the EMC effective April 14, 2005, setting an eight year clean-up deadline for the rest of the estuary by 2013.

## Results


Agriculture met its 30 percent nitrogen reduction goal ahead of schedule. In fact, data from 2003 indicate a 45 percent reduction in nitrogen losses compared to 1991, mostly from decreasing fertilization rates. Progress is further reflected by samples taken at the Pamlico estuary's head showing an 18 percent in-stream reduction in nitrogen and a 33 percent in-stream decrease in phosphorus between 1991 and 2002, reflecting significant progress toward meeting the targets set in the TMDL. The installation of BMPs in the watershed has prevented more than 396,000 tons of soil from being washed away by erosion. As a result of

watershed-wide efforts, impaired acreage in the estuary has been reduced by 90 percent (from 36,200 to 3,450 acres), and one segment of the Pamlico estuary has been removed from the 303(d) list for chlorophyll *a*.

## Partners and Funding

Partners involved in the effort were North Carolina Division of Water Quality, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, North Carolina Division of Soil and Water Conservation, North Carolina Cooperative Extension, U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service, North Carolina Department of Agriculture, North Carolina Farm Bureau, North Carolina State University, and agricultural community and commodity groups. The North Carolina Environment Management Commission brought together stakeholder groups of affected parties and provided the participants with a chance to express differing viewpoints. Stakeholders involved in the process included environmental groups, municipalities, developers, businesses, and the public. The North Carolina Agriculture Cost Share Program, administered by the Division of Soil and Water Conservation (DSWC), contributed \$12.5 million between 1992 and 2003. Another DSWC-administered program, the federal Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program, has obligated approximately \$33.1 million in the Tar-Pamlico River Basin since 1998. Between 1995 and 2003, approximately \$2.67 million in Clean Water Act section 319 expenditures supported a variety of nonpoint source projects in the Tar-Pamlico Basin, including BMP demonstration and implementation, technical assistance and education, GIS mapping, development and dissemination of accounting tools, and monitoring. As part of the Phase I Agreement, the area's Point Source Association both contributed funds and acquired a section 104(b)(3) grant for agricultural BMP implementation. The combined total of their contributions was \$850,000 in nutrient-reducing BMPs in the basin.

### Sampling at Pamlico Estuary



Samples reflect an 18 percent in-stream reduction in nitrogen.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004B  
July 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Rich Gannon**  
NC Division of Water Quality  
919-733-5083 x356 • [rich.gannon@ncmail.net](mailto:rich.gannon@ncmail.net)

**Chrystal Bartlett**  
NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources  
919-715-4116 • [chrystal.bartlett@ncmail.net](mailto:chrystal.bartlett@ncmail.net)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

Ohio

## Dam Modification Project Helps Restore Water Quality in the Middle Cuyahoga River

### Waterbody Improved

The Kent Dam on Ohio's Middle Cuyahoga River was a barrier to fish migration and contributed to water quality problems due to stagnant flows within the dam pool, preventing the river from meeting its designated use for warmwater habitat (WWH). As a result of modifications to the dam that restored a free flowing river channel and other activities implemented both above and below the dam, the Cuyahoga River is now meeting the full attainment of its WWH aquatic life use designation, and it is expected to be removed from the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters in the next listing cycle.

### Problem

In 1999 the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) completed a total maximum daily load (TMDL) study on the Middle Cuyahoga River that found the river was only partially attaining objectives for its WWH designation. In 2000 it was placed on the state's 303(d) list as impaired by nutrients, siltation, low dissolved oxygen, flow alteration, and other habitat alteration. Major sources of impairment included municipal point sources, combined sewer overflows, septic systems, urban runoff, channelization, and dam construction. The TMDL indicated that point source regulation alone would be insufficient to achieve water quality goals within the river and recommended the modification or removal of dams in the cities of Kent and Munroe Falls.

Ohio EPA determined that the Kent Dam was contributing to water quality problems due to stagnant flows and eutrophication within the dam pool, causing dissolved oxygen levels to fall well below water quality criteria during periods of low flow. The dam pool altered aquatic habitat, impairing both the health and diversity of indigenous fish species. Additionally, the dam posed a physical barrier to fish migration.



The dam pool was eliminated by removing an old canal lock and allowing the river to return to free-flowing conditions.

## Project Highlights

The Middle Cuyahoga River Restoration Project required consideration of complex science and engineering, cultural and archaeological sensitivity, regulatory finesse, and public involvement. The Kent Dam project initially faced fierce public resistance due to the dam's historic value and location in a designated historic district. The dam itself was listed on the National Register of Historic Places because it was one of the first recorded arched dams constructed in the United States.

An independent committee composed of the general public and various local, state, and federal representatives determined that the dam could be successfully modified without destroying its historic character. The project involved removing an old canal lock east of the dam to provide for a free-flowing river channel, while at the same time preserving and restoring the arched dam structure. The former dam pool area was converted into Heritage Park, and extensive interpretative signage chronicles the history of the area as well as the environmental benefits of the project. To further restore water quality and aquatic habitat, the project incorporated extensive natural stream channel and streambank restoration above the dam.

## Results

Prior to the project, the Index of Biological Integrity (IBI)—an objective measurement of the diversity of the fish community—indicated that fish life within the river failed to meet WWH standards. Physical habitat conditions within and along the river were measured using the Qualitative Habit Evaluation Index (QHEI) and also failed to meet WWH standards.

Following completion of the Middle Cuyahoga Restoration Project, IBI scores within the Kent Dam area increased by 57 percent and QHEI scores increased by 56 percent. Modified Index of Well Being (MiWb) scores—used to

### Ohio EPA Kent Dam Pool Bio-Survey Data

	Pre-Construction	Post-Construction
IBI	28.0	44.0
MiWb	8.2	8.9
QHEI	51.0	79.5
WWH Criteria: IBI ≥ 40; MiWb ≥ 7.9; QHEI ≥ 60		

measure the general health of fish communities within a waterbody—increased slightly from 8.2 to 8.9. As a result, the Cuyahoga River fully attained its WWH aquatic life use designation, and it is expected to be removed from the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters in the next listing cycle.

As an additional benefit, the city of Kent saved several million dollars in wastewater treatment upgrades that otherwise would have been required to address impairments caused by the dam. Developing the city of Kent's Heritage Park in the former dam pool also preserved an important connection to Ohio's history. Continued water quality improvements are expected upon the completion of additional projects such as modification of the dam downstream at the city of Munroe Falls.

## Partners and Funding

The city of Kent, in partnership with the cities of Ravenna and Massillon, Summit County, and agencies such as the U.S. EPA, Ohio EPA and Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), secured more than \$5 million for the Kent Dam Project. Funding was provided as follows: Ohio EPA's Clean Water Act (CWA) State Revolving Loan Fund's Water Resource Restoration Sponsor Program—\$3.94 million; The Clean Ohio Fund—\$636,000; CWA section 319 Grant—\$500,000; and ODNR—\$6,400. The section 319 grant funds were used to restore degraded and exposed streambanks following removal of the dam pool.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004Y  
October 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Russ Gibson**  
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency  
614-644-2020  
[russ.gibson@epa.state.oh.us](mailto:russ.gibson@epa.state.oh.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Oklahoma

## BMPs Result in Significant Reduction of Bacteria and Phosphorus Loading

### Waterbody Improved

Beaty Creek, in northeast Oklahoma, was impaired for pathogens, specifically *E. coli* and *Enterococcus*, due in part to poor waste management practices and direct access of livestock to the stream. Landowner education and implementing best management practices (BMPs) to promote proper animal waste and nutrient management, as well as better riparian zone management have led to significantly decreased amounts of bacteria in the creek. As a result, Oklahoma expects to remove Beaty Creek from its 2006 303(d) list for *E. coli* impairment. In addition, expected phosphorus loading to Beaty Creek is also decreasing as compared to a control watershed with no BMP implementation.

### Problem

The Beaty Creek watershed contains approximately 39 chicken houses; hog and turkey operations; and extensive, streamside cattle grazing on pasture fertilized with animal waste. Septic systems, land development, some row crop agriculture and fertilizer application are also found in the watershed. These activities have cumulatively generated a high amount of nonpoint source pollution and resulted in elevated levels of bacteria in Beaty Creek. In 2002, Oklahoma placed all 13 miles of Beaty Creek on the 303(d) list as impaired for *E. coli* and *Enterococcus*. In addition, high levels of phosphorus loading contributed to eutrophication and phosphorus exceedance in Lake Eucha, a downstream reservoir.

### Project Highlights

The number one restoration priority for the Beaty Creek area was riparian buffer establishment and protection. Another focus was disseminating information on pasture management and proper application of poultry litter as fertilizer—key issues in the watershed. Numerous educational workshops, meetings, and tours demonstrating BMPs in the watershed were essential for the success



Areas for cattle feeding and waste storage were constructed to reduce the amount of bacteria and nutrients entering the stream.

of this project. Approximately 63 percent of landowners in the watershed implemented BMPs through cost-share contracts from 2000 to 2004.

### Results

Attendance was high at the various educational presentations. Approximately 100 cost-share contracts to implement BMPs in the Beaty Creek watershed were signed into action in both Oklahoma and Arkansas. BMPs included establishing 335 acres of riparian buffer




areas, establishing/managing approximately 10,000 acres of pasture; the provision of more than 150 alternative water sources for cattle; the construction of 56 heavy use areas, 16 cattle feeding/waste storage facilities, 31 miles of cross fencing, four poultry waste storage facilities; and the replacement of 27 septic systems. Resulting water quality improvements led to Beaty Creek being nominated for removal from the 2006 303(d) list for *E. coli*. This brings it one step closer to full attainment of the Primary Body Contact Recreation (PBCR) beneficial use.

In addition, BMPs have reduced the expected loading of phosphorus in the Beaty Creek watershed as compared to a control watershed with no BMPs. Analysis of water quality data collected after the implementation of the Beaty Creek BMPs indicates that the increasing trend is no longer evident, which, in itself, is a measure of success. Independent analysis of water quality data, conducted by Oklahoma State University, using a paired watershed methodology showed a 31 percent decrease in expected phosphorus loading to the lake from Beaty Creek in the presence of BMPs, compared to expected loading in the absence of BMPs. Average flow-weighted phosphorus concentrations decreased from 0.220 mg/L to 0.191 mg/L. Although phosphorus loadings are still significant, the rate of phosphorus loading has been reduced. BMP implementation to reduce nutrient loading and evaluation of the stream continues.

The success of this project and continued interest in implementing BMPs has allowed the pursuit of a related project in the adjoining Spavinaw Creek watershed. One of the greatest successes of the project is that landowners are beginning to implement the practices without the benefit of cost-share assistance, and they are requesting assistance with BMP design and using their own funds. Even landowners outside the watershed are interested in the practices that were demonstrated in Beaty Creek and are beginning to implement them.

## Partners and Funding

A total of \$1,338,401 was available to support installation of the BMPs associated with this project. This included \$632,467 federal dollars from EPA section 319 funds, \$528,133 state dollars, and a required \$177,800 match from landowners. The Eucha watershed has been a special emphasis area for Oklahoma's EQIP program, ensuring that at least \$325,000 worth of additional practices were implemented throughout the watershed. Different groups participating in the Beaty Creek project included the Oklahoma Conservation Commission, Delaware County, Oklahoma and Benton County, Arkansas Conservation Districts, Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Oklahoma State University Cooperative Extension Service, NRCS, Farm Services Agency, Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission, local producers, poultry integrators, and animal waste marketers.



Boxplots indicate the interquartile range (25<sup>th</sup>–75<sup>th</sup> percentile) and median of the data in each of two periods: “Pre” contains data from August 1999 to January 2001; “Post” includes data from July 2001 to May 2005. The red line indicates the geometric mean above which the beneficial use is not achieved. There were significant reductions in mean levels of both *E. coli* and *Enterococcus* bacteria.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001D  
April 2007

## For additional information, contact:

**Dan Butler**  
Oklahoma Conservation Commission  
405-522-4500  
[dan.butler@conservation.ok.gov](mailto:dan.butler@conservation.ok.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Oklahoma

### Improvement of Surface and Ground Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

Lake Creek, in Caddo County, is in one of Oklahoma's most intensive agricultural areas. Conducting educational programs and implementing best management practices (BMPs) decreased loading of pesticides, nutrients, and sediment to the creek. As a result, fish communities improved enough to allow removal of Lake Creek from Oklahoma's 303(d) list in 2002 for pesticides and unknown toxicity. Further water quality improvements are ongoing in this region as part of the Fort Cobb Watershed Based Plan.

#### Problem

Lake Creek is a 16-mile-long gaining stream fed by shallow ground water that seeps out continuously along the stream banks. Approximately 92 percent of the Lake Creek watershed is used for producing peanuts, cotton, wheat, alfalfa, and other small grain and row crops. Excessive and improper fertilization and pesticide use, along with shallow ground water and very permeable and highly erodible sandy soils led to creek impairments. High levels of nutrients and unknown toxicity thought to be related to pesticides were detected in surface and ground water. Approximately 12 pesticides and the fungicide Botran were detected in surface water and streamside seepage samples. Fish samples at two sites along Lake Creek in 1990 revealed very poor biological conditions relative to area reference streams, based on IBI (Index of Biological Integrity) scores. Because of these results, Oklahoma placed Lake Creek on the 303(d) list in 1998 as not supporting its Fish and Wildlife Propagation (FWP) beneficial use because of unknown toxicity and pesticide impairment.

#### Project Highlights

An educational effort on reducing fertilizer and chemical usage targeted landowners and highlighted the benefits of potential cost savings. One-on-one meetings and public sessions were held to teach peanut and alfalfa grow-

ers integrated pest management techniques including proper weed and insect scouting, determining pest thresholds, interpreting soil test reports and proper fungicide use. Demonstration BMPs illustrated techniques to manage vegetation; exclude cattle from riparian zones; and reduce nutrient, pesticide, and sediment loading. BMPs implemented from 1995 to 2002 included reduced tillage planting in peanut fields, riparian fencing, alternative livestock water source construction, grade stabilization structures, diversion terraces, deferred grazing, rotational grazing, and revegetation in riparian zones.

#### Results

More than 200 people attended the various educational presentations. More than 400 acres of pasture were converted to deferred or rotational grazing. Grade stabilization and diversion terrace structures were constructed. Approximately 20,000 feet of stream exclusion fencing was erected, and vegetation was planted in critical riparian areas. As a result of these practices and the accompanying educational program for proper pesticide and fungicide use, the fish community improved significantly.


The median number of species caught in 1998 was 13.5, compared to 6 in 1990, and the

median number of fish caught in 1998 was 637, compared to 299 in 1990. The increase in these parameters improved the IBI scores for Lake Creek to the degree necessary to fulfill the state biological criteria in support of the FWP beneficial use. Additionally, samples of Lake Creek water and sediment failed to exhibit any toxicity using the same tests that had demonstrated toxicity in 1990. Because of these results, Oklahoma removed Lake Creek from the 303(d) list for unknown toxicity and pesticides in 2002.

## Partners and Funding

Many groups contributed to the success of this project. Crucial educational efforts were led by the Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service and the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture (now Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food and Forestry) with the participation of the other agencies. EPA section 319 funds provided \$280,441, while the State of Oklahoma supplied \$186,961 toward the Lake Creek project.

**Lake Creek Fish Bioassessment**



Fish bioassessment results from 1990 and 1998. Circles represent the IBI scores of fish collections at two sites in Lake Creek; diamonds indicate the average IBI score of the two sites. The improvement in these parameters resulted in an average IBI score that justified delisting (Oklahoma biocriteria allows pooling of data from multiple sites when they lie within the same reach).



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001E  
April 2007

## For additional information, contact:

**Dan Butler**  
Oklahoma Conservation Commission  
405-522-4500  
[dan.butler@conservation.ok.gov](mailto:dan.butler@conservation.ok.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Oklahoma

## Education and Demonstration Efforts Result in Turbidity Improvements

### Waterbody Improved

Sandy and Yellowstone Creeks, both in the Salt Fork of the Arkansas River watershed in north central Oklahoma (in Alfalfa and Woods Counties, respectively), were impaired for turbidity due in part to practices associated with crop and cattle production. Agricultural producer education and implementation of best management practices (BMPs) to promote conservation tillage, proper fertilizer application, integrated pest management, and riparian buffer establishment helped to decrease sediment and nutrients going into both creeks. As a result, Oklahoma removed Sandy Creek from its 2004 303(d) list for turbidity impairment, and nominated Yellowstone Creek for removal from the state's 2006 303(d) list for turbidity.

### Problem

The Salt Fork is an agriculture-intensive watershed where wheat and alfalfa are the primary crops. Producers often plowed fields to the edge of streams, and cattle often grazed at stream edges, both of which contributed to bank erosion. Consequently, streams in this watershed had high turbidity problems. Oklahoma placed both Sandy Creek, 18 miles long, and Yellowstone Creek, 22 miles long, on the 1998 303(d) list for not attaining their designated use of Fish and Wildlife Propagation (FWP) because of turbidity impairment.

### Project Highlights

Educating agricultural producers was a top priority for the Salt Fork watershed program. Better management techniques for sediment, nutrient, and pest control, such as no-till and reduced-till planting; proper fertilizer and chemical (pesticide, herbicide, fungicide) application; the use of crop varieties that require fewer chemicals; and riparian buffer zone establishment were taught through multiple channels. Ten BMP demonstration projects showed producers that BMP implementation need not affect their bottom line or production volumes. Numerous educational meetings, tours, and field days, in combination with a



Potential sources of sediment and nutrients in the Salt Fork watershed before implementing the BMPs: fields were often cultivated or grazed to the edge of the stream; riparian buffers were nonexistent or rare.


Web site and newsletters also promoted the BMPs.

### Results


During the project period, from 1999 to 2002, conservation tillage use within the Salt Fork watershed increased by 21 percent (to 88 percent of producers), soil test-based fertilizer application increased by 29 percent (to 67 percent of producers), and 78 percent of producers recognized the benefits of using vegetative



### SANDY CREEK



### YELLOWSTONE CREEK



A stream is considered impaired due to turbidity if 10 percent or more of the seasonal base flow water samples exceed 50 NTUs (based on 5 years of data preceding the assessment year). Both creeks now fully attain their FWP use designation.

buffers along streams. As a result, turbidity has decreased in the Salt Fork watershed. In the 2002 assessment, 13 percent of seasonal base flow water samples from Sandy Creek exceeded the turbidity criteria; in the 2004 assessment it was reduced to 8 percent. In 2006, it was further reduced to 4 percent. Similarly, in 2002, Yellowstone Creek had a 10 percent exceedance of turbidity criteria, which, by 2006, was down to only 6 percent exceedance. Both creeks now meet the requirements of their FWP use designation. Oklahoma removed Sandy Creek from its 303(d) list in 2004, and it expects to remove Yellowstone Creek from its 2006 303(d) list.

## Partners and Funding

EPA section 319 funds provided \$90,000 for the implementation of this project. The Oklahoma Conservation Commission supplied \$60,000, which was used to subcontract with the Oklahoma State University Cooperative Extension to conduct education and demonstration tasks.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001C  
April 2007

## For additional information, contact:

**Dan Butler**  
Oklahoma Conservation Commission  
405-522-4500  
[dan.butler@conservation.ok.gov](mailto:dan.butler@conservation.ok.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Pennsylvania

## Stream Restoration and Dam Removal Restore Waterbodies

### Waterbody Improved

Many years of agricultural runoff had caused nutrient and dissolved oxygen impairments in Manatawny Creek and an unnamed tributary to the Manatawny. In addition, an orphaned dam on the creek had blocked migratory fish access and triggered sediment accumulation in the stagnant waters. By 1998 Pennsylvania had included approximately 22.3 miles of Manatawny Creek and its tributary on the state's 303(d) list, citing sediment, nutrients, low dissolved oxygen, and thermal impairments due to agriculture and hydromodification. To address these problems, project partners stabilized stream channels, restored riparian buffers, and removed the dam. Water quality improved as a result, allowing the state to delist both waters in 2004.

### Problem

The Manatawny Creek watershed covers 91.6 square miles and includes parts of two counties in southeastern Pennsylvania. The creek drains into the Schuylkill River at the town of Pottstown, approximately 40 miles northwest of Philadelphia.

Although urbanization is taking place throughout the watershed, much of the area remains in agricultural use. Nonpoint source runoff from agricultural fields and operations delivered high nutrient and sediment loads to Manatawny Creek and its tributaries. Algal blooms and low dissolved oxygen levels were pervasive issues.

An orphaned dam near the mouth of the creek compounded the upstream problems in both Manatawny Creek and an unnamed tributary to the Manatawny. The dam blocked migratory fish passage and caused stagnant flows, which allowed sediment to accumulate.

These circumstances prompted the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) to place approximately 20 miles of Manatawny Creek and 2.3 miles of the tributary on the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters for failing to meet aquatic life uses. The agency identified several causes of impairment, including

- Low dissolved oxygen concentrations triggered by nutrient-rich agricultural runoff



Restored riparian buffer along Manatawny Creek.

- Accumulated sediments from runoff and dam-caused streamflow stagnation
- Water temperature increases produced by stagnant waters

### Project Highlights

Project partners employed several approaches to address the water quality troubles. First, they removed the dam in 2000, restoring the flow of the Manatawny and the tributary. Next, they stabilized approximately 2,000 linear feet of stream channel to reduce erosion. Finally, to further deal with erosion and to

reduce nutrients entering the waterway, project partners restored nearly 2,000 linear feet of riparian buffers. These actions helped to reduce annual sediment loads to Manatawny Creek by an estimated 800 tons.

In addition to engineering approaches, project partners used public education throughout the project's duration. They conducted public meetings on the dam removal project, participated in formal meetings with borough officials and residents to discuss riparian vegetation management, and distributed project information through print and television media.

## Results

PA DEP reassessed Manatawny Creek and its tributaries in 2002. By that time, the state had changed its 303(d) listing and delisting methodologies. When Manatawny Creek had been listed in the mid-1990s for not meeting aquatic life uses, the state had based its decision on chemical parameters like nutrients and dissolved oxygen. Later, as part of programmatic changes in Pennsylvania's total maximum daily load (TMDL) program, the state revised its criteria to base them primarily on benthic macroinvertebrate data.

As shown in the accompanying table, state biologists found that Manatawny Creek's macroinvertebrate populations consisted largely of pollution-sensitive taxa comparable

to those found at reference locations. In addition, PA DEP showed that dissolved oxygen consistently remained above the state standard of 5 mg/L.

On the basis of these findings, Pennsylvania removed 20 miles of Manatawny Creek and 2.3 miles of the unnamed tributary from its 303(d) list of impaired waters. Project partners attribute the delisting of these waterbodies to the dam removal and stream restoration efforts.

## Partners and Funding

Using a \$90,000 section 319 grant, the Delaware Riverkeeper Network spearheaded efforts to stabilize streambanks and restore riparian areas. The Academy of Natural Sciences' Patrick Center for Environmental Research used a PA DEP Growing Greener grant to assess the effectiveness of dam removal as a river restoration method. The Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission oversaw the actual dam removal.

Additional partners included Greater Pottstown Watershed Alliance; Borough of Pottstown (Parks and Recreation); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; PA DEP; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Nonpoint Source Program; Montgomery County Conservation District; Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission; and Berks County Conservancy.

Sensitivity rating	Number of macroinvertebrate taxa						
	Station 1	Station 2	Station 3	Station 4	Station 5	Reference Station 1	Reference Station 2
Sensitive	5	7	7	7	7	7	5
Facultative	9	10	10	13	9	9	12
Tolerant	0	0	0	1	1	2	2

Macroinvertebrate data for Manatawny Creek in 2002 after stream restoration and dam removal. The table shows the number of pollution-sensitive, facultative, and pollution-tolerant taxa for five sampling stations and two reference sites. Taxa distribution in sampling stations compared favorably with that of reference sites. This finding, in part, led to the delisting of Manatawny Creek and an unnamed tributary.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003N  
December 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Russell Wagner**  
Department of Environmental Protection,  
Nonpoint Source Program  
717-772-5642 • [ruwagner@state.pa.us](mailto:ruwagner@state.pa.us)

**Dave Williams**  
Delaware Riverkeeper Network  
215-369-1188 • [dave@delawareriverkeeper.org](mailto:dave@delawareriverkeeper.org)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Pennsylvania

## Reductions Upstream Put Lake on Path to Recovery

### Waterbody Improved

In 1996 Pennsylvania placed Stephen Foster Lake on the state's list of impaired waters due to excessive levels of total suspended solids and nutrients, particularly phosphorus, from surrounding agricultural areas. Restoration partners implemented several agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as planting riparian buffers, building waste management systems, and installing stream fencing and crossings to reduce nonpoint runoff. These BMPs dramatically reduced the amount of sediment and nutrients delivered to the lake. Computer models calculated that the BMPs reduced phosphorus and sediment runoff loads by 52 and 59 percent, respectively, exceeding the TMDL-recommended reductions. More work is needed to remove residual pollutants that are recycled in the lake itself, but dramatically lower pollutant inputs coming from the surrounding watershed promise a clearer future for Stephen Foster Lake.

### Problem

Stephen Foster Lake is in Mt. Pisgah State Park in the northern mountain region of Bradford County, Pennsylvania. A trout-stocked fishery, the lake encompasses 70 acres and has an average depth of 10.5 feet. It was created in 1977 through the construction of a 46-foot-high earth and rock hill dam across Mill Creek.

The lake, which has 150,000 visitors annually, offers numerous recreational opportunities. It is a popular boating spot and has a reputation as one of the best bass and panfish fisheries among the Pennsylvania State Parks.

More than half of the surrounding 6,577-acre watershed is used for agriculture; the remainder is predominantly forested. Over time, Mill Creek, the feeder stream, deposited excess sediment and nutrient runoff in the lake, creating anoxic conditions. Large, unsightly algae blooms reduced the amount of oxygen available to aquatic organisms, including the fish species that attracted visitors. As a result, Pennsylvania added Stephen Foster Lake to the state's list of impaired waters in 1996, and subsequent years, for nutrient and sediment runoff due to agricultural activities. The lake will not meet its recreational uses until the algae blooms no longer manifest and the Trophic State Index values are closer to 60 than to 70.

In 1996 Coastal Environmental Services completed a Clean Lakes Study of Stephen Foster Lake. In the spring of 2001, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) established a



Local efforts are restoring the health of beautiful Stephen Foster Lake.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the lake that called for reductions of 49 percent for phosphorus and 52 percent for sediment. All the information used for the TMDL computations was taken from the Clean Lakes Study.

### Project Highlights

Bradford County Conservation District (BCCD) and the farming community worked diligently to address nonpoint source pollutant issues in the watershed. In May 1993 EPA awarded BCCD a Clean Lakes Program grant to study potential nonpoint source controls and demonstrate the benefits of implementing BMPs. By 2004, eleven of the thirteen farms in the watershed had fully implemented agricultural BMPs. Upstream of the lake, farmers and the BCCD





Area residents enjoy the trout, bass, and panfish fishery.

installed 9 miles of stream fencing and an alternative water supply system to help prevent cattle from wandering into waterways. They also constructed agricultural crossings to swiftly move cattle across streams and prevent the animals from grazing near waterways and destroying streambanks.

Project partners also built 11 systems to store and treat animal waste, planted riparian buffers, and restored 2,500 feet of stream channel. Finally, they stabilized a box culvert outlet to reduce further erosion and sedimentation into the stream.

Following BMP implementation, PADEP conducted biological monitoring and analysis of Mill Creek's benthic communities. By 2005, data showed improvements in biological conditions in the stream. With the sources of pollution into the lake effectively addressed, more attention could be paid to the lake itself.

## Results

Preliminary lake water quality data following BMP implementation (Table 1) reflected slight decreases in the levels of total phosphorus and total suspended

solids. Trophic State Index (TSI) values are calculated based on seasonal means of chlorophyll *a*, total phosphorus, and Secchi disc transparency. TSI values are used to compare lakes within a region and to assess changes in the productivity level of a lake over time.

Although Table 1 shows a decrease in TSI values, representing improvements in water quality, more substantial lake water quality improvements are needed. Further improvements are expected to emerge slowly, however, because of the large residual amounts of legacy sediment that release phosphorus during seasonal periods of low dissolved oxygen. Additional in-lake treatments are being researched to treat the phosphorus-laden sediment at the bottom of the lake. Recently, additional section 319 funding was awarded to help achieve water quality goals by implementing an in-lake treatment, such as aeration or an alum treatment, by 2009.

## Partners and Funding

A collection of government and non-government organizations concluded that in addition to the upstream BMPs, in-lake treatment is necessary to sufficiently reduce phosphorus and sediments and remove the lake from the state's 303(d) list. The method of withdrawing water from the lake bottom in the spring and early summer (before thermal stratification occurs) has been used to reduce in-lake phosphorus concentrations. This withdrawal delays lake stratification and the accompanying resuspension of phosphorus, resulting in the reduction of algae growth. The technique could become an annual BMP to improve the water quality in Stephen Foster Lake.

Several agencies and interested parties have worked together, and continue to do so, examining in-lake treatments to further improve lake water quality. BMP implementation was made possible by \$274,000 of section 319 funding and technical assistance from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Recent, additional section 319 funding of \$99,000 was awarded to determine other potential in-lake treatments. PADEP, BCCD, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and landowners provided further funding for this project amounting to a total of \$1.2 million.

**Table 1. Trophic State Index (TSI) values**

TSI	1994–1995	2005	Water Quality Goal
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	64	62	59
Total phosphorus	70	57	59
Secchi depth	58	55	59



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001M  
August 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Mike Lovegreen**  
Bradford County Conservation District  
570-265-5539, ext. 120  
[mike.lovegreen@pa.nacdnet.net](mailto:mike.lovegreen@pa.nacdnet.net)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Pennsylvania

## Fish Return to Swatara Creek

### Waterbody Improved

Swatara Creek is a tributary of the Susquehanna River, which drains to the Chesapeake Bay. Dozens of species of fish that had not been present for decades returned to a 7-mile segment of Northern Swatara Creek as a result of improvements in water quality and stream habitat.

Northern Swatara Creek was polluted by excess acidity, along with iron, manganese, and aluminum, that were leaching from abandoned anthracite coal mines. Pennsylvania listed the stream segment on the state's 303(d) list in 1996 and subsequent listing cycles. Best management practices (BMPs) were employed to treat the abandoned mine drainage (AMD) and improve water quality. Before 1985 no fish were found in ecological studies conducted on the Northern Swatara Creek; however, in the past decade a gradual and steady increase in the number of fish species and individuals has been documented. In fact, annual studies since 1999 have confirmed that in all but 2 years more than 20 species of fish and more than 200 individual fish were living in the creek.

### Problem


The Swatara Creek watershed is located in Schuylkill, Lebanon, and Dauphin counties. The creek enters the Susquehanna River just downstream of Harrisburg, the capital of Pennsylvania. The Northern Swatara Creek watershed is in Schuylkill County. The creek flows through the southernmost area of the anthracite coal region of eastern Pennsylvania, an area that was heavily mined through the late 19th and early 20th centuries.

It is estimated that 25 square miles (67 percent) of the Northern Swatara Creek watershed is affected by AMD, and impairments in the waterbody must be addressed to meet water quality standards. As required by the Clean Water Act, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) was prepared for 11 creek segments due to impairments noted in the 1996, 1998, 2002, and 2004 Pennsylvania section 303(d) lists of impaired waterbodies. The impairments were caused by high levels of metals (the three primary metals associated with AMD—iron, manganese, and aluminum) and, in some stretches, precipitated solids.

### Project Highlights

Starting in the early 1970s with a series of voluntary projects and enforcement projects that companies completed in lieu of fines, efforts have been under way to clean up coal silt and sediment ponds and stabilize the lands with high erosive potential in the watershed. The Swatara Creek Diversion Wells project, completed in 1995 adjacent to U.S. Route 209, raised the pH of the creek. This success built momentum with local stakeholders and helped form the Northern Swatara Creek Watershed Association. The diversion wells

**Figure 1. Return of fish to Northern Swatara Creek**



Low numbers of fish (species numbers are above the bar graph, individuals numbers are along the x-axis) in 2003 and 2004 are believed to be due to high water that made for difficult sampling conditions. In 2005 and 2006, with normal flow conditions, the fish population continued to grow.

Source: USGS, Cravotta. Fish species identified during ecological surveys of Swatara Creek at Ravine, PA (1985–2006).

intercept the flow of the creek and increase its pH by 1 to 1.5 standard units through the addition of limestone. Beginning in 1996, one or more water treatment projects have been implemented each year to address AMD pollution in the watershed.

Monitoring and study have made the Swatara Creek effort one of the best-documented restoration projects in the country. Since 1998, the creek has been the subject of a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) section 319 National Monitoring Program project. A

cooperative study effort undertaken by federal, state, and county partners resulted in the design of a treatment system for the Rowe Tunnel to address the discharge of the 3,000-gallon-per-minute flow that is the main source of the Lorberry Creek, a stream that flows into Northern Swatara Creek. The successful implementation of this and other projects has resulted in the gradual return of fish to Northern Swatara Creek in the town of Ravine just downstream of the portion of the watershed in the anthracite coal fields.

## Results

The best indicator of improved water quality is the gradual shift of the benthic macroinvertebrate community from pollutant-tolerant species to more pollutant-sensitive species. In 1997 mayflies (a benthic macroinvertebrate in the Ephemeroptera order) once again began to be found in the creek in the springtime. Although mayflies are common in fisheries in more pristine areas of Pennsylvania, they had not occurred in Swatara Creek for perhaps a hundred years. In total, about 7 stream miles of the Northern Swatara Creek have dramatically improved water quality. As a result, the fish community has also rebounded (Figure 1). Twenty-four species of fish were documented in 2000, including coldwater species like brook trout and sculpin and warm-water species like sunfish, pickerel, and


bullhead catfish. Although most of the fish species are considered to have moderate tolerance to pollution, several pollution-intolerant species, including river chub, cutlips minnow, and longnose dace, have been reported since 1997.

## Partners and Funding

In total, more than \$731,026 of section 319 funding has been used to address AMD and help to restore the Northern Swatara Creek, as well as to leverage in-kind services and money from other sources. More than \$300,000 of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) Abandoned Mine Reclamation Funds has been applied to water quality projects in the watershed. The U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. Geological Survey, and Office of Surface Mining have also funded projects.

A total of more than \$9 million of Operation Scarlift, Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation, and Growing Greener funds have been used in the Northern Swatara watershed. Schuylkill County Conservation District, Northern Swatara Creek Watershed Association, municipalities, local industries and businesses, sportsman clubs, and private citizens have provided technical assistance, volunteer labor, and donations of funds and materials.

**Figure 2. Northern Swatara watershed and location of BMPs constructed to treat AMD**



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001P  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Craig R. Morgan**, District Manager, Schuylkill Conservation District  
570-622-3742, ext. 5 • [schuyldc@co.schuylkill.pa.us](mailto:schuyldc@co.schuylkill.pa.us)

**Daniel Koury**, PA DEP, Pottsville District Mining Office  
570-621-3118 • [dkoury@state.pa.us](mailto:dkoury@state.pa.us)

**Charles A. Cravotta III**, U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources  
Discipline, New Cumberland, PA • 717-739-6963 • [cravotta@usgs.gov](mailto:cravotta@usgs.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## South Carolina

### Homeowners and Agricultural Community Reduce Bacteria Levels in Oconee County Watersheds

#### Waterbody Improved

Livestock operations and failing septic systems caused excessive fecal coliform levels in two rural South Carolina creeks. In 1998 the state placed three sites (i.e., waterbody segments) along Coneross and Beaverdam Creeks on its 303(d) list for violating bacterial indicator water quality standards. The three watersheds represented by these sites did not support recreational uses because of the bacterial impairment. The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) developed total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for fecal coliform for Beaverdam Creek and two sites within Coneross Creek. Public and private partners met these TMDLs by implementing several best management practices (BMPs) designed, in part, to help the creeks meet state water quality standards for fecal coliform. At the close of the project in December 2005, all three sites were meeting South Carolina's water quality standards for fecal coliform.

#### Problem

Coneross and Beaverdam Creeks flow through Oconee County in the northwest corner of South Carolina. Water quality monitoring data within the two rural watersheds showed that three sites consistently exceeded state water quality standards for fecal coliform. As a result, South Carolina placed two sites on Coneross Creek and one site on Beaverdam Creek on its 303(d) list for fecal coliform bacteria violations. These watersheds encompass 47,016 acres in Coneross Creek and 9,099 acres in Beaverdam Creek. Staff at SCDHEC attributed the violations to failing septic systems and runoff from animal management sites. South Carolina removed the Beaverdam Creek site from the 303(d) list in 2000 and the Coneross Creek site in 2002 because a TMDL had been developed and approved for each station. However, water quality standards were not met at any of the three sites until 2005.

#### Project Highlights

In 2002 South Carolina initiated a 3-year project to develop and implement three fecal coliform TMDLs for the creeks. To effectively meet the TMDLs, project partners developed a watershed-based plan that targeted the



This alternative watering source on the Hendrix Farm keeps cattle out of nearby creeks and ponds.

agricultural community and homeowners with septic systems needing repair or replacement.

The plan included an extensive community education component. Through various outreach efforts, project partners improved homeowner awareness of the importance of proper septic system maintenance. Outreach to the agricultural community included information about various BMPs to improve water quality.

By 2005, homeowners and farmers had taken many steps to improve Coneross and





This septic tank was completely filled with solids. Cooperators removed the solids and replaced the tank.

Beaverdam Creeks. Using the technical and financial support of project partners, homeowners repaired or replaced 38 failing septic systems. Likewise, project partners helped the agricultural community to adopt 80 BMPs, which included planting buffers and field borders, fencing cattle from creeks and providing alternative water sources, building waste-storage sheds, and installing compost facilities.

## Results

Monitoring data from SCDHEC show that the efforts of the project team members, homeowners, and the agricultural community resulted in a measurable reduction in fecal coliform in Coneross and Beaverdam Creeks. By the end of the project in December 2005, data from each of the three stations showed that the water was meeting water quality standards for fecal coliform (South Carolina's water quality standard for fecal coliform bacteria allows for no more than 10 percent exceedances of the 400 cfu/100 mL instantaneous criterion). Monitoring will continue at all three stations to ensure that standards are maintained.

In addition to the obvious water quality benefits, the Coneross Creek and Beaverdam Creek project has resulted in many physical, economic, and social benefits to project participants. Agricultural producers, for example, discovered that implementing the



Waste stacking sheds, like this one, reduce the amount of fecal coliform that washes away after a rain event.

BMPs improved forage management and utilization, distributed livestock grazing patterns more evenly, and increased revenue from the addition of product lines such as compost.

## Partners and Funding

The project was a partnership between SCDHEC, Clemson University, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Oconee County Soil and Water Conservation District, and the Oconee County Cattlemen's Association.

The project used just over \$583,000 in federal 319 funds and \$100,000 in EQIP, which included an additional match of \$417,000. The total cost for this project was over \$1,100,000.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001K  
June 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Meredith Barkley**, South Carolina Department of  
Health and Environmental Control  
803-898-4222  
[barklemb@dhec.sc.gov](mailto:barklemb@dhec.sc.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Streambank Restoration and Cattle Exclusion Reduce Siltation and Improve Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

Agricultural practices and land development in the Arrington Creek watershed were contributing to silt runoff that was degrading the water quality of the creek. The waterbody was listed as impaired on Tennessee's 2002 303(d) list due to siltation from agriculture and land development. Best management practices (BMPs) implemented in the watershed successfully improved the water quality of Arrington Creek and allowed for its removal from the impaired list in 2004.

#### Problem

Arrington Creek is located in Williamson County in central Tennessee. It is in the Harpeth River watershed, Ecoregion 71i. A 24.6-mile segment of Arrington Creek was listed as impaired on the state's 2002 303(d) list for siltation. Arrington Creek was only partially supporting criteria for its designated use classification (fish and aquatic life). The state identified agricultural practices and land development as the primary sources of silt entering the waterbody. A siltation and habitat alteration total maximum daily load (TMDL) was previously developed for this watershed and approved by EPA in 2002.

#### Project Highlights

Eight BMPs were implemented along Paige Branch, a tributary to Arrington Creek, between 1999 and 2003. The installment of exclusion fencing and an alternative watering facility prevented livestock from entering the stream, thereby reducing the trampling of streambanks. Other BMPs implemented include pasture and hay planting along critical areas, reinforcement of heavy use areas, streambank protection, and planting riparian buffers (Figure 1). These management practices

helped reduce the amount of silt and runoff entering the waterway.

#### Results

The BMPs implemented along Paige Branch, a tributary to Arrington Creek, have helped reduce the level of siltation entering the waterbody and allowed it to meet its designated water quality standards such that there were no distinctly visible solids, scum, foam, oily slick, or the formation of slimes, bottom deposits or sludge banks. In addition the Branch was found to be no longer detrimental to fish and aquatic life. This stream was reassessed in 2002 by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC). Using EPA's rapid bioassessment protocol III (RBP III), state biologists calculated a biological reconnaissance (biorecon) score for Arrington Creek, which is used to measure a compliance with the state water quality standard for siltation. Biorecon is one tool used to recognize stream impairment as judged by species richness measures, emphasizing the presence or absence of indicator organisms without regard to relative abundance. The biorecon index is scored on a scale from 1–15. A score less than

5 is regarded as very poor. A score over 10 is considered good. The principal metrics used are the total macroinvertebrate families (or genera), the number of families (or genera) of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (EPT), and the number of pollution intolerant families (or genera) found in a stream. The biorecon results for Arrington Creek indicated 10 EPT families, 7 intolerant, and 25 total families. The stream received a score of 15 out of 15, indicating that it is now fully supporting fish and aquatic life. The stream got a habitat score of 115, which is similar to the established habitat goal for this region. The stream has improved since last

assessed and therefore Arrington Creek was removed from Tennessee's list of impaired waters in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

The Williamson County Soil Conservation District and the Harpeth River Watershed Association helped implement the BMPs with \$12,500 of section 319 direct and matched funding. An additional \$55,627.81 was contributed by the Tennessee Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund and matching funds.




Figure 1. BMPs implemented in the Harpeth River watershed.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001Q  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Livestock Management Improves Dissolved Oxygen in Big Sandy River

#### Waterbody Improved

Polluted runoff from pasture-grazing cattle caused abnormally high pathogen levels and low dissolved oxygen concentrations in Big Sandy River. These problems led to the state placing a 7.3-mile river segment on its 303(d) list for impairments in both 2002 and 2004. Using section 319 funding, farmers installed a number of best management practices (BMPs) on pasturelands adjoining the river's impaired segments, including foundations to support cattle in heavy-use areas, grade stabilization structures, pasture and hay planting, critical area planting, livestock watering pipelines, and alternative watering structures. The BMPs resulted in water quality improvements in the 7.3-mile segment and its removal from the state's 2006 303(d) list for dissolved oxygen impairments.

#### Problem

The 7.3-mile river segment is in Carroll County where Big Sandy River becomes Maple Creek. The Big Sandy River is in the Kentucky Lake watershed in northwestern Tennessee in Ecoregion 65e, a predominantly forested watershed. Pastures, cropland, and hay fields cover approximately 22 percent of the area. The designated use classifications for Big Sandy River include fish and aquatic life, irrigation, livestock watering and wildlife, and recreation. Another designated use classification of Big Sandy River is as industrial water supply.

The 7.3-mile segment was listed as impaired on the 2004 303(d) list for low in-stream dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations due to pasture grazing. Monitoring along Big Sandy River from 1999 to 2001 showed that the segment was not meeting criteria to support its most stringent designated use classification—recreation. It showed maximum *E. coli* individual sample values that were in violation of the state-established criteria protective of the recreation designated use classification, a maximum individual sample of 941 cfu/100 mL (Figure 1). In addition, fecal coliform values above the state-established criterion of 1,000 cfu/100 mL were observed at multiple sampling stations.

A TMDL study for pathogen loads in the Kentucky Lake watershed was established by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation and approved by EPA in 2005. The study allocated a 44.8 percent reduction in pathogen loading into the Maple Creek branch of Big Sandy River.

#### Project Highlights

Using a combination of 319 funding and state funds obtained through the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF), the local Soil and Water Conservation District offices in Carroll and Henderson counties worked with local landowners to promote and install management practices and structures that would both reduce pathogen runoff into Big Sandy River and improve the landowners' operations. The Chickasaw-Shiloh Resource Conservation & Development Program (RC&D) and the Hatchie River Watershed Association provided additional technical assistance and support. The BMPs installed included (1) foundations to support cattle and soil in heavy-use areas, (2) grade stabilization structures to prevent stream bank failure, (3) pasture seeding and riparian zone planting along critical areas, and (4) the installation of pipelines and other alternative



water structures, including wells and ponds, designed to keep livestock out of streams.

The foundations and support for heavy-livestock-use areas (places where cattle gather for watering and feeding) were designed to reduce soil erosion caused by livestock usage. Critical stream bank areas were also protected. These water quality control measures also provide livestock health benefits and improve area aesthetics.

Local agriculture agency partners (from both USDA-RC&D and ARCF) advised landowners on the technical design and specifications of BMPs and provided oversight and expertise during the installation process. Landowners participated voluntarily, providing partial labor and funds for the BMPs. The BMPs were installed in the 2003–2005 period, and they continue to help meet the load reduction allocations in the 2005 TMDL.

## Results


In the most recent Rapid Bioassessment Protocol III sampling of the 7.3-mile segment of Big Sandy River, state biologists found 12 EPT (pollutant-sensitive) genera and 47 total genera of benthic macroinvertebrates. The

Tennessee Stream Condition Index (TSCI) was used to compare subregions with a total possible score of 42. The TSCI Index score for the 7.3-mile segment was 40, which is in the “very good” range and much better than the regional goal of 32. In addition, a diurnal (daily) DO study was done in 2002 at mile 36.4. DO levels stayed above 7 mg/L, which is above the minimum standard of 5 mg/L, even during low-flow periods. The DO data, along with the high biological integrity scores, indicated that the stream is meeting water quality standards, and therefore the segment was delisted in the 2006 cycle for DO. However, the segment remains listed for *E. coli*.

## Partners and Funding

Big Sandy River has benefited from \$461,566 of Clean Water Act section 319 funding. The Tennessee State ARCF also provided \$10,016. Key partners in this effort include the Carroll County and Henderson Soil Conservation Districts, Chickasaw-Shiloh RC&D, and the Hatchie River Watershed Association. Agents of these generous partners provided technical expertise and labor. Landowners in the Kentucky Lake watershed contributed in-kind labor hours and some funding.

**Figure 1. *E. coli* values from 1998 to 2006.**



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001N  
August 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**  
Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Best Management Practices Reduce Siltation and Contaminated Runoff

#### Waterbody Improved

Runoff from livestock operations and unrestricted grazing was contributing high levels of sediment and nutrients to Blue Spring Creek in Coffee County, Tennessee. Education and the introduction of best management practices (BMPs), including fencing, water facilities for cattle, and waste management systems, have helped to eliminate existing water quality problems, allowing the creek to be removed from Tennessee's 303(d) list.

#### Problem

Beef production is a major enterprise in Coffee County, Tennessee, and livestock are raised throughout the region to supply this industry. Poor nutrient management plans and grazing practices resulted in runoff that contained sediment and nutrients entering the stream untreated. Based on the results of a macroinvertebrate sampling and habitat assessment that demonstrated values below expectations for streams in the Eastern Highland Rim ecoregion, the Blue Spring Creek was listed on Tennessee's 2002 303(d) list as having "other habitat alterations" due to nonirrigated crop production.

#### Project Highlights

Educational efforts have raised awareness about the water quality problems associated with unrestricted livestock grazing. Farmers have been willing to help improve water quality by installing BMPs on their land. Exclusion fencing was used to keep livestock out of natural water sources and off streambanks. As a result, native vegetation has returned to streambank areas, providing habitat for wildlife and serving as a natural filter strip.

Alternative watering systems provide livestock with water in areas with no stream access. Frost-free water tanks have been particularly

successful in providing better water quality for humans, livestock, aquatic plants, and animals. The soil in heavy-use areas surrounding alternative water ponds is stabilized with geotextile material to further prevent erosion.

Animal waste management systems, such as holding ponds, allow for proper waste disposal. Such systems take care of contaminated runoff, as well as wash water and flush water from dairy or swine operations.

Pasture seeding with a mix of fescue and white clover, in combination with a nutrient management plan, provided effective erosion control on area farms.


#### Results

By 2003 biological integrity and habitat at Blue Spring Creek had improved, as measured by the higher diversity and types of macroinvertebrates such as insects, crayfish, snails, and clams—indicators of good water quality. Almost twice as many EPT families (a category of insects used to measure water quality) were present in 2003 (11 EPT) than in 1999 (6 EPT), and 25 different taxa were collected in 2003 as compared to 15 different taxa found in 1999. Eight of these families are intolerant of pollution. These metric values represent the

highest score possible (15) out of a family-level biological reconnaissance (biorecon) index that considers scores from 11 to 15 indicative of a non-impaired biological community. The habitat assessment score had improved from 114 in 1999, which is considered inadequate in the ecoregion, to a score of 136—well above the target habitat score of 123, which indicates a healthy biological population in the ecoregion. As a result, Blue Spring Creek was removed from Tennessee's 303(d) list in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

This project included support from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Coffee County Soil Conservation District, which designed and approved the animal waste management systems. The project costs totaled \$110,219, including funding through the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) and \$8,733 of Clean Water Act section 319 cost-share funding, which was used to cover the costs of exclusion fencing, alternative water facilities, and pasture seeding.



Number of families in the pollution-sensitive group EPT found at Blue Spring Creek in Coffee County between 1999 and 2003.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004P  
August 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall, PhD**  
Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[sam.marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:sam.marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Best Management Practices Reduce Pathogens in Cane Creek

#### Waterbody Improved

Cane Creek, in McMinn County, Tennessee, was contaminated by pathogens due to urban runoff/storm sewers and pasture grazing. Pathogen inputs to the creek were reduced by stabilizing erosion-prone areas near animal feeding operations and relocating the discharge point for the city of Etowah's stormwater discharge. As a result, Cane Creek was removed from Tennessee's 303(d) list.

#### Problem

Effluent from the city of Etowah's sewage treatment plant and runoff from cattle and poultry production areas contributed to the high levels of pathogens in Cane Creek. Of 12 fecal coliform samples collected between 1993 and 1996, 4 samples exceeded the fecal coliform criterion of 1,000 colonies per 100 mL. In 2002 Cane Creek was added to the state's 303(d) list as impaired by pathogens due to urban runoff/storm sewers and pasture grazing in the watershed.


#### Project Highlights

Poultry and cattle farmers in the Cane Creek watershed installed conservation treatments known as heavy-use areas (HUAs). HUAs usually use geotextile material and gravel to stabilize soil in areas containing large concentrations of animals, thereby preventing soil erosion and improving water quality. Nine HUAs were installed on a large (400-acre) farm in the Cane Creek watershed and three more were installed on a smaller farm according to Natural Resources Conservation Service design standards. In addition to the HUAs, fencing was installed to exclude cattle from streams and stream crossing to minimize erosion where crossings are necessary. Trees were planted in critical areas to decrease soil erosion and provide additional habitat.

The city of Etowah's sewage treatment plant moved its stormwater discharge to another stream. The city had historically discharged to Cane Creek, which was previously assessed as impaired by pathogens on the basis of sampling results from the 1990s.

#### Results

By 2004 pathogen levels had reached acceptable levels as a result of the best management practices (BMPs) implemented throughout the watershed. Of the nine fecal coliform samples collected between 1999 and 2004, only one sample exceeded the *E. coli* criterion of 941 colonies per 100 mL. Although the fecal coliform criterion had been replaced by *E. coli*,



Number of fecal coliform colonies per 100 mL at Cane Creek River Mile 1.5 in McMinn County 1993–2004. Data collected by Water Pollution Control, Tennessee Department of Environmental Conservation.



levels were reduced to 640 colonies or fewer, which is below the criterion of 1,000 colonies per 100 mL that was used to list the stream. As a result, Cane Creek was removed from Tennessee's 303(d) list in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

The U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service and the McMinn County Soil Conservation District helped design and implement many of the BMPs. The project cost a total of \$36,550, funded through the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) and \$7,576 of Clean Water Act section 319 funding that was used for critical area plantings, HUAs, and a stream crossing.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004Q  
August 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall, PhD**  
Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[sam.marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:sam.marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Reducing the Impacts of Cattle Grazing Improved Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

Polluted runoff from pasture-grazing cattle and erosion of sensitive pastureland degraded the water quality of Cripple Creek. This led to the listing of a 7.7-mile segment of Cripple Creek as impaired in 2002. Several best management practices (BMPs) were implemented, including pasture renovation, grassed waterways, and a livestock watering facility. This resulted in water quality improvements of the 7.7-mile segment of Cripple Creek and its removal from the 2004 list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

Cripple Creek is located in the East Stones River Watershed in Rutherford County, Ecoregion 71i. The creek was listed as impaired on the 2002 303(d) list for siltation, which is a common pollutant of surface waters. Siltation can cause significant economic impacts such as increased water treatment costs, loss of storage capacity in reservoirs, direct impacts to navigation, and the increased possibility of flooding. The state identified pasture grazing as the major source of impairment. A siltation total maximum daily load (TMDL) was established in 2002 by Tennessee's Department of Environment and Conservation for Cripple Creek.

Cripple Creek was listed for not meeting the state water quality standard for siltation in order to fully support its designated beneficial use of fish and aquatic life. The standard states that there shall be no distinctly visible solids, scum, foam, oily slick, or the formation of slimes, bottom deposits or sludge banks of such size or character that may be detrimental to fish and aquatic life.


#### Project Highlights

Fourteen BMPs were implemented by the Rutherford County Soil Conservation District from 1999 to 2003 in the East Stones Fork River Watershed. Over 157 acres were reno-

vated as a result of replanting pasture lands and the implementation of grassed waterways (Figure 1). Grassed waterways are graded natural structures that improve water quality by conveying runoff without causing flooding or erosion, and help to reduce gully erosion. In addition, an alternative livestock watering facility was implemented to provide accessible water for livestock. The watering facility has several positive effects: 1) it protects and enhances vegetative cover through proper distribution of grazing, 2) it provides erosion control through better grassland management, and 3) it protects Cripple Creek and other water supplies from contamination by providing livestock with alternative access to water.

#### Results

Using EPA's rapid bioassessment protocol III (RBP III), state biologists calculated a biological reconnaissance (biorecon) score for Cripple Creek, which is used to measure compliance with the state water quality standard for siltation. Biorecon is one tool used to recognize stream impairment as judged by species richness measures, emphasizing the presence or absence of indicator organisms without regard to relative abundance. The biorecon index is scored on a scale from 1 – 15. A score less than 5 is regarded as very poor. A score over 10 is considered good. The principal metrics used



ID	COUNTY	HUC	STREAM	PRACTICE NAME	NRCS CODE	FY
5746	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Watering Facility	614	1999
5760	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	1999
5761	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	1999
5762	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	1999
5764	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	2000
5792	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	2001
5795	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	2001
5800	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Grassed Waterway	412	2001
5805	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Grassed Waterway	412	2002
5812	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	2002
7599	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	2003
7600	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	2003
5748	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Pasture/Hay Planting	512	1999
5825	Rutherford	5130203	Cripple Creek	Grassed Waterway	412	2002

Figure 1. Map of Implemented BMPs. Table (left) is a list of implemented BMPs.

score of 146, which is better than the established habitat goal for this ecoregion. Water quality standards were also met at a chemical station located on the creek at mile 0.4, resulting in the delisting of Cripple Creek from the 2004 303(d) list.

## Partners and Funding

The Rutherford County Soil Conservation District helped implement the BMPs with section 319 funding. \$7,143 of section 319 funding was matched with \$3,146.86 in local contributions. The Tennessee Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) provided an additional \$9,341.02, \$3,699.22 of which was locally matched.

are the total macroinvertebrate families (or genera), the number of families (or genera) of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (EPT), and the number of pollution intolerant families (or genera) found in a stream. The biorecon results for Cripple Creek indicated 8 EPT families, 3 pollutant intolerant taxa, and 20 total families. Using the scoring system for biorecons, this stream scored a 15. The stream got a habitat



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001V  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Bedford County Improves Water Quality Through Waste Management Systems

#### Waterbody Improved

Polluted runoff from pasture grazing caused nutrients and sediment to enter into Fall Creek, which led to the listing of a 11.4-mile segment of Fall Creek as impaired in 2002 and 2004. Using section 319 funding, the Bedford County Soil Conservation District installed two major Waste Management Systems on tributaries to Fall Creek in 1999. This resulted in water quality improvements of the 11.4-mile segment of Fall Creek and its removal from the 2006 303(d) list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

Fall Creek is located in the Duck River watershed in Bedford County. This specific segment is impaired from Duck River to the headwaters in EcoRegion 71I. Fall Creek was listed as impaired on the 2002 and 2004 303(d) lists due to nutrients, loss of biological integrity, and habitat alterations from pasture grazing. Fall Creek has many designated use classifications including fish and aquatic life, recreation, livestock watering and wildlife, and irrigation. It was listed as impaired for not fully supporting the fish and aquatic life and recreation beneficial uses due to siltation altering the habitat and excess nutrients resulting in low dissolved oxygen.

Two total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) were established for Fall Creek in 2006 by the Tennessee Department of Environmental Conservation for low dissolved oxygen caused by excess nutrients and habitat alteration caused by siltation.

#### Project Highlights

The local Soil Conservation District offices in Bedford County administered the Clean

Water Act section 319 funding to allocate funding assistance. Using a combination of 319 funding as well as state funds through the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) they installed Waste Management Systems on tributaries to Fall Creek in 1999. These systems included two litter storage units for chickens with the capacity to store and compost 199 acres on Parch Corn Creek, which runs into Fall Creek (Figure 1).

The installation of these poultry composters and animal waste systems minimized the potential for contamination of streams. The waste facilities also reduce the pollution potential of organic agricultural wastes to surface and ground water.

#### Results

The Tennessee Macroinvertebrate Community Assessment is used to calculate the Tennessee Stream Condition Index (TSCI), which is a measure of biological health of an aquatic system. This index is used by the state in determining a waterbody's compliance to state water quality standards for the beneficial






Figure 1. Locations of BMPs installed from 1999–2005

use of fish and aquatic life. The TSCI was used to compare subregions and determine a score, for a total possible score of 42.

Chemical and biological stations were established on this stream in 2004. While the stream was found to still be impacted by pathogens and will remain listed on that basis, Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBPIII) sampling at two different locations documented TSCI scores of 36 and 32, which met Tennessee's biological integrity goals.

Therefore Fall Creek has been removed from the 303(d) list in 2006 for nutrients, biological loss due to siltation, and habitat alteration.

## Partners and Funding

Fall Creek has benefited from a total of \$13,861.47 provided through cost-share from section 319 grant pool projects. In addition, \$94,747.00 was provided by a Tennessee State ARCF grant and local match.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001X  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Livestock Management Restores Waterbody

#### Waterbody Improved

Polluted runoff from pasture-grazing cattle caused abnormal *Escherichia coli* counts in Hinds Creek, which led to 8.9 miles of the stream being listed on the state's 303(d) list in 2002 and 2004.

Using section 319 funding, farmers installed a number of BMPs on pastureland adjoining the creek. The practices included pasture and hay planting, fencing, streambank protection, and separate watering structures. The farmers' action allowed the Hinds Creek segment to be removed from the 2006 303(d) list.

#### Problem

Hinds Creek is in the Lower Clinch watershed in eastern Tennessee, a primarily rural watershed with approximately 75 percent forest and 15 percent agriculture. Hinds Creek was listed as impaired on the state's 2002 and 2004 303(d) lists due to high *E. coli* colony counts and in-stream concentrations. Polluted runoff carrying fecal matter and pathogens from pasture-grazing livestock was the source of this pollution.

Hinds Creek has multiple designated use classifications, including fish and aquatic life, livestock watering and wildlife, irrigation, and recreation. Monitoring along Hinds Creek between 1999 and 2004 found that the creek was fully supporting all designated uses except recreation. Analysis results for individual samples collected by the state were in violation of the state-established water quality criteria for *E. coli*. The Tennessee water quality standards state that the concentration of the *E. coli* group in any individual sample must not exceed either (a) 487 cfu/100 mL for lakes, reservoirs, State Scenic Rivers, or Tier II or III waterbodies or (b) 941 cfu/100 mL for all other waterbodies. Hinds Creek is in the latter category.

A TMDL for pathogens in the Lower Clinch watershed, established in 2005 by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, specified a 49.5 percent reduction in pathogen loading into Hinds Creek.



Before the project, high flows during storm events caused increased erosion.

#### Project Highlights

Local Soil and Water Conservation District offices in Anderson and Union counties administered the CWA section 319 funding to allocate funding assistance to local landowners. Using a combination of 319 funding and state funds from the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF), they worked with local landowners to promote and install management practices and structures that would reduce pathogen runoff into Hinds Creek and improve landowners' operations.



Installed fencing with stream buffer on left.

The BMPs installed included (1) pasture seeding and riparian zone planting along Hinds Creek and tributaries; (2) stabilization of heavy-use areas using gravel and geotextile fabric; (3) installation of alternative watering facilities, such as tanks, troughs, and ponds fed by pipelines to keep livestock out of streams; and (4) alternative access roads to help combat further erosion.

Pasture and riparian critical areas were seeded with a selection of grasses that were acceptable to livestock and beneficial for proper soil drainage in the area. Problem weed and thistle species were replaced with balanced and native foliage to improve water quality, conserve soil, and increase carbon sequestration.

Local Soil and Water Conservation District agents advised landowners on the technical design and specifications of the BMPs, and they provided oversight and expertise during the installation process. Landowners participated voluntarily, partially providing labor and funds for the BMPs. The BMPs were installed beginning in 2000, and continue to be installed

to help continue to meet the load reduction allocations in the 2005 TMDL.

The Hinds Creek Watershed Partnership, a group composed of federal, state, and local partners, is focused on improving water quality and community awareness of water quality issues in Hinds Creek. The Partnership is part of a cooperative water quality monitoring project with the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation and the Tennessee Valley Authority that aims to produce comprehensive watershed assessments. Gathering information regarding the health of the watershed will help in prioritizing areas of work.

## Results

Recent monitoring in Hinds Creek showed *E.coli* values below the individual sample standard of 941 cfu/mL. Hinds Creek is no longer considered impaired for any of the four designated uses, including recreation. Therefore, the 8.9 total miles previously listed as impaired were not included on the 2006 303(d) list.

## Partners and Funding

Since 2001 Hinds Creek has benefited from \$39,246.41 of Clean Water Act section 319 funding (including additional matching funds, a total of \$57,695.17 was spent). In addition, \$30,840.35 was provided by the Tennessee ARCF. Key partners in this effort include the Anderson County and Union County Soil Conservation Districts, whose agents provided technical expertise and labor hours. Landowners in the Lower Clinch watershed contributed in-kind labor hours and some funding.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001L  
July 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**  
Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Agricultural BMPs Reduce the Impact of Cattle Grazing and Improve Quality of Creek's Habitat

#### Waterbody Improved

A portion of Lick Creek located in Marshall and Rutherford Counties was listed as impaired due to *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and habitat alterations on the 2004 303(d) list. Using section 319 and state funding, the Marshall County Soil Conservation District installed Heavy Use Area (HUA) best management practices (BMPs), including exclusion fencing, animal waste lagoons, and planted hay and pasture grasses along Lick Creek. These nonpoint source pollution control efforts allowed this 8.8-mile segment of Lick Creek to be removed from the 2006 303(d) list for habitat alterations.

#### Problem

Lick Creek is located within the Duck River Watershed in Marshall and Rutherford Counties, Ecoregion 71i. The source of the pollutants was identified as livestock grazing in pasturelands where cattle had direct access to the stream, which resulted in the degradation of habitat through the trampling of stream-banks and the input of pathogens.

Lick Creek was listed in 2004 for not meeting water quality standards for its designated beneficial uses due to elevated *E. coli* values and habitat alterations as a result of unrestricted cattle access to the creek. Lick Creek Marshall has multiple designated use classifications, including fish and aquatic life, livestock watering and wildlife, irrigation, and recreation. Lick Creek Marshall was listed for not meeting standards to fully support two of its four designated beneficial uses: fish and aquatic life, and recreation.

Tennessee's water quality standards for recreation state that the concentration of the *E. coli* group in any individual sample shall

not exceed either (a) 487 cfu/100ml for lakes, reservoirs, State Scenic Rivers, or Tier II or III waterbodies or (b) 941 cfu/100ml for all other waterbodies. Lick Creek Marshall falls into the latter category.

*E. coli* and siltation total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) were established in 2006 by the Tennessee Department of the Environment and Conservation (TDEC) for Lick Creek in Marshall County.

#### Project Highlights

Funding from the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) was used to plant 25 acres of hay and pasture grasses along this segment of Lick Creek and its tributary Plum Branch, to filter pollutants, reduce erosion, and stabilize the stream banks (Figure 1). In addition, exclusion fencing and an animal waste lagoon were installed along the stream to reduce the direct input of pathogens such as *E. coli*.



## Results

The Tennessee Macroinvertebrate Community Assessment is used to calculate the Tennessee Stream Condition Index (TSCI), which is a measure of biological health of an aquatic system. The principal metrics used are the total macroinvertebrate families (or genera), the number of families (or genera) of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (EPT), and the number of pollution intolerant families (or genera) found in a stream. This index is used by the state to determine a waterbody's compliance to state water quality standards for the beneficial use of fish and aquatic life. The TSCI was used to compare subregions and determine a score, for a total possible score of 42. Using EPA's rapid biological protocol III sampling at station 1.8 (Mt. Vernon Road),

state biologists found six EPT species and a total diversity of 23 different types of macroinvertebrates. The TSCI score for the station was 36, which is greater than the regional goal of 32 and within the "very good" range. Since biological integrity appears to be no longer impaired, the stream was delisted for habitat alteration and removed from the 2006 303(d) list. However, this segment of Lick Creek remains on the list for *E.coli*.

## Partners and Funding

Lick Creek Marshall has benefited from \$536.40 provided through cost-share from section 319 grant pool projects. In addition, \$1608.60 was provided from the State's ARCF.




Figure 1. Map of BMPs installed.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001U  
September 2007

### For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Revegetation and Streambank Restoration Reduce Siltation and Improve Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

Polluted runoff from non-irrigated crop production resulted in excess sediment in Lick Creek. This resulted in a loss of biological integrity and physical substrate habitat alterations due to siltation, which led to the listing of a 20-mile segment of Lick Creek as impaired in 2002 and 2004. Using section 319 funding, McNairy County Soil Conservation District planted pasture and hay to revegetate the pasture and protect the streambank. These efforts resulted in the removal of the impaired 20-mile segment of Lick Creek from the 2006 303(d) list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

This 20-mile segment of Lick Creek extends from Snake Creek to the headwaters in the Snake Creek Watershed, McNairy County in Ecoregion 65e. Lick Creek was listed as impaired due to siltation and habitat alteration, resulting in a loss of biological integrity. Polluted runoff carrying sediment from non-irrigated crop production was the source of this pollution and prevented Lick Creek from meeting state water quality standards to fully support its designated beneficial use for fish and aquatic life. The standard states that there shall be no distinctly visible solids, scum, foam, oily slick, or the formation of slimes, bottom deposits or sludge banks of such size or character that may be detrimental to fish and aquatic life.

#### Project Highlights

The local Soil and Water Conservation District office in McNairy County administered the funding for this project. Using a combination of section 319 matched funding and state funds through the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF), the Conservation District offices worked with local landowners

to plant pasture and hay to act as a covercrop and reduce erosion of non-irrigated croplands, as well as provide streambank protection to reduce siltation and improve the habitat of Lick Creek (Figure 1).

#### Results

Lick Creek was reassessed in 2004 using the biological reconnaissance (biorecon) survey, which is used to measure water quality compliance for the beneficial use of fish and aquatic life. Biorecon is one tool used to recognize stream impairment as judged by species richness measures, emphasizing the presence or absence of indicator organisms without regard to relative abundance. The biorecon index is scored on a scale from 1 – 15. A score less than 5 is regarded as very poor. A score over 10 is considered good. The principal metrics used are the total macroinvertebrate families (or genera), the number of families (or genera) of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (EPT), and the number of pollution intolerant families (or genera) found in a stream. The biorecon results for Lick Creek indicated 4 EPT genera, 2 pollutant intolerant genera, and 15 total genera.

The resulting score of 11 for this subcoregion (65e) is within the “non-impaired” range. In addition, Lick Creek met the narrative criteria for turbidity and total suspended solids of no observed presence of solids, floating materials and deposits of such a size or character that may be detrimental to fish and aquatic life. Therefore, 20 total previously-impaired miles were delisted from the 2006 303(d) list.

## Partners and Funding

Since 2004, Lick Creek has benefited from \$7,805.97 provided through cost-share from section 319 Grant Pool Projects. In addition, \$3,121.71 was provided by the Tennessee State ARCF. Additional matching funds (state and local) amounted to a total of \$10,237.03. Another key partner in this effort was the Chickasaw-Shiloh Resource Conservation and Development Council.




Figure 1. Location of Implemented Best Management Practices (BMPs)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001T  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### New Grazing Practices Minimize Impacts on Little Shoal Creek

#### Waterbody Improved

The Little Shoal Creek in south-central Tennessee was clogged with silt from pasture grazing and other agricultural activities. Pasture management practices were successfully implemented to reduce erosion and pollution transport to the creek, allowing the creek to be removed from Tennessee's 303(d) list.

#### Problem


Overgrazing and poor pasture management caused heavy erosion in Little Shoal Creek in Lawrence County, Tennessee. A macroinvertebrate sample collected in 1999 demonstrated values below expectations for biological communities in the Western Highland Rim ecoregion. Based on these results, Little Shoal Creek was added to the state's 303(d) list in 2002 as impaired due to siltation from pasture grazing.

#### Project Highlights

To combat erosion, BMPs were implemented on the land surrounding Little Shoal Creek and its two tributaries, Crossfield Branch and Fourmile Hollow. To control and minimize the impacts of agriculture, farm conservation practices, including conservation tillage, cropland conversion, pasture renovation, and hay planting, were implemented. In addition, red clover and other legumes were introduced to improve ground cover in bare areas. Installing grassed waterways on 150 acres of farmland near streams and tributaries has helped to prevent gully erosion and reduce pollutants carried by runoff water to streams. Farmers also use the grassed areas periodically for grazing livestock.

#### Results

The increased ground cover and installation of grassed waterways have resulted in less soil erosion and siltation in the stream, reducing the amount of pollutants entering the streams and improving water quality. Another macroinvertebrate sample collected in 2003 demonstrated that taxa richness had more than doubled to 28, as compared to only 12 in 1999—an indicator of good water quality as measured by the higher diversity and types of organisms living in the stream. These metric values are within the guidelines for the ecoregion and would score 13 on the genus-level



Macroinvertebrate taxa groups found in 1999 and 2003 from Little Shoal Creek in Lawrence County.



biological reconnaissance (biorecon) index, which considers scores from 11 to 15 indicative of a non-impaired biological community. As a result of the restoration efforts, Little Shoal Creek was removed from Tennessee's 303(d) list in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

The U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Lawrence County Soil Conservation District spearheaded the effort to design and implement many of the BMPs. The project cost a total of \$44,800, including funding from the Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) and \$10,000 of Clean Water Act section 319 funding, which was used for pasture/hay planting.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004R  
August 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall, PhD**  
Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[sam.marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:sam.marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Heavy Use Area BMPs Reduce Erosion and Improve Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

Polluted runoff from pasture grazing livestock and the removal of riparian vegetation caused siltation and habitat alterations in Rock Springs Branch. This led to the listing of an 8.1-mile segment of Rock Springs Branch as impaired in 2002. Using section 319 funding, Putnam, Smith, and DeKalb County Soil Conservation Districts installed heavy use area (HUA) best management practices (BMPs) on Bates Branch, a tributary to Rock Springs Branch. Fifteen acres of HUA were implemented to help stabilize an area that cattle trod through, helping to improve water quality and prevent soil erosion. This resulted in the removal of the Rock Springs Branch segment from the 2004 303(d) list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

Rock Springs Branch is located in Putnam County within the Caney Fork River Watershed, and consists primarily of rural/urban land uses with approximately 75% forest and 21% agriculture. The Branch was listed as impaired on the state's 2002 303(d) list due to siltation and other habitat alterations. Polluted runoff carrying sediment from grazing fields was the source of this pollution, which impaired the Branch's ability to meet Tennessee's water quality standards to fully support its designated use classifications for fish and aquatic life. The standard states that there shall be no distinctly visible solids, scum, foam, oily slick, or the formation of slimes, bottom deposits, or sludge banks of such size or character that may be detrimental to fish and aquatic life, and the instream habitat within each subcoregion shall be generally similar to that found at reference streams.

A siltation total maximum daily load (TMDL) was established for the Rock Springs Branch in 2005 by the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation.

#### Project Highlights

Local Soil and Water Conservation District offices in Putnam, Smith, and De Kalb counties

allocated funding assistance to farmers of pasture grazing lands through a grant from the Tennessee State Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF). Using a combination of matched 319 funding as well as state funds, they worked with local landowners to promote and install management practices and structures that would both reduce runoff into Rock Springs Branch and improve their operations.

Heavy use area BMPs were installed on two different farms along both Rock Springs and Bates Branch to reduce soil erosion (Figure 1).

#### Results

Rock Springs Branch was found to have greatly improved water quality due to the installed BMPs. Using EPA's rapid bioassessment protocol III (RBP III), state biologists calculated a biological reconnaissance score (biorecon) for the Branch, which is used as a measure of compliance with water quality standards for the beneficial use of fish and aquatic life. Biorecon is one tool used to recognize stream impairment as judged by species richness measures, emphasizing the presence or absence of indicator organisms without regard to relative abundance. The biorecon index is scored on a scale from 1 to 15.




Figure 1. Location of Implemented BMPs

A score of less than 5 is regarded as very poor. A score of more than 10 is considered good. The principal metrics used are the total macroinvertebrate families (or genera), the number of families (or genera) of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (EPT), and the number of pollution intolerant families (or genera) found in a stream. The bioecon score for Rock Springs Branch indicated 12 EPT families, six pollutant intolerant species, and a total of 29 macroinvertebrate families. Using the Division scoring system for bioecon, this stream scored a 15. The stream got a habitat score of 137, which is better than the established habitat goal for this

region. These results indicated the improved water quality and ability to fully support fish and aquatic life. Therefore, the 8.1-mile segment of Rock Spring Branch was delisted from the 2004 303(d) list of impaired waters.

## Partners and Funding

Since 2002, Rock Springs Branch has benefited from \$57,378.00 provided through cost-share from section 319 grant pool projects. In addition, the State ARCF provided \$36,986.72. Key partners in this effort include the Putnam, Smith, and De Kalb County Soil Conservation Districts.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001W  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Pasture and Hay Planting Improve Wades Branch Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

Runoff from pasture grazing cattle resulted in excess sediment entering and degrading a 7.2-mile segment of Wades Branch. This led to the listing of the segment as impaired in 1998 and subsequent years for siltation and habitat alteration. In 2002 and 2003, best management practices (BMPs), including pasture and hay planting, reduced sediment loads and resulted in the removal of Wades Branch from the 2004 303(d) list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

Wades Branch is located in the Stones River Watershed in Rutherford County, Ecoregion 71i. The 7.2-mile impaired segment, which runs from Stones River to the Dunaway Chapel Road Fork, was added to Tennessee's 2002 303(d) list of impaired waters for not meeting state water quality standards for siltation and habitat alteration to fully support its designated use classification of fish and aquatic life. The standard states that there shall be no distinctly visible solids, scum, foam, oily slick, or the formation of slimes, bottom deposits or sludge banks of such size or character that may be detrimental to fish and aquatic life, and the instream habitat within each subcoregion shall be generally similar to that found at reference streams. Excess siltation alters the in-stream conditions by covering substrate with a layer of sediment that reduces habitat for benthic (bottom-dwelling) organisms that provide food for fish.

A siltation and habitat alteration total maximum daily load (TMDL) was completed for Wades Branch, by Tennessee's Department of Environment and Conservation, and approved by EPA in 2002.

#### Project Highlights

In 2000, 24 acres were renovated by replanting hay and pasture grasses within the

watershed (Figure 1). In 2003, 21 acres of pasture lands along Wades Branch were renovated. The re-introduction of native plant species and more adaptable species not only helps to eliminate soil erosion and improve water quality, it also improves grazing livestock nutrition.

#### Results

Using EPA's rapid bioassessment protocol III (RBPIII), state biologists calculated a biological reconnaissance score (biorecon) for the Branch, which is used as a measure of compliance with water quality standards for the beneficial use of fish and aquatic life support. Biorecon is one tool used to recognize stream impairment as judged by species richness measures, emphasizing the presence or absence of indicator organisms without regard to relative abundance. The biorecon index is scored on a scale from 1 to 15. A score of less than 5 is regarded as very poor. A score of more than 10 is considered good. The principal metrics used are the total macroinvertebrate families (or genera), the number of families (or genera) of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (EPT), and the number of pollution intolerant families (or genera) found in a stream. The biorecon results for Wades Branch indicated 11 EPT families (pollution sensitive species), 8 pollutant intolerant species, and 26 total



families. Using this scoring system for biorecons, this stream segment scored a 15. The stream segment got a habitat score of 125, which is better than the established habitat goal for this region. The stream segment has improved greatly since last assessed and consequently resulted in the removal of this 7.2-mile segment of Wades Branch from the 2004 303(d) list of impaired waters.

## Partners and Funding

The Rutherford County Soil Conservation District implemented the BMPs using \$1,807.41 provided through cost-share from section 319 grant pool projects. In addition, the Tennessee Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund (ARCF) provided \$2,000 in funding.




Figure 1. BMPs implemented in the East Fork Stones River Watershed (051302030107) 2000–2003



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001R  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Tennessee

### Diverse Best Management Practices Control Urban and Agricultural Runoff

#### Waterbody Improved

High nutrient concentrations from agricultural runoff, loss of biological integrity as a result of siltation, and habitat loss from streamside alteration caused Tennessee to put a 15-mile segment of West Sandy Creek on its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2002 and 2004. Sources included agriculture use, bank and shoreline modification, and runoff from urbanized areas. To help address the problems, the Henry County Soil Conservation District (District) implemented 10 best management practices (BMPs), including grade-stabilization structures, water/sediment control basins, terrace construction, and hay and pasture plantings. The BMPs improved the water quality in the 15-mile segment, which was removed from the 2006 303(d) list of impaired waters.

#### Problem

West Sandy Creek is in the Kentucky Lake watershed in Henry County (Ecoregion 65E). The 15-mile impaired segment of West Sandy Creek extends from the West Sandy embayment in Kentucky Lake to the creek's headwaters. Tennessee added the creek to its 2002 and 2004 303(d) lists of impaired waters because of siltation, high nutrient concentrations, loss of habitat, and poor biological integrity. The state identified the sources of siltation as runoff from agricultural land and urban areas. Modification of the creek's shoreline led to its listing for habitat loss. This segment of West Sandy Creek was not meeting water quality criteria to fully support its designated use classification for fish and aquatic life. The state standards require that there be no distinctly visible solids, scum, foam, oily slick, or the formation of slimes, bottom deposits, or sludge banks of such size or character that could be detrimental to fish and aquatic life.

#### Project Highlights

The District implemented 10 BMPs in the Kentucky Lake watershed between 1999 and

2005. Pasture and hay planting, terrace construction, and installing water/sediment control basins helped to prevent excess silt from entering the creek. The terraces stabilized steep slopes along the creek and reduced runoff and soil erosion. Water/sediment control basins reduced stream bank scouring and gully erosion, trapped sediment, and reduced runoff, thereby improving water quality.

The District also created grade-stabilization structures throughout the watershed. These structures controlled the grade of the creek and helped prevent water from cutting into the side of natural or artificial channels. The practice was used in areas where the concentration and flow of water could potentially have caused gully erosion.

Three grade-stabilization structures and one terrace were installed in the drainage area of West Sandy Creek (Figure 1). The District also installed two water/sediment control basins and one grade-stabilization structure in the Spring Creek drainage area. Clifty Creek benefited from the installation of one water/sediment control basin. One grade-stabilization

structure was installed on Chapel Branch, in the Kentucky Lake watershed.

## Results

The BMPs implemented in the West Sandy Creek watershed reduced the level of nutrients and silt in the water and helped to prevent streamside erosion. Using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) rapid bioassessment protocol III (RBP III), state biologists calculated a biological reconnaissance score (biorecon) for the West Sandy Creek, which is used to measure compliance with the state water quality standard for siltation. Biorecon is one tool used to recognize stream impairment as judged by species richness measures, emphasizing the presence or absence of indicator organisms without regard to relative abundance. The biorecon index is scored on a scale from 1 to 15. A score of less than 5 is regarded as *very poor*. A score of more than 10 is considered *good*. The principal metrics used are the total macroinvertebrate families, the number of families

of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (collectively referred to as EPT, which is short for the order names Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera), and the number of pollution intolerant families found in a stream.

In 2004 biological sampling on West Sandy Creek, state biologists found 19 total families, 5 EPT families, and 1 pollutant-intolerant family. The biorecon score for the station was 13, which is in the *good* range. The data indicate that the stream is meeting water quality standards. Therefore, Tennessee removed this 15-mile segment of West Sandy Creek from its 2006 303(d) list of impaired waters.

## Partners and Funding

The Henry County Soil Conservation District implemented the BMPs with \$24,817 provided by the Tennessee state Agricultural Resources Conservation Fund through cost-share from Clean Water Act section 319 grant pool projects. In addition, local matching funds contributed \$13,170.




Figure 1. Location of BMPs installed along West Sandy Creek, TN.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001DD  
November 2007

### For additional information contact:

**Sam Marshall**, Tennessee Department of Agriculture  
615-837-5306  
[Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us](mailto:Sam.Marshall@state.tn.us)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Texas

### Improved Herbicide Management Restores Safety of Drinking Water Source

#### Waterbody Improved

Aquilla Reservoir is an important source of drinking water and recreation but was found to have excessive levels of the herbicide atrazine beginning in 1997. Project partners initiated efforts to reduce agricultural atrazine sources—and to a lesser extent, urban sources—in the watershed. As a result of technical assistance to corn and sorghum producers, using agricultural best management practices (BMPs), and educating urban residents, atrazine concentrations in Aquilla Reservoir declined by 60 percent. The waterbody now meets atrazine concentration standards, and in 2004 the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) recommended that Aquilla Reservoir be removed from the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters for 2004.


#### Problem

Aquilla Reservoir was built in 1983 for water supply, flood control, and recreation purposes. Approximately 10 miles southwest of the city of Hillsboro in east-central Texas, it controls drainage from a 255-square mile watershed. Corn and sorghum production comprise 40 percent of land use in the watershed. The reservoir is the sole source of water for the Aquilla Water Supply District's treatment plant.

Atrazine is an herbicide used by many corn and sorghum producers. It is also an ingredient in many residential lawn products. During the late 1990s, monitoring of finished drinking water showed that atrazine concentrations consistently exceeded state and federal drinking water standards mandating a maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 3 micrograms per liter ( $3\mu\text{g/L}$ ). Three consecutive MCL violations led the state to place the reservoir on its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1998.

The Aquilla Water Supply District took immediate steps to ensure public safety by reducing atrazine in drinking water through its treatment process. Meanwhile, TCEQ began an examination of atrazine loading to the reservoir.

The study found that all loading originated from nonpoint sources. This led TCEQ and the Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB) to establish a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for atrazine. EPA approved



Atrazine concentrations in Aquilla Reservoir. Data represent running annual averages. Concentrations have steadily remained below the maximum contaminant level (MCL) since 1998. With the reservoir meeting the MCL requirement for more than 2 consecutive years, Texas has recommended that it be removed from the state's 303(d) list.


the TMDL in 2002. It required the reservoir to maintain a running annual average atrazine concentration not to exceed the  $3\mu\text{g/L}$  MCL for 2 consecutive years. This would amount to a 25 percent atrazine load reduction.

#### Project Highlights

The atrazine threat to drinking water triggered several coordinated projects to address urban and agricultural atrazine sources and restore water quality in Aquilla Reservoir. State, federal, regional, and local agencies collaborated to formulate and implement plans designed to reduce reservoir pollution, protect against new pollution sources, and monitor progress through water quality testing.



Agricultural producers, affected water supply companies, government agencies, and other stakeholders formed the Texas



Sample best management practices used to reduce atrazine loads. A field of corn (1) is cultivated. Atrazine is tilled into the soil, rather than simply applied on top of the ground. Farmers may install filter strips (2) between the field and an adjacent creek (4). A grassed waterway (3) may also be used to direct runoff to the creek while filtering out pollutants at the same time.

Watershed Protection Committee, which identified BMPs for use in the watershed and documented BMP adoption. Recommended BMPs included incorporating atrazine into the soil, filter strips, grade stabilization, grassed waterways, terraces, integrated pest management (e.g., targeted herbicide application), and education. The committee also worked to increase pesticide dealers' awareness of the problem and gain their assistance and support in solving it. Finally, corn and sorghum producers received technical and financial assistance to implement the BMPs.

Project leaders also targeted urban areas for atrazine reductions. They prepared fact sheets about atrazine and alternative lawn management. Through the Texas Master Gardener program, they delivered

television public service announcements about proper application and storage of herbicides and pesticides. Finally, they distributed fact sheets and general articles to local newspapers, to feature columnists, and at local meetings.

To measure the effectiveness of reduction efforts, TCEQ conducted monthly water quality monitoring. In addition, a private corporation that markets atrazine continued its voluntary pesticide monitoring program with the area's public water suppliers.

## Results

These efforts led to a 60 percent atrazine load reduction, far exceeding the TMDL. As presented in the graph on the previous page, over 2 consecutive years of monthly reservoir sampling showed atrazine concentrations well below the  $3\mu\text{g/L}$  requirement. The waterbody now meets atrazine concentration standards, and TCEQ has recommended that it be removed from the state 303(d) list.

TCEQ will continue collecting quarterly samples to monitor reservoir water quality. In addition, finished drinking water will continue to be monitored for compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.

## Partners and Funding

TCEQ and TSSWCB led the atrazine reduction project and developed the TMDL. Various Texas Watershed Protection Committee activities were also vital to the effort. Led by the Texas Department of Agriculture, the committee consists of representatives from TCEQ, TSSWCB, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station-Blacklands Research Center, Texas Cooperative Extension, USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Brazos River Authority, and Texas Farm Bureau.

Other partners included the Aquilla Water Supply District, Woodrow-Osceola Water Supply Corporation, Hill County Appraisal District, Hill County Blackland Soil and Water Conservation District, Sabine River Authority, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and Syngenta (formerly Novartis).

Since 1999, approximately \$2.8 million in EPA section 319 and nonfederal matching funds have helped to support this restoration effort. In addition, the USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service provided more than \$1.9 million in cost-share funds between 1998 and 2003 to assist producers implementing BMPs in the watershed.

## For additional information contact:

### Arthur Talley

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,  
TMDL Program  
512-239-4546 • [atalley@tceq.state.tx.us](mailto:atalley@tceq.state.tx.us)

### Aaron Wendt

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board  
254-773-2250 • [awendt@tsswcb.state.tx.us](mailto:awendt@tsswcb.state.tx.us)

### Frank Burleson

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,  
Region 9 Office—Waco  
254-751-0335



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003F  
June 2006



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Vermont

### Stream Restored through Improved Agricultural Practices and Erosion Control Work

#### Waterbody Improved

Sediment and nutrients in agricultural, roadway, and parking lot runoff degraded Adams Brook's biological communities and resulted in the waterbody being listed on Vermont's section 303(d) list of impaired waters. The installation of several best management practices, including improvements to a manure storage facility and erosion control work in several areas, resulted in improved water quality and allowed Adams Brook to be removed from the 303(d) list in 2004.

#### Problem

Adams Brook, a 3.5-mile stream in the central Vermont town of Randolph, is a tributary within the White River Basin. The Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (VT DEC) classifies Adams Brook as a Class B water, a designation defined as "suitable for bathing and recreation, irrigation and agricultural uses; good fish habitat; good aesthetic value; acceptable for public water supply with filtration and disinfection."

In 1997, VT DEC monitored macroinvertebrates in Adams Brook using the EPT index, which measures the presence of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects in a waterbody. The index assumes that streams showing high EPT richness are less likely to be polluted than streams showing relatively low EPT richness in the same geographic region. In addition, VT DEC measured Adams Brook's biotic integrity (BI). Monitoring results from both indices indicated that Adams Brook failed to meet Vermont's Class B water quality standards for aquatic life support.

As a result of these findings, the state placed Adams Brook on Vermont's 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1998. Two VT DEC surveys and a concurrent White River Basin planning process indicated that the impairment was caused by nutrient and sediment loads coming from a nearby farm, poorly protected roadside



Ditch near Adams Brook prior to being lined with rock. Rock lining reduced sedimentation into Adams Brook.

ditches, and certain stretches of badly eroding streambanks.

#### Project Highlights

In 1998, the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets worked with a local farmer to plug a leak in the farm's manure storage pit. They also expanded the pit size to better accommodate the volume and type of animal waste being generated. These actions helped to significantly reduce nutrient loading to the waterbody. Other activities helped to reduce sedimentation. In 2001, VT DEC secured the removal of an unauthorized culvert at an upstream tributary, thereby reducing the erosive force of stormwater in the channel. During



Geomorphic instability and an unauthorized culvert (upstream of the location in this photo) caused heavy erosion and the dumping of rock piles at the culvert shown here. Removing the unauthorized culvert helped to address the instability and reduce the water quality impacts on Adams Brook.

the summer of 2002, the Vermont Agency of Transportation lined the eroding roadway ditches with stone and stabilized erosion at a nearby parking lot. All these actions contributed to bringing the stream into compliance with Vermont's water quality standards.

## Results

Macroinvertebrate sampling in 2001 showed improvements in EPT taxa richness and BI, allowing Adams Brook to be assessed as "good" and attaining water quality standards. However, a waterbody cannot be removed from the state's impaired list until 2 years of biological monitoring data indicate compliance with water quality standards.

Consequently, Adams Brook was reassessed in 2002 and evaluated to be in "very good" condition, exhibiting only minor differences from nearby reference streams. The EPT richness remained well above the guideline for Class B waters (though down somewhat from the 2001 sampling period) and the BI value was significantly lower (better) than the previous 2 sampling years.

## Adams Brook Biomonitoring Results

Sampling Site	Date	Assessment Rating	EPT	BI
1.5	9/16/1997	Fair	<b>15.0</b>	<b>4.77</b>
1.5	9/10/2001	Good	23.0	4.30
1.5	10/2/2002	Very good	19.0	2.14
Class B Guideline			> 16.0	< 4.50

The table above compares Adams Brook biomonitoring results with Class B water guidelines. Data highlighted in bold indicate the waterbody's failure to meet aquatic life support biocriteria for Vermont Class B waters. These data led to Adams Brook being added to Vermont's 303(d) list in 1998.

Metric improvements in 2001 and 2002 indicated that the stream community was under less stress and the brook had achieved compliance with Vermont water quality standards. As a result, Adams Brook was removed from the 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2004. The next scheduled monitoring year for the brook is 2006.

## Partners and Funding

This project included financial and technical support from the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets for improvements to the animal waste storage facility. These improvements were also funded in part by the farm producer. The Vermont Agency of Transportation protected roadside ditches and established parking area erosion control measures. All the improvement and protection work was facilitated by the broader White River Basin planning process, which was managed by VT DEC and supported, in part, with approximately \$50,000 in section 319 funding.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003A  
May 2006

## For additional information contact:

**Eric Perkins**, EPA Region 1  
617-918-1602 • [perkins.eric@epa.gov](mailto:perkins.eric@epa.gov)

**Rick Hopkins**, VT DEC Nonpoint Source Program  
802-241-3769 • [rick.hopkins@state.vt.us](mailto:rick.hopkins@state.vt.us)

**Steve Fiske**, VT DEC Biomonitoring Program  
802-241-1378 • [steve.fiske@state.vt.us](mailto:steve.fiske@state.vt.us)





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Vermont

### Ski Resort Controls Erosion and Sedimentation, Restores Two Streams

#### Waterbodies Improved

Erosion and sediment runoff from ski area parking lots and roads degraded biological communities in Chase and Slide Brooks. As a result, Vermont placed the streams on its 303(d) list for aquatic life use impairments due to excessive sediment. The installation of new runoff controls and improved management practices brought the streams into compliance with Vermont's water quality standards, and the state removed both streams from its 303(d) list in 2004.

#### Problem

Chase and Slide Brooks, 1 and 3 miles long, respectively, flow through the Sugarbush Resort, a ski area and resort in the northern Vermont town of Fayston. The Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (VT DEC) classifies both brooks as Class B waters—a designation defined as “suitable for bathing and recreation, irrigation and agricultural uses; good fish habitat; good aesthetic value; acceptable for public water supply with filtration and disinfection.”

VT DEC monitored macroinvertebrates in both streams using several different techniques, including the EPT index—a measure of pollution-sensitive aquatic insects inhabiting a waterbody. Streams showing high EPT richness are less likely to be polluted than streams showing low richness in the same geographic region. In addition, VT DEC determined macroinvertebrate densities and the percentage of macroinvertebrates composed of pollutant-tolerant worms of the taxonomic class Oligochaeta.

In the mid-1990s biological monitoring found that a 0.5-mile segment of each stream did not fully meet Vermont's water quality standards for aquatic life. The segments had low EPT values, relatively low macroinvertebrate densities, and biotic communities with high percentages of oligochaetes. As a result, Vermont placed the segments on its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1996. VT DEC attributed the impair-



Stream embeddedness—the extent to which sediment filled in gaps around rocks and cobbles in the Chase and Slide Brook streambeds—was 50–75 percent before the restoration effort. Embeddedness declined to 25–50 percent following restoration, representing significant habitat improvement.

ments to sediment washing from nearby gravel parking lots and smothering benthic habitat in the streams.

#### Project Highlights

In compliance with Vermont's land development law (Act 250), which regulates expansions as well as new developments disturbing more than 10 acres in Vermont, the Sugarbush Resort prepared a comprehensive water quality remediation plan for the entire resort in the late 1990s. The remediation plan included a survey of all sites and sources believed to contribute to the water quality impairments,



along with a list of recommended actions to address these sources.

The resort completed the recommended improvements between 2000 and spring 2002. The improvements included re-grading gravel parking lots and routing drainage through grass islands and sediment traps; enhancing the riparian buffer along Chase Brook; revegetating sections of work roads; cleaning, shaping, and matting swales; installing stone check-dams; replacing gravel with wood chips in heavily used areas; changing snow disposal practices to eliminate dumping in riparian zones; and instituting regular fall/spring maintenance of all the runoff control measures.

## Results

Pre- and post-project biomonitoring results are shown in the accompanying tables. The tables compare results with the Class B water guidelines for aquatic life support. Data highlighted in bold indicate non-attainment of the Class B guidelines.

Chase Brook experienced a substantial decrease in the percentage of oligochaetes and increases in density and EPT indices. As a result, VT DEC assigned "very good" and "good" ratings to Chase Brook in 2000 and 2002, respectively. Both are passing grades under Vermont's water quality standards.

The monitoring results for Slide Brook indicated similar improvements. A decreased percentage of oligochaetes, combined with consistently strong values for the other indices, allowed VT DEC to assign Slide Brook ratings of "excellent" and "very good" in 2000 and 2002, respectively.

The data indicated that the remediation practices had reduced sediment delivery to the

**Table 1. Chase Brook Biomonitoring Results**

Sampling site	Date	Assessment rating	EPT	Density (individuals/m <sup>2</sup> )	Individuals from Oligochaeta (%)
1.2	9/14/1993	Fair	<b>15.0</b>	357	10.6
1.2	9/20/1994	Fair	22.5	584	<b>23.8</b>
1.2	10/6/1998	Fair	19.0	493	11.7
1.2	9/18/2000	Very good	19.0	673	2.4
1.2	9/2/2002	Good	16.7	1253	1.4
Class B Guideline			>16.0*	>300	<12.0

\*Vermont Class B Guideline for EPT was 18.0 until the state changed it to 16.0 in 2002.

**Table 2. Slide Brook Biomonitoring Results**

Sampling site	Date	Assessment rating	EPT	Density (individuals/m <sup>2</sup> )	Individuals from Oligochaeta (%)
0.7	10/21/1991	Good-Fair	24.0	762	11.7
0.7	9/14/1993	Fair	20.5	856	<b>12.6</b>
0.7	9/18/2000	Excellent	25.0	522	0.3
0.7	9/2/2002	Very good	21.7	944	1.2
Class B Guideline			>16.0*	>300	<12.0

\*Vermont Class B Guideline for EPT was 18.0 until the state changed it to 16.0 in 2002.

streams, improved stream habitat, and allowed Vermont water quality standards to be met in both streams by the fall of 2002. The state removed both streams from its 303(d) list in 2004. The streams are scheduled to be monitored again in late 2006.

## Partners and Funding

Sugarbush Resort contributed \$11,500 to develop the remediation plan and \$14,000 to implement it. The resort also spends \$5,000–\$7,000 annually for operation and maintenance. In addition, approximately \$3,000 in section 319 funds supported stream monitoring work by VT DEC.

## For additional information contact:

**Eric Perkins**

EPA Region 1

617-918-1602 • [perkins.eric@epa.gov](mailto:perkins.eric@epa.gov)

**Steve Fiske**

VT Department of Environmental Conservation

802-242-1378 • [steve.fiske@state.vt.us](mailto:steve.fiske@state.vt.us)

**Jason Lisai**

Sugarbush Resort

802-583-6324 • [jlisai@sugarbush.com](mailto:jlisai@sugarbush.com)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003K  
December 2006



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Vermont

## Area Residents Keep Shelburne Beach Open Unnamed Tributary to Shelburne Beach, VT


### Waterbody Improved

Bacteria leaking from residential septic systems caused exceedances of Vermont's *E. coli* criteria in a tributary to Shelburne Beach, resulting in occasional beach closures. As a result, Vermont placed the one-mile unnamed tributary on its section 303(d) list for *E. coli* in 1998. The Town of Shelburne identified the potential source of the bacteria, prompting improvements to a number of residential septic systems along the stream. Subsequent monitoring data showed that the stream and beach consistently met water quality standards, and the tributary was removed from the state's 303(d) list in 2004.

### Problem

Shelburne Beach is a town swimming beach on a central portion of Lake Champlain in the town of Shelburne, Vermont. The state has classified the beach and the unnamed tributary to the beach as class B waters—a designation defined as “suitable for bathing and recreation, irrigation and agricultural uses; good fish habitat; good aesthetic value; acceptable for public water supply with filtration and disinfection.”

The town monitors *E. coli* levels at the beach, including at a station at the mouth of the tributary, about 20 times a year during the swimming season, to check for compliance with Vermont's *E. coli* criteria. The criteria are 77 colony-forming units (cfu) per 100 milliliters for Class B waters. Among other purposes, the *E. coli* standard is designed to protect human health by preventing exposure to harmful levels of pathogens. Monitoring results for a number of years in the mid- to late 1990s indicated occasional exceedances of the *E. coli* standard at the monitoring station at the tributary mouth, causing occasional closures of the beach. The high *E. coli* counts resulted in the state's adding the unnamed tributary to the 303(d) list in 1998.



Coordinated efforts by area residents to control bacteria levels permit the continual enjoyment of Shelburne Beach

### Project Highlights

In 1997 the town commissioned a study to find the source of the bacteria in the tributary, and the study identified six residential septic systems along the stream as the most likely source. Based on the findings of the study, the town encouraged the homeowners of concern to correct the deficiencies in their septic systems. Between 1998 and 2001, all six homeowners rebuilt their systems by installing new tanks and leach fields.

## Results

The data summarized in Table 1 show that the *E. coli* standard was exceeded occasionally during the years 1996 to 1999. Although data are not available for 2000 and 2001, the data for 2002 and 2003 (following septic system improvements) show that the Vermont water quality standards for *E. coli* were met 100 percent of the time during those years. Accordingly, the state removed the tributary from the 303(d) list in 2004.

## Partners and Funding

The restoration work in this case was funded by the Shelburne homeowners, who together spent approximately \$90,000 to rebuild their on-site septic systems. The Town of Shelburne supported this work with seasonal bacteria monitoring and funding for the study that identified the bacteria source. Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation staff, funded with section 319 funds, provided some technical assistance to the town during the source-tracking phase.

**Table 1. Summary of *E. coli* data at the mouth of the southern tributary to Shelburne Beach**

Year	Number of samples taken throughout the season	Number of samples that exceeded Vermont's <i>E. coli</i> criterion of 77 CFU/100 mL	Average <i>E. coli</i> count for samples that exceeded criterion(CFU/100 mL)	Number of days beach was closed to swimming
1996	31	1	240	1
1997	28	3	197	1
1998	26	3	3,033	4
1999	16	1	130	0
2002	21	0	--	0
2003	21	0	--	0



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001G  
June 2007

### For additional information contact:

**Eric Perkins**  
EPA Region 1  
617-918-1602 • [perkins.eric@epa.gov](mailto:perkins.eric@epa.gov)

**Bernard T. Gagnon**  
Director of Public Works  
802-316-1320

**Susan Craig**  
Shelburne Parks and Recreation  
802-985-9551



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Vermont

## Improved Management of Farm Drainage Restores Taft Brook

### Waterbody Improved

Silage and milkhouse waste from a nearby farm degraded biological communities in a 0.1-mile section of Taft Brook. As a result, Vermont placed the stream on its 303(d) list for aquatic life use impairments due to excessive nutrients. Cooperation among the farm owner and other partners resulted in the construction of a waste storage system, which eliminated the cause of impairment. The Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (VT DEC) expects to remove Taft Brook from its 303(d) list in 2006.

### Problem

Taft Brook, a 6-mile stream in the northern Vermont town of Westfield, is a tributary within the Missisquoi River watershed. VT DEC classifies Taft Brook as a Class B water—a designation defined as “suitable for bathing and recreation, irrigation and agricultural uses; good fish habitat; good aesthetic value; acceptable for public water supply with filtration and disinfection.”

In 1999, VT DEC monitored macroinvertebrates in Taft Brook using several different measures, including the EPT index—a measure of pollution-sensitive, aquatic insects inhabiting a waterbody. Streams showing high EPT richness are less likely to be polluted than streams showing low richness in the same geographic region. In addition, VT DEC evaluated Taft Brook’s biotic integrity (BI), which measures the presence of pollution-tolerant species. High BI values characterize streams with poor water quality and dominated by pollution-tolerant species.

Biomonitoring revealed that a 0.1-mile segment of Taft Brook had low EPT richness and high BI. These findings, along with other biomonitoring results, put the segment in noncompliance with Vermont Class B water quality standards for aquatic life support. As a result, Vermont

placed Taft Brook on its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 2000. VT DEC identified the drainage of nutrient-rich milkhouse and silage wastes from an adjacent farm as the likely cause of impairment.

### Project Highlights

Technical assistance staff from the Winooski Natural Resources Conservation District contacted the owner and operator of the nearby farm in 1999. The farm owner then applied for and received cost-share assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the Vermont Agency of Agriculture to construct a waste storage system. The concrete storage lagoon was installed later that same year, accommodating drainage from the milkhouse and silo areas as well as manure wastes. This eliminated the source of nutrients contaminating Taft Brook.

### Results

In 2004, macroinvertebrate sampling found a decrease in pollution-tolerant species and an increase in sensitive species. The accompanying table shows the improvement in Taft Brook’s biomonitoring results and compares them with Class B water guidelines for aquatic



life support. Data highlighted in bold indicate the waterbody's failure to meet the Class B guidelines. As data from 2004 show, BI improved from 6.88 to 4.40, and EPT rose from 9.0 to 18.0—both within the guidelines for Vermont's Class B waters. Primarily because of the improvements to these key measures, VT DEC gave Taft Brook an overall assessment rating of "good," a passing grade under Vermont's water quality standards.

With the segment in compliance with aquatic life support criteria, VT DEC expects to delist Taft Brook in 2006. The waterbody is scheduled to be monitored again in 2009.

## Partners and Funding

Entities contributing to the design and construction of the waste storage facility included the USDA, which provided \$40,000 in cost-share funding; the Vermont Agency of Agriculture, which provided \$26,000 in cost-share assistance; and the farm owner, who contributed \$12,000. The Winooski Natural Resources Conservation District used \$500 in section 319 funding to provide outreach and technical assistance to the farmer. In addition, approximately \$2,000 in section 319 funding supported stream monitoring by VT DEC staff.

### Taft Brook Biomonitoring Results

Sampling site	Date	Assessment rating	EPT	BI
0.1	9/9/1999	Poor	<b>9.0</b>	<b>6.88</b>
0.1	10/26/2004	Good	18.0	4.40
Class B Guideline			> 16.0	< 4.50

### For additional information contact:

**Eric Perkins**  
EPA Region 1  
617-918-1602  
perkins.eric@epa.gov

**Steve Fiske**  
Vermont Department of Environmental  
Conservation  
802-242-1378  
steve.fiske@state.vt.us

**Pamela Stefanek**  
Otter Creek Natural Resource Conservation District  
802-388-6746  
pam.stefanek@vt.nacdnet.net



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003J  
September 2006



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Vermont

## Cleanup of Leaked Petroleum Restores Whetstone Brook

### Waterbody Improved

Oil leaking from a nearby underground storage tank caused sheens and degraded biological communities in Whetstone Brook. This resulted in the state placing the waterbody on its 303(d) list in 1998 for aesthetic and aquatic life support use impairments. Project partners removed the storage tank and much of the leaked oil from the area. Several years later, biological assessments showed that Whetstone Brook once again met state water quality standards. Vermont removed the brook's aesthetic and aquatic life use impairments from its 303(d) list in 2004.

### Problem

Whetstone Brook, a 7-mile stream in the southern Vermont town of Brattleboro, is a tributary within the state's Lower Connecticut River Basin. The Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (VT DEC) classifies Whetstone Brook as a Class B water—a designation defined as "suitable for bathing and recreation, irrigation and agricultural uses; good fish habitat; good aesthetic value; acceptable for public water supply with filtration and disinfection."

In 1990 citizens and VT DEC staff first observed oil sheens in a 0.2-mile segment near the mouth of the brook. The following year, VT DEC located the petroleum source—a leaking underground storage tank at a nearby gas station. Cleanup efforts began immediately.

The leak and residual groundwater contaminant plume created an aesthetic nuisance and impaired aquatic life for several years. VT DEC monitored macroinvertebrates in Whetstone Brook using the EPT index—a measure of pollution-sensitive, aquatic insects inhabiting a waterbody. Streams showing high EPT richness are less likely to be polluted than streams showing low richness in the same geographic region. In addition, VT DEC evaluated Whetstone Brook's biotic integrity (BI), which measures the presence of pollution-tolerant



VT DEC monitoring staff member taking field notes on Whetstone Brook. Monitoring is scheduled to resume in 2008.

species. High BI values characterize streams with poor water quality and dominated by pollution-tolerant species.

Monitoring results indicated that Whetstone Brook failed to meet Vermont's Class B water quality standards for aesthetics and aquatic life support. As a result, Vermont placed Whetstone Brook on its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1998. VT DEC identified oil/grease as the primary cause of impairment.

## Project Highlights

Once VT DEC identified the pollution source, the agency and gas station owner quickly initiated work to remove the storage tank and recover much of the leaked oil. By 1996, they had removed the storage tank and—with the help of a soil vapor extraction system—up to 4,000 gallons of oil from the surrounding soil and groundwater.

Even with the cleanup effort, however, residual petroleum in contaminated groundwater continued seeping into the brook until late 1999. VT DEC continued to monitor biological communities, look for oil sheens, and measure oil seepage along the streambank.

## Results

The accompanying table compares key Whetstone Brook biomonitoring results with Class B water guidelines. Data highlighted in bold indicate the waterbody's failure to meet aquatic life support biocriteria for Vermont Class B waters. These data led to Whetstone Brook being added to Vermont's 303(d) list in 1998.

The monitoring team reassessed the segment in 2002 and found significant biological

improvement. However, before 2004 (when Vermont revised its listing methodology for impaired waters), a waterbody could not be removed from the state's impaired list until 2 years of biological monitoring data showed compliance with water quality standards. Such compliance was confirmed in 2003. The EPT richness, BI values, and other biological indicators for both years remained well within the Class B guideline. In addition, the team found no evidence of oil sheens either year.

Because of these findings, VT DEC concluded that oil/grease no longer impaired Whetstone Brook's aesthetic and aquatic life uses. As a result, Vermont removed the waterbody from its 303(d) list in 2004. Whetstone Brook is scheduled to be monitored again in 2008.

## Partners and Funding

Remediation costs for this effort totaled \$440,000, with \$430,000 coming from Vermont's Petroleum Cleanup Fund and the remainder from the service station owner. VT DEC spent another \$68,000 on the groundwater investigation that tracked the leaking oil to its source. In addition, approximately \$3,000 in section 319 funding supported the participation of agency monitoring staff.

### Whetstone Brook Biomonitoring Results

Sampling site	Date	Assessment rating	EPT	BI
0.2	9/15/1998	Fair	<b>17.0</b>	<b>4.56</b>
0.2	9/17/2002	Very good	23.0	2.78
0.2	9/11/2003	Very good	20.5	3.33
		Class B Guideline	> 16.0*	< 4.50

\* In 1998, the Class B Guideline for EPT was 18. Vermont changed the guideline to 16 in 2002.

## For additional information contact:

**Eric Perkins**  
EPA Region 1  
617-918-1602  
perkins.eric@epa.gov

**Steve Fiske**  
Vermont Department of Environmental  
Conservation Biomonitoring Program  
802-241-1378  
steve.fiske@state.vt.us



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003I  
August 2006



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Virginia

## Batie Creek Restoration Helps to Protect Unique Karst Habitats

### Waterbody Improved

Batie Creek was listed on Virginia's 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1998. The creek was listed because of low dissolved oxygen levels, caused by inflows of anoxic leachate due to a lumber company's improper disposal of sawdust. The low dissolved oxygen levels negatively affected a population of endangered cave isopods (a type of crustacean) in Batie Creek's headwaters. With help from an array of partners, led by the section 319-funded Karst Program of the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation's Division of Natural Heritage, the company removed and reused most of the decomposing sawdust. Dissolved oxygen levels have rebounded, prompting the removal of Batie Creek from the impaired waters list in 2006.

### Problem

Batie Creek flows through a karst region of Lee County in southwest Virginia. Its base flow originates with two springs, Batie East and Batie West. The springs are fed by water flowing through caves formed in Lee County's karst geology. (Areas with karst geology are characterized by sinkholes, underground streams, and caverns.) The caves of Lee County host a diverse and abundant fauna of cave-adapted invertebrates. Among the caves is Thompson Cedar Cave, where in the 1960s cave biologists John Holsinger and Dave Culver discovered the first Lee County Cave isopod (*Lirceus usdagalun*).

In the late 1980s and early 1990s, the sawdust disposal activities of a lumber company generated anoxic leachate rich in lignins and tannins. The leachates contaminated the entire ¾-mile length of Batie Creek, from the headwater springs to the creek's confluence with the Powell River. The decomposition of the sawdust generated anoxic leachate that drained into Thompson Cedar Cave, eliminating nearly all aquatic life, including the rare isopod. In 1992 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) listed the Lee County Cave isopod as endangered under the provisions of the Endangered Species Act. The dissolved oxygen levels at Batie West Spring from the late 1980s through the early 1990s ranged from 5.5 to 1.0 mg/L, generally declining over that period. During that period, all but one reading was below the 5.0 mg/L standard for surface streams. In 1998 the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) placed Batie Creek on the 303(d) list as impaired because the stream's dissolved oxygen

levels were less than half of the 5.0 mg/L necessary to support aquatic life.

### Project Highlights

In the late 1980s, soon after the discovery of sawdust at Thompson Cedar Cave, the Virginia Cave Board established a voluntary agreement with the lumber company to have the sawdust removed from the cave entrance. Working through the governor-appointed Virginia Cave Board, of which Holsinger was chair, an agreement was reached with the lumber company, which removed the sawdust from the cave entrance and agreed to address the issue. During the 1990s, however, the sawmill's production increased, generating vast sawdust stockpiles that led to further contamination of the Batie Creek system.

In 1994 the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation's Division of Soil and Water Conservation provided EPA section 319 funding to DCR's Division of Natural Heritage to establish the Karst Program. That program established the Batie Creek Task Force and gathered data. DCR Karst Program staff performed dye trace studies that verified a connection between the cave stream and Batie West Spring. In 1998 independent reports by Virginia Water Resources Center and Virginia DEQ established the dissolved oxygen impairment of Batie Creek and identified breakdown of the sawdust as the culprit.

Virginia DEQ issued a consent decree to the lumber company, allowing it to operate under the



condition that the sawdust would be removed within 5 years. The company quickly found an economically viable way to dispose of newly generated and recent sawdust, but the older material remained a problem. In 2000 DCR Karst Program staff developed a strategy involving multiple partners to address the historic sawdust stockpile issue. The Cave Conservancy of the Virginias funded Virginia Tech researchers, who proved that decomposing sawdust could be used to amend soil at mined land reclamation projects. The DCR Karst Program then took those results to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Tennessee Valley Authority, which teamed up to fund the transport of sawdust to project sites for use as a soil amendment in reclaiming mined land.

## Results


By the summer of 2002, dissolved oxygen levels had rebounded to 5.8 mg/L, allowing aquatic life, including the Lee County Cave isopod, to return to Thompson Cedar Cave. (The DCR Karst Program staff believes that some isopods had survived in an uncontaminated upstream cave.) In 2006 Virginia DEQ removed Batie Creek from the list of impaired streams. As of January 2007, an insignificant amount of actively decomposing sawdust remained on the lumber company's property.

Dissolved oxygen values at Batie West Spring have remained healthy since 2001 (Figure 1).

## Partners and Funding

From 1994 through FY2006 Virginia DCR's Division of Soil and Water Conservation provided more than \$1.3 million in the form of annual EPA section 319 grants to Virginia DCR's Natural Heritage Program to fund the Karst Program, which has worked to improve water quality throughout the state's karst areas. Approximately \$250,000 in section 319 funding for the Karst Program has gone toward providing the technical and staff support needed for the Karst Program to spearhead interagency efforts to remediate the Batie Creek system. The Virginia Water Resources Center and Virginia DEQ provided monitoring and other technical support. Other partners include the following: the Cave Conservancy of the Virginias provided a \$10,000 grant for the characterization of decomposing sawdust for use as a soil amendment, Virginia Tech offered technical expertise, USFWS provided \$100,000 to support the transport of sawdust for incorporation as a beneficial soil amendment for coal mine reclamation, and the Tennessee Valley Authority provided funding and facilitated the reuse of old sawdust.

**Figure 1. Regression of dissolved oxygen 1998-2007.**



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001O  
September 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Wil Orndorff**, Karst Protection Coordinator  
Virginia Natural Heritage Program  
540-831-4056  
[wil.orndorff@dcv.virginia.gov](mailto:wil.orndorff@dcv.virginia.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Virginia

## Concerted Watershed Effort Improves Several Streams

### Waterbody Improved

Agricultural and residential activities in the Middle Fork Holston River watershed in southwestern Virginia have caused the river to become impaired by sediment and fecal coliform. Urban and agricultural activities—including targeting failing septic systems and excluding livestock from streams—helped reduce fecal coliform values to creeks draining into the River, and resulted in a 50 percent reduction of bacteria water quality violations in one of these creeks.

### Problem

In 1998 four tributaries of the Middle Fork Holston River—Cedar, Hall, Byers, and Hutton Creeks—were identified as impaired and placed on the section 303(d) list for exceedances of the fecal coliform water quality standard. Land use in the Cedar, Hall, Byers, and Hutton Creek watersheds is predominantly agriculture, residential, and forest. Bacteria from more than 6,590 sheep, horses, beef cows, dairy heifers, and dairy cows and 1,139 septic systems contributed to high fecal coliform levels in the creeks. Fecal coliform total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) were approved in 2000, and benthic TMDLs were approved in 2003.

### Project Highlights




In 2001 the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) developed an implementation plan, with support from EPA's section 319 funding, with a goal of achieving the required reductions and restoring these waters to full health within 6 to 10 years. The Holston River Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) agreed to oversee implementation of both the agricultural and residential programs in accordance with the plan. Best management practices (BMPs) identified in the plan included excluding livestock from streams, identifying practices to correct failing septic systems and straight pipes conveying human waste to the streams, and reducing

by 10 percent the fecal coliform runoff from pastures and hayfields in the Hutton Creek watershed.

The urban and agricultural BMPs implemented by homeowners and farmers in the watershed have helped tremendously in reducing loadings to the creeks. Residents have responded to educational efforts and are working to properly maintain their septic tanks. As of December 2004, 120 septic tanks have been pumped and 16 on-site sewage disposal systems have been upgraded. Farmers have installed 14.2 miles of stream fencing; implemented livestock grazing management systems, including watering sources and travel lanes; and improved 3,588 acres of pasture and 13 acres of riparian forest buffers along Hutton Creek. Approximately 70 percent of the agricultural producers in the watershed have been contacted about the goals of the implementation plan.

### Results

The Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) monitors the impaired streams through the agency's ambient monitoring program. Since the beginning of implementation efforts in 2001, high fecal coliform values have decreased in the Byers, Cedar, and Hutton Creek watersheds (Figure 1). Bacteria water quality violations of the 1,000 cfu/100 mL instantaneous standard for fecal coliform



**Figure 1**

bacteria were reduced by an annual average of 50 percent during the period 2000 to 2004 in Hutton Creek. Ongoing monitoring will be necessary to verify a sustained decrease in fecal coliform concentrations and general overall improvement in water quality.

## Partners and Funding

The Natural Resources Conservation Service, Tennessee Valley Authority, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Virginia DEQ, Holston River SWCD, Virginia DCR, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 3, and volunteers have contributed to the success of this project. To date, 54 long-term contracts with

producers have been developed to allocate approximately \$555,300 to install needed BMPs. From the start of the implementation project in September 2001 through December 2004, \$607,068 from section 319 funding has been spent—\$282,068 for technical assistance/educational activities and \$325,000 for the installation of BMPs. Other funding was also obtained from the Environmental Quality Incentive Program (\$1.4 million from approved contracts), the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (\$101,822), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Tennessee Valley Authority. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Tennessee Valley Authority provided a total of \$23,111 in BMP funding.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004I  
July 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Anthony Summitt or Shauna Russell**

Holston River Soil and Water Conservation District  
276-628-8187 • [anthony.summitt@vaswcd.org](mailto:anthony.summitt@vaswcd.org)  
or [shauna.russell@va.nacdn.net](mailto:shauna.russell@va.nacdn.net)

**Fred Suffian**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)

**Charles Lunsford**

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation  
804-786-3199 • [charles.lunsford@dcv.virginia.gov](mailto:charles.lunsford@dcv.virginia.gov)

**Ken Carter**

Natural Resource Conservation Service  
804-287-1690 • [ken.carter@va.usda.gov](mailto:ken.carter@va.usda.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

# Virginia

## Conservation Stewardship Puts Muddy Creek and Lower Dry River Watersheds on Path to Recovery

### Waterbodies Improved

Runoff from agricultural and residential activities and livestock stream access contributed to water quality impairments to Virginia's Muddy Creek and Lower Dry River. Both waterbodies violated state water quality standards for fecal coliform bacteria and nitrate, and excess sediment and phosphorus loads further degraded aquatic life in Muddy Creek. These water quality problems caused the state to place Muddy Creek and the Lower Dry River on Virginia's 303(d) list of impaired waters.

Over four years, project partners installed a number of agricultural and residential best management practices (BMPs) that helped mitigate many of the causes of water quality degradation. Fecal coliform counts have significantly declined in both streams since 2001; those in the Lower Dry River are approaching the levels that trigger delisting.

### Problem

Muddy Creek and the Lower Dry River are in Rockingham County, approximately 15 miles west-northwest of the city of Harrisonburg in Virginia's Shenandoah Valley. Both waterbodies drain into the North River, which empties into the South Fork Shenandoah River.

Agriculture is the predominant source of bacteria to Muddy Creek and the Lower Dry River. Pasture and cropland runoff, as well as livestock in and near the streams, delivers significant bacteria loads. Failing septic systems and straight pipes also contribute to the problem. By 1996 Virginia had placed a 10.36-mile segment of Muddy Creek on its 303(d) list for impairments due to fecal coliform contamination. The same impairment resulted in the 1998 listing of 6.47 miles of the Lower Dry River. In addition, the state listed both waterbodies for violating its nitrate public drinking water standard, and Muddy Creek failed to meet aquatic life use criteria due to excess sediment and phosphorous loads.

### Project Highlights

In response to the problem, stakeholders worked to implement total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) developed for the larger North River watershed. To address livestock and cropland contributions to the water quality problem,



Voluntary stream exclusion fencing keeps livestock away from Muddy Creek.

the agricultural community developed systems to manage waste, reduce soil compaction, and minimize runoff from livestock resting areas. Project funding supported the installation of 10 miles of livestock exclusion fencing and 1,200 acres of cover crops. Funding also helped farmers to implement nutrient management plans that called for applying fertilizer on cornfields only when the crop needed it and could use it most efficiently. Finally, project funding enabled landowners to pump out 30 septic tanks, repair or replace 13 septic systems, and install 5 alternative waste treatment systems.

To promote the various agricultural and residential BMPs throughout the watershed,



project partners conducted numerous on-site tours, gave presentations to civic clubs, mailed postcards advertising the program, personally contacted farmers and residents, and held meetings to update the community on water quality improvements.

## Results


Early results from the BMP implementation effort are encouraging. As shown in the accompanying graphs, fecal coliform counts in Muddy Creek and the Lower Dry River have declined overall. By 2005, the Lower Dry River showed an 11 percent violation rate of the state fecal coliform standard. This rate is down from a high of 50 percent and just above the state's 10 percent violation rate threshold for 303(d) listing.

Muddy Creek has improved as well. Its 44 percent fecal coliform violation rate in 2005 is a significant improvement over its 1998 rate of 91 percent. In addition, state monitoring personnel have observed substantial improvements in the Muddy Creek benthic community.

## Partners and Funding

Residential and agricultural successes have largely been the result of partnerships between the Shenandoah Valley Soil and Water Conservation District (SVSWCD), Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, Virginia Cooperative Extension, Rockingham County Farm Bureau, and USDA's Natural Resources Conservation Service.

In addition to these agency partners, the watershed's Old Order Mennonite community played a significant role in the project's success. The community strongly values land and water resources and took the initiative to voluntarily implement extensive BMPs such as stream exclusions, loose housing barns, and numerous



Violation rate of the 1,000 colony-forming units/100 mL instantaneous standard for fecal coliform bacteria in Muddy Creek (top) and the Lower Dry River (bottom). To be delisted for impairments caused by fecal coliform bacteria, a waterbody may not have a bacteria violation rate greater than 10 percent (represented by the red line).

manure storage units. Religious beliefs preclude the community from accepting any financial assistance to implement BMPs. Community members refused any cost share assistance and assumed complete financial responsibility for 8.3 of the 10 miles of livestock exclusion fencing installed throughout the watershed.

Since 2002 more than \$309,000 in section 319 funding has supported two full-time SVSWCD staff, who provide technical assistance to the Mennonite community and others in the project area. This support has generated nearly \$839,000 in cost-share funds—approximately \$200,000 of which came from farmers—to implement agricultural and residential BMPs. Finally, project partners used \$130,000 in USDA/EQIP funds to install BMPs throughout the North River watershed.

## For additional information contact:

### Mike Phillips

Shenandoah Valley Soil and Water Conservation District  
540-433-2853 • [mike.phillips@va.nacdnet.net](mailto:mike.phillips@va.nacdnet.net)

### Megan O'Gorek

Shenandoah Valley Soil and Water Conservation District  
540-433-2853 • [megan.ogorek@va.nacdnet.net](mailto:megan.ogorek@va.nacdnet.net)

### Nesha Mizel

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation  
540-332-9238 • [nesha.mizel@dcr.virginia.gov](mailto:nesha.mizel@dcr.virginia.gov)

### Ann Carkhuff

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3  
215-814-5735 • [carkhuff.ann@epa.gov](mailto:carkhuff.ann@epa.gov)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-06-003M  
December 2006



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Washington and Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe

### Dungeness River Tributary Achieves Bacteria Target Levels at Several Monitoring Sites

#### Waterbody Improved

Failing septic systems and inadequate management of livestock and pet wastes contribute high levels of bacteria to the Dungeness watershed, resulting in shellfish bed closures and causing the state to place the Dungeness River and Matriotti Creek (a tributary of the Dungeness) on its 303(d) list of impaired waters for fecal coliform contamination. Piping of irrigation ditches, pasture management, manure storage, investigation and repair of on-site septic systems, and outreach and education efforts with area residents are some of the practices implemented that have resulted in achieving bacteria target levels set forth in the total maximum daily load (TMDL) at several monitoring sites.

#### Problem

The Dungeness River and Matriotti Creek are located in the northeast corner of the Olympic Peninsula, ultimately flowing into the Dungeness Bay. Bacterial waste from failing septic systems, inadequately maintained livestock (cows and horses), pet waste, and wildlife caused the state to add the Dungeness River and Matriotti Creek to its 303(d) list of impaired waters in 1996 for fecal coliform contamination. In 1997 the Washington State Department of Health (DOH) reported increased levels of fecal coliform bacteria in Dungeness Bay near the mouth of the Dungeness River. In 2000 the DOH closed 300 intertidal acres of Dungeness Bay to commercial shellfish harvesting (e.g., oysters and clams) due to fecal coliform bacteria levels exceeding the standard for safe human consumption. The closure area was expanded by 100 acres in 2001 and again in 2003. In 2002 EPA approved fecal coliform TMDLs for several streams in the Dungeness River basin, including the Dungeness River and Matriotti Creek, and a TMDL was approved for Dungeness Bay in 2004.


The bacteria levels were of direct concern to the Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, which operates an oyster farm in Dungeness Bay and whose members harvest clams there. It was also of grave concern to Clallam County and local citizens since Dungeness Bay is a premier internationally known tourist destination. Elevated bacteria levels in the watershed's streams and extensive irrigation systems were also a public health concern.

#### Project Highlights


In response to these concerns, a consortium of local and state entities and interested individuals began meeting to coordinate resources and efforts to address the problem. When the first shellfish harvest downgrade happened in 2000, this group became the Shellfish Response Committee, and when the Clallam County commissioners formed the Clean Water District in 2001, this group became the Clean Water Work Group. The Work Group helped to coordinate efforts to identify bacterial sources and solutions and begin cleanup actions in advance of the TMDL.

The Clallam Conservation District worked one-on-one with farm operators to develop conservation plans for individual facilities. Agricultural best management practices implemented include fencing, riparian restoration, pasture management, and manure storage. Outreach efforts, including newsletters, workshops, and presentations, were also implemented to educate livestock operators about the impacts of livestock on water quality.

There is an ongoing effort to pipe many of the irrigation ditches and eliminate tailwaters in the extensive irrigation network in the watershed. Ditches selected for piping were those deemed high-priority by the Clallam Conservation District based on bacterial monitoring results. In addition to improving water quality, these activities helped to achieve important water conservation goals as well.



Lower Matriotti Creek irrigation and non-irrigation season fecal coliform data for the TMDL and most recent 2 years of data.



Dungeness River irrigation and non-irrigation season fecal coliform data for the TMDL and the most recent 2-year period of data (2002–2004).

To address failing septic systems, Clallam County Environmental Health identified systems of concern that were near waterbodies and were old, undocumented, or had a history of repairs. This formed the basis of an operations and maintenance (O&M) plan to perform site evaluations, dye testing of suspected failures, and repair and installation inspections. Educational materials about proper septic tank maintenance were also distributed to the public, and a basic septic maintenance class—Septics 101—has been conducted over 40 times, reaching more than 1,000 septic tank owners.

The Tribe coordinated post-TMDL monitoring and used 319 grants to help fund cleanup tasks and sponsor a variety of public outreach projects.

## Results

In the Matriotti Creek, all sites monitored by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) for fecal coliform levels have shown dramatic improvement, and several sites are now meeting the bacteria target levels that were set for the creek in the TMDL. The mouth of Matriotti Creek now needs only a 38 percent reduction in bacteria levels, which is a significant improvement from the 78 percent reduction that was needed in 2000. Fecal coliform levels in the Dungeness River have slightly improved along certain sections of the river during the irrigation season.

A target date of 2007 has been set for achieving the bacterial reduction for the TMDLs for the Dungeness River and Matriotti Creek. Due to implementation efforts, partners are expected to achieve a 65 percent reduction by December 2005.

Despite improvements for freshwater, shellfish harvest restrictions still remain. The area near the mouth of the bay remains closed to shellfish harvesting year-round. The inner bay is open for harvest from February through October and closed November through January, but water quality testing indicates the bacteria are still near closure levels.

## Partners and Funding

Project partners include the Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, Clallam County, Clallam Conservation District, Sequim-Dungeness Water Users, Dungeness River Management Team, DOH, Battelle, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Puget Sound Action Team, and Ecology. Since 2000 the state has allocated approximately \$1.5 million, \$73,000 of which was section 319 funding, for monitoring and TMDL-related projects designed to resolve bacteria problems in the Dungeness area. The Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe received approximately \$250,000 in section 319 funding and other grants, and a 2004 Targeted Watershed Grant to the Tribe of almost \$1 million helped leverage local funds to implement the O&M plan. Additional funding was provided by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service's Environmental Quality Incentive Program, the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program, Salmon Recovery Funding Board, and Washington Conservation Commission funds.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004Z  
October 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Christine Hempleman**  
Washington State Department of Ecology  
360-407-6329  
[chem461@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:chem461@ecy.wa.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Washington

### Changes in Irrigation Practices Reduce Turbidity in the Lower Yakima River


#### Waterbody Improved

Erosion from irrigated agricultural lands has caused the waters of the lower Yakima River to become impaired by suspended sediment, turbidity, and the pesticide DDT, causing it to be placed on the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters. As a result of better irrigation practices through the conversion from furrow to sprinkler or drip systems, area farmers have achieved interim total maximum daily load (TMDL) criteria for turbidity at three of the four primary irrigation water return drains, and made significant progress towards meeting TMDL targets at all other sites.

#### Problem

The Yakima River flows for over 200 miles through south-central Washington, and is one of the most intensively irrigated and agriculturally diverse areas in the United States. The confluence of the Yakima and Naches Rivers (a tributary) at the city of Yakima divides the Yakima River into the "upper" and "lower" portions. During a normal irrigation season at least 300 tons of sediment contaminated with pesticides and other pollutants entered the lower Yakima River from irrigated farmland, interfering with fish and their habitat. Studies have shown that fish in the lower Yakima River have one of the highest concentrations of DDT in the country.

In 1996 the lower Yakima River was placed on Washington's 303(d) list for impairments from suspended sediment, turbidity, and DDT; and in 1998 the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) established a TMDL. Implementation of the TMDL is scheduled over a 20-year time span, with interim targets set at 5-year intervals. The fifth year targets, set for 2002, included meeting the state water quality criterion for turbidity in the mainstem Yakima River, and achieving a maximum 90th percentile turbidity of 25 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units) at the mouths of the four major tributaries in the lower Yakima. Prior to the development of the TMDL, turbidity levels commonly reached 300 NTU or higher.



Graph represents decreases in turbidity at the four major tributaries in the lower Yakima, with a goal of 25 NTU 90 percent of the time.

#### Project Highlights

To implement the TMDL a landmark partnership was formed between two irrigation districts in the Yakima Valley—the Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District and the Roza Irrigation District. Under the Roza-Sunnyside Board of Joint Control (Board), the two districts adopted a comprehensive Water Quality Policy, with support and input from local farmers and other landowners, that set specific on-farm turbidity



targets. If on-farm targets are not met, the landowner is responsible for taking corrective action by submitting both a short-term and a long-term Water Quality Plan for how the targets will be achieved. If the landowner continues to be in violation of the water quality policies, the Board can reduce water delivery services to the farm until the plan has been implemented and subsequent monitoring indicates compliance. Over 200 plans have been filed with the irrigation districts.

The Board worked closely with many farmers who voluntarily converted well over 20,000 acres from water-intensive and erosive rill and furrow irrigation methods to sprinkler or drip systems to reduce erosion. Each year one lateral irrigation ditch is converted from open ditch to pipe, which reduces evaporation and, in many cases, delivers pressurized water to farms, making it easier for growers to utilize drip and sprinkler systems. Other best management practices implemented to control erosion include the construction of settling ponds, filter strips, and the use of polyacrylamide—a substance that binds to soil while allowing water infiltration.

## Results

Effectiveness monitoring conducted by Ecology in 2003 shows that three of the four major agricultural drains met the TMDL criteria for turbidity. While the fourth drain did not meet the criteria, it did show a sediment load reduction of approximately 80 percent. Progress was also observed in the mainstem

Yakima River, with reductions of total suspended sediment loadings between 50 and 70 percent in 2003 (as compared to 1995).

## Partners and Funding

The success of this project is due to support from Ecology, South Yakima Conservation District, North Yakima Conservation District, Yakama Nation, Benton Conservation District, Roza Irrigation District, and Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District. Since 1994, funding has totaled more than \$2.5 million. More than \$1 million in section 319 funding supported Ecology's work in developing and implementing the TMDL; and approximately \$200,000 in section 319 funding supported rill irrigation conversion, water quality monitoring, and other technical assistance. The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service provided \$2.3 million through the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act (PL-566), along with additional support from USDA's Environmental Quality Incentives Program. Approximately \$10 million in low-interest state revolving loan funds from Ecology supported upgrades to irrigation systems. Grants from the U.S. Geological Survey, Yakama Nation, and Washington State University Cooperative Extension Research Station at Prosser funded construction of settling ponds and filter strips. Additional support came from the Washington State Conservation Commission; conservation districts; and the U.S. Department of Interior's Bureau of Reclamation. This funding helped leverage over \$6 million from landowners themselves.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004V  
September 2005

### For additional information contact:

**Ryan Anderson**  
Washington State Department of Ecology  
509-575-2642  
[rand461@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:rand461@ecy.wa.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## Washington, Lummi Nation

### Nooksack River Water Quality Improvements Benefit Portage Bay Shellfish

#### Waterbody Improved

Portage Bay and a portion of the lower Nooksack River are on the Lummi Indian Reservation in western Washington. High fecal coliform levels in the Nooksack River from dairy and livestock operations, municipal wastewater treatment plants, and malfunctioning septic systems contributed to high bacteria counts in Portage Bay, forcing the closure of shellfish harvests—an important cultural, subsistence, recreational, and commercial resource for members of the Lummi Nation. A new dairy inspection program and the requirement for dairy nutrient management plans resulted in a 63 percent reduction in fecal coliform bacteria and the reopening of some shellfish beds.

#### Problem

Monitoring in the Nooksack River Basin confirmed that the major sources of bacteria loading were dairy and livestock farms and municipal wastewater treatment plants, with agricultural sources accounting for the vast majority of the loadings. Malfunctioning septic systems also added to the problem. In December 1996, at the request of Washington's Department of Health (DOH), the Lummi Nation voluntarily closed a 60-acre portion of Portage Bay to commercial shellfish harvest because of sampling that indicated high fecal coliform. As a result, DOH formally downgraded this area from "approved" to "restricted" because it exceeded water quality standards set by the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) for an "approved" classification for commercial shellfish beds. In August 1998 the Lummi Nation voluntarily closed an additional 120 acres when water quality data indicated NSSP standards were not being met. As a result of state bacteria standard violations, the Nooksack River was listed on Washington's 303(d) list as impaired by fecal coliform bacteria.



Harvesting oysters at a Lummi Bay oyster bed.

#### Project Highlights

In 1998 state and local partners in the Nooksack River Basin initiated a water cleanup plan to reduce fecal coliform levels. Actions included working with dairy farms and small farms with horses or beef cattle, analyzing onsite septic systems, and monitoring to measure the effectiveness of the actions. The lower Nooksack River Basin bacteria total

maximum daily load (TMDL) was an invaluable tool for reducing bacterial contamination. The TMDL identified clear targets for guiding pollutant cleanup activities.

The key initiative included implementing a new state program for regulating the dairy industry. The program included regular inspections and the development and implementation of dairy nutrient management plans. These steps resulted in over 50,000 acres being managed under farm plans, requiring 3,000 acres of vegetative practices, such as riparian plantings and buffer maintenance, to protect watercourses from surface runoff of sediment, nutrients, and bacteria.

Other contributors of fecal coliform were also addressed, such as failing septic systems and discharges from wastewater treatment plants, through activities including permit modifications and treatment plant upgrades.

## Results


Since 1998 fecal coliform bacteria levels have been reduced by 63 percent in the Nooksack River and between 40 and 80 percent in all of its major tributaries. As a result of the work through 2003, shellfish beds in Portage Bay experienced improved water quality that resulted in almost 75 percent of the "restricted" shellfish growing areas being upgraded to "approved" status (based on NSSP standards) in November 2003.

Over the past 2 years, budget constraints and programmatic limitations have resulted in reduced technical and financial assistance for water quality monitoring, farm plan implementation, and compliance inspections—all of which are critical to achieving water quality improvements. These setbacks are threatening the attainment of water quality goals established by the TMDL, causing the reclosure of some of the shellfish beds that were recently reopened. The challenge for the future is to continue to work together to devote resources to protect this important Pacific Northwest shellfish resource and maintain the water quality successes achieved through 2003.

## Partners and Funding

The success of this project would not have been possible without the cooperation of the Lummi Nation, Washington State Department of Ecology, Washington State Department of Health, Portage Bay Shellfish Protection District (Whatcom County), Whatcom Conservation District, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, USDA's Natural Resources Conservation Service, and concerned citizens. Section 319 provided \$460,000 to the Whatcom Conservation District for water quality monitoring, riparian plantings, and buffer maintenance.

**Fecal Coliform Densities in the Nooksack River at the Marine Drive Bridge (Sample Station Maritetta Bridge [M1])**



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004O  
August 2005

## For additional information contact:

George Boggs  
Whatcom Conservation District  
360-354-2035 • [gboggs@whatcomcd.org](mailto:gboggs@whatcomcd.org)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## West Virginia

### Success Countering Acid Mine Drainage in Cheat River Watershed

#### Waterbody Improved

In the lower 20 miles of the Cheat River watershed near the Pennsylvania border, many of the streams have been so severely degraded by acid mine drainage that they are effectively dead. Restoration projects using limestone to help neutralize acidity before the water enters the river have helped to restore waters, allowing for a resurgence of the bass population.

#### Problem

The lower 20 miles of the Cheat River have been impaired by acid mine drainage (AMD), making it one of the most severely degraded rivers in the state. Most of the damage is caused by underground and surface mines that were abandoned decades ago. As a result, the Cheat River was placed on the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters for metals.

#### Project Highlights

In response to the AMD problems in the Cheat River, more than 20 representatives of state and federal agencies, academia, industry, citizen and conservation groups joined together to form the River of Promise (ROP) task force in May 1995. Chaired by Friends of the Cheat, the task force holds quarterly meetings to initiate and coordinate AMD remediation projects throughout the watershed. The National Mine Land Reclamation Center is an integral ROP partner, gathering water quality data, developing conceptual designs for projects, and conducting post-construction monitoring and evaluation.

As a result of ROP coordination efforts, various state, federal, and academic agencies have worked together to develop and implement projects in the Lower Cheat watershed to neutralize acid and reduce metals from abandoned mines. Together these programs are focusing on Greens Run (with a measured pH of 2.8 and an average acidity of 855 mg/L) and Pringles Run, both primary contributors of acid to the



Trap design using stone in wire mesh baskets to help aerate the water. It then flows through the short open limestone channel into the settling basin.




View of outlet from anoxic limestone drain through the short open limestone channel and into the settling basin.

Cheat River. Open limestone channels, limestone/steel stag check dams, and vertical flow ponds were installed to neutralize acidity and allow metals to settle out of the water before entering the creeks.





Limestone leach bed and outflow soon after completion in fall 2003.



Open limestone channel running through the woods with coloration starting to show iron precipitate.

## Results

Although it is still too early to know the full extent of the environmental results of these projects, recent data collected where the Cheat River enters Cheat Lake show that this once-acidic lake has a pH that ranges from around 6.5 to 7.5. Cheat Lake is now home to bass tournaments, a testament to improved water quality.

## Partners and Funding

Partners include the West Virginia Department of Interior's Office of Surface Mining Clean Stream Initiative, West Virginia Rivers Coalition, West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Nonpoint Source Program and Abandoned Mines Program, West Virginia Division of Natural Resources, Anker Energy, National Mine Lands Reclamation Center, Friends of the Cheat, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3.

More than \$1.1 million in section 319 grants funded seven projects in the Cheat watershed with the National Mine Lands Reclamation Center and two projects in the watershed with the Abandoned Mines Lands Program in DEP. Section 319 funding also leveraged more than \$300,000 in matching Clean Stream Initiative funding. Some of these projects have been completed, while others are still under construction. Results show that the completed projects are reducing acid loads.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004K  
August 2005

## For additional information contact:

### Alvan Gale

WV Department of Environmental Protection  
304-926-0495 • [agale@wvdep.org](mailto:agale@wvdep.org)

### Keith Pitzer

Friends of the Cheat  
304-329-3621 • [kpitzer@cheat.org](mailto:kpitzer@cheat.org)

### Fred Suffian

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## West Virginia

### North Fork Potomac Watershed Farmers Improve Water Quality

#### Waterbody Improved

The North Fork of the South Branch of the Potomac River is a scenic trout stream in the headwaters of the Potomac River in northeastern West Virginia. Water in the North Fork had high levels of fecal coliform bacteria, primarily from agricultural runoff from beef and poultry farms. Over 85 percent of farmers in the watershed worked together to construct animal waste storage facilities, establish riparian buffers, and implement a range of other best management practices (BMPs) at the farms. As a result, the stream now meets its designated use and is no longer impaired by fecal coliform bacteria.

#### Problem

In the early 1990s signs of poor animal waste management practices became evident in the North Fork Potomac watershed. Algae blooms appeared in streams, and high bacteria counts were common. These changes corresponded to a significant increase in the poultry industry. Between 1993 and 1996 alone, the number of poultry farms doubled. A U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) study found that farmers were improperly storing litter from chicken houses and overapplying manure to fertilize their soils. A test program by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) confirmed that several streams were being polluted by fecal bacteria and also found that the highest levels of pollution were in areas with the highest number of feedlots and poultry houses. In 1996 several streams of the South Branch watershed, including the North Fork, were listed on West Virginia's 303(d) list for impairments due to fecal coliform bacteria, and it was determined that a 36 percent reduction from agricultural land was necessary in the North Fork watershed for the stream to achieve water quality standards.

#### Project Highlights

Cleanup activities first began in the watershed in 1993 when it became a part of the USDA Water Quality Initiative to address water pollution from farms. Section 319 grants supported the funding of Conservation Agency staff for the Initiative, and NRCS supported a number of projects in the watershed throughout the 1990s and in 1998 began working with the



#### Before

An animal feedlot that allowed runoff of contaminants into the nearby stream.

**After**  
A new animal feedlot that is covered and has a concrete pad and adequate buffer has been installed.



North Fork Watershed Association to develop a watershed management plan that identified practices to lessen damage from flooding and improve water quality.

Since then, a range of BMPs have been established to help control runoff from feedlots and eliminate or reduce cattle access to the streams. To keep cattle out of the streams, farmers installed streambank fencing and developed alternative livestock watering facilities. Farmers also constructed roofs over feeding areas, as well as new animal waste storage facilities to provide shelter and prevent runoff. Other efforts focused on streambank restoration through stabilizing critically eroding areas and

planting vegetation along the stream banks. In addition to supporting the implementation of many of these activities, section 319 grants funded a project coordinator for the West Virginia Conservation Agency, who conducted outreach activities and leveraged support from partners, which was critical to the overall success of the project.

Several other major initiatives in the watershed also contributed significantly to nutrient reductions. One is a nutrient management initiative funded by special USDA appropriations between 1993 and 2001. As a result of technical support, including soil testing, litter/manure analysis, and manure spreader calibration, nutrient management plans were developed for all poultry and most of the livestock farms in the watershed, which have helped to prevent over-application of manure and commercial fertilizers to crop and pasture land.

Initiatives focusing on poultry litter are also contributing to nutrient reductions in the watershed. Several actions have focused on transporting excess poultry litter either outside the region or to other farms that could utilize the litter as fertilizer to help prevent over-application. A poultry litter composting project also demonstrated how the production of high-quality, value-added compost from poultry waste can make it more valuable to outside markets.

## Results

As a result of the combined efforts of the agricultural community, with over 85 percent of the farmers implementing BMPs, thousands of tons of poultry litter and cow manure are now being properly managed. Water quality

monitoring shows significant declines in fecal coliform levels in the North Fork. As a result, the stream now meets its designated use and is no longer impaired by fecal coliform bacteria.

## Partners and Funding

Twenty organizations worked together to improve the water quality in the North Fork Potomac watershed. In addition to individual farmers and landowners, partners included the North Fork Watershed Association; Pilgrim's Pride/Wampler-Longacre Foods; Potomac Headwaters Resource Conservation and Development Council; Potomac Valley Conservation District; Trout Unlimited; USDA's NRCS and Farm Service Agency; EPA; USGS; state agencies and departments of Conservation, Agriculture, Highways, Environmental Protection, and Forestry; West Virginia Farm Bureau; West Virginia Poultry Water Quality Advisory Committee; West Virginia Poultry Association; West Virginia University College of Agriculture and Forestry; and West Virginia University Extension Service.

Almost \$1 million in section 319 funding supported a range of best management practices, as well as outreach and educational programs in the watershed. USDA contributed almost \$550,000 to improve management practices, with the state providing additional funds. Other funding sources included a \$250,000 appropriation from the West Virginia legislature to support initial project activities; Clean Water Act State Revolving Funds (as a source of low-interest loans to finance BMPs); and \$45,000 from the Governor's office and a \$30,000 grant from Wampler Foods to support the poultry litter transfer program.

## For additional information contact:

### Fred Suffian

USEPA, Region 3  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)

### Patrick Bowen

U.S. Department of Agriculture NRCS  
304-457-4516, ext. 105 • [patrick.bowen@wv.usda.gov](mailto:patrick.bowen@wv.usda.gov)

### Patricia Bradley

USEPA Mid-Atlantic Integrated Assessment  
410-305-2744 • [bradley.patricia@epa.gov](mailto:bradley.patricia@epa.gov)

### Carolyn Hefner

West Virginia Conservation Agency  
304-558-2204 • [chefner@wvca.us](mailto:chefner@wvca.us)

### Teresa Koon

West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection  
304-558-3614 • [tkoon@wvdep.org](mailto:tkoon@wvdep.org)

### John Wagoner

Potomac Valley Conservation District  
304-822-5174 • <http://www.wvca.us>



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004M  
August 2005





## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## West Virginia

### Trout Waters Restored from Acid Pollution

#### Waterbody Improved

Two streams, Sugar Creek and Dogway Fork, designated as trout waters by West Virginia, were affected by acid rain deposition and did not meet the state's water quality standards for pH. The state listed both streams on its section 303(d) (impaired waters) list in 1998, 2002, and 2004.

West Virginia Division of Natural Resources (WV DNR) applied limestone sand into both streams to neutralize the acid in the waters. This treatment helped bring the streams' water pH back into compliance with water quality standards. The state removed sections of both streams from its impaired waters list in 2006.

#### Problem

Sugar Creek is a tributary of the Williams River in Pocahontas County. Dogway Fork is a tributary of the Cranberry River, spanning Pocahontas and Webster Counties, in the southeastern part of the state. Both ultimately drain to the Gauley River. For both streams, their most sensitive use designations are Trout Waters—waters that sustain year-round trout populations—and Water Contact Recreation, including swimming and fishing uses.

Sugar Creek and Dogway Fork were originally listed on West Virginia's 303(d) List of Impaired Streams in 1998 with pH water quality violations. The pH readings were typically 3.7 in Sugar Creek and 3.8 in Dogway Fork. The state's water quality criterion for the streams' use designations specifies a pH of 6.0–9.0.

#### Project Highlights

West Virginia's Department of Environmental Protection (WV DEP) identified these acid-impacted streams for water quality restoration efforts. WV DNR deposited fine limestone granules into the streams. Adding alkaline

limestone sand helps raise the water's pH and neutralize the acidity. WV DNR is able to finance the long-term restoration of such acid-impacted water quality problems through funding set up through a portion of license fees and various legal settlement proceeds.

#### Results


The limestone sand treatment raised the pH of the streams. Recent water quality monitoring has shown that the typical pH reading in Sugar Creek is now 6.4, and in Dogway Fork it is 7.0. After the limestone treatments, WV DNR began stocking trout and has maintained trout life in the streams. In total, 2.5 miles of Sugar Creek and 6.8 miles of Dogway Fork have been restored to viable trout fisheries.

#### Partners and Funding


WV DNR uses license fees and funds invested from various legal settlements for their limestone sands treatment program. WV DEP is the state's water quality management agency and assists WV DNR in identifying opportunities for restoration. WV DEP's Nonpoint Source Program has since worked with WV DNR to



target stream restoration projects on priority nonpoint source pollution control sites in the Upper Buckhannon watershed.



pH data from WV for Sugar Creek mile point 0.2.



pH data from WV for Dogway Fork mile points 0.0 and 1.80.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001H  
June 2007

**For additional information contact:**

**Steve Brown**  
WV DNR  
304-637-0245  
[stevebrown@wvdnr.gov](mailto:stevebrown@wvdnr.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## West Virginia

### Upper Buckhannon River Moves toward Recovery from Metals Impairments

#### Waterbody Improved

Mine drainage and acid deposition have degraded several streams in the Tygarts Valley watershed. Consequently, many are included on the West Virginia 303(d) list with metal impairments. Through the teamwork of many partners, restoration efforts are underway. In the Upper Buckhannon River subwatershed, instream limestone treatments, the covering of mine refuse areas, revegetation of affected areas, and other practices show promise that by 2008, the Buckhannon River and other streams within that subwatershed may be delisted for their metal impairments.

#### Problem

The 47-mile-long Buckhannon River flows through north-central West Virginia. A tributary of the Tygarts Valley River, the Buckhannon drains a watershed of approximately 94,800 acres. Headwater streams in the mountainous, forested watershed once teemed with trout.

However, drainage from coal mining activities and acid deposition eventually rendered many of the streams sterile. Spring snowmelts and rainfall typically delivered a pulse of acidic water that would lower average pH from 6 to less than 4, wiping out most aquatic life.

In 1998, West Virginia placed 16.74 miles of the Upper Buckhannon on its 303(d) list because of Aluminum, Iron, Manganese and pH impairments caused by abandoned mine drainage and acid deposition.

#### Project Highlights

Representatives of state and federal agencies, business, academia, and a newly formed watershed association created the Upper Buckhannon Project Team (UBPT). The UBPT brought resources and expertise to help address water quality impairments. In addition, the UBPT rallied the participation and support of local citizens. Many citizens from various professional backgrounds including forestry, municipal and state government, construction, education,



Local media films the first application of limestone to the Right Fork of the Upper Buckhannon River.

journalism, law and the coal industry formed the Buckhannon River Watershed Association (BRWA), a key member of the UBPT.

Using a \$2 million settlement from Dominion Energy, Inc., the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) and state Department of Natural Resources (WVDNR) initiated a program to treat the acid-impacted streams in the Upper Buckhannon watershed. The agencies deposited fine limestone granules into several acid-impaired tributaries. After the initial limestone treatments, WVDNR began stocking trout into several tributaries.

This project involved sealing and covering coal refuse areas, re-grading refuse areas to manage runoff, armoring potential erosion areas, using limestone to reduce acidity in drainage areas and seeding and mulching the entire area. In the future, the project will also incorporate streambank stabilization to include limestone drainage channels and limestone sand treatment.

## Results

Early monitoring results show great promise. In one tributary, the majority of pH readings are around 7.0, and pH has dropped below 6.0 only 2 percent of the time (Note: WV pH standard is 6.0–9.0). WVDNR has started to report year-long trout survival in several streams.

With these encouraging results, WVDEP expects to remove the treated streams' metal

impairments from the state 303(d) list in 2008. However, more remains to be done to fully meet water quality standards. The partners who make up the UBPT will need to make several strategic decisions in the near future.

## Partners and Funding

This project involves many partners, some of whom are not full-time participants in the UBPT. In addition to the BRWA, WVDEP, WVDNR, the partnership also includes the Federal Office of Surface Mining, West Virginia Conservation Agency, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the Canaan Valley Institute. To date, \$90,000 in section 319 funds and \$348,170 from various partners have been contributed to the effort. The BRWA has been an important source of matching funds for section 319 grants. In addition, Dominion Energy, Inc. contributed \$2 million in settlement funds.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-07-001B  
February 2007

## For additional information contact:

**Alvan Gale**  
West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection  
304-926-0495 • [agale@wvdep.org](mailto:agale@wvdep.org)

**Fred Suffian**  
U.S. EPA, Region 3  
215-814-5753 • [suffian.fred@epa.gov](mailto:suffian.fred@epa.gov)



## Section 319

# NONPOINT SOURCE PROGRAM SUCCESS STORY

## WISCONSIN

### Phosphorus Reductions in Bass Lake Restore Fishery

#### Waterbody Improved

Livestock operations and other agricultural activities contributed to nutrient overenrichment and fish kills in Bass Lake in northeastern Wisconsin, forcing it to be added to the state's 303(d) list of impaired waters. The Marinette County Land and Water Conservation Department (LWCD) led an effort to reduce polluted runoff by installing state-of-the-art barnyard control practices combined with other in-lake treatment techniques that reduced phosphorus levels in the lake. The Bass Lake restoration project achieved total maximum daily load (TMDL) targets by reducing the average phosphorus concentrations from 490  $\mu\text{g/L}$  to 10  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , and the lake will be removed from the state's 303(d) list in the next listing cycle.

#### Problem

Bass Lake was placed on Wisconsin's 303(d) list of impaired waters for high phosphorus, low dissolved oxygen levels, and winter fish kills. Runoff from cropland, livestock barnyards, and nutrient accumulation in a wetland through which the inlet drained delivered high levels of nutrients and biological oxygen demand to the lake. Nutrient runoff caused heavy algae blooms, which covered the lake in the summer months, and dissolved oxygen concentrations fell to zero in the winter months when ice covered the lake. Low dissolved oxygen concentrations caused fish kills and decimated the sport fish population.

#### Project Highlights


Marinette County LWCD spearheaded an effort to work with two livestock operations, with a combined total of 700 animal units, identified as the major sources of phosphorus entering the lake. LWCD worked with landowners to install state-of-the-art barnyard control practices such as manure storage facilities, clean water diversions, and roof runoff controls. Eventually, one landowner chose to discontinue operations in his barnyard. Funds from the state stewardship program allowed him to put 2,000 feet of Bass Lake shoreline and 55 acres of cropland under permanent easement. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service aided in the installation of sediment



Bass Lake just after alum treatment, which helped reduce phosphorus in the lake.

basins and restoration of wetland areas to prevent further loading. The remaining livestock operation further reduced runoff from livestock areas by moving animals into a free stall facility where cows are kept indoors in large pens. A sediment control basin and a leachate collection system—designed to collect polluted runoff and pump it into the manure storage—were also installed on the farm to virtually eliminate pollution transport from livestock areas to Bass Lake. With support from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR), LWCD worked with a professional consultant to treat Bass Lake with alum during fall 1999 to break the cycle





About 2,000 feet of Bass Lake shoreline is under permanent easement. Box in photo identifies approximate location of easement boundary.



No fish kills have occurred in Bass Lake since best management practices were implemented, and the fish population appears healthy.

of internal phosphorus release from sediment on the lake bottom and to reduce phosphorus levels in the lake.

## Results

The Bass Lake restoration project achieved TMDL targets by reducing the average phosphorus concentrations from 490  $\mu\text{g/L}$  to 10  $\mu\text{g/L}$ , and the lake will be removed from the state's 303(d) list in the next listing cycle. Farmers' participation in nutrient management planning should reduce nutrient delivery from cropped areas in the watershed even further.

The alum treatment dramatically reduced total phosphorus in Bass Lake. Without the high concentration of phosphorus to feed on, heavy blue-green algae blooms no longer cover the lake and water clarity continues to improve. Secchi disk readings have improved from less than 10 feet before the project to up to 20 feet during July 2004 after the alum treatment. No fish kills have been noted since the project, and the fish population appears healthy.

## Partners and Funding

Marinette County LWCD led this effort and received assistance from the Wisconsin DNR, U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Town of Beaver, and landowners. Project costs are estimated at \$696,100. The State Stewardship Fund provided \$195,000 of that total through section 319 and the Lakes program for a conservation easement to abandon one barnyard operation. Section 319 funds were also used to implement best management practices, which accounted for approximately 40 percent of project costs. The Wisconsin DNR Lakes Partnership Program also provided support with Lakes Protection grants for project activities. Some Clean Lakes activities, now funded by Clean Water Act section 319 grants, were formerly funded under the section 314 Clean Lakes program. Among other things, the Lakes program helped pay for the alum treatment, along with local cost share.



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Water  
Washington, DC

EPA 841-F-05-004S  
September 2005

## For additional information contact:

**Greg Sevener**

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources  
715-582-5013  
[gregory.sevener@dnr.state.wi.us](mailto:gregory.sevener@dnr.state.wi.us)